## Office of Regulatory Management

## Economic Review Form

Agency name	Department of Environmental Quality	
Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) Chapter citation(s)	Not applicable – DEQ guidance document	
VAC Chapter title(s)	Not applicable – DEQ guidance document	
Action title	Not applicable – DEQ guidance document	
Date this document prepared	March 26, 2024	
Regulatory Stage (including Issuance of Guidance Documents)	Existing guidance – Fish Kill Investigation Guidance Second Edition – GM02-2002 being updated to Fish Kill Investigation Guidance Third Edition – GM23-2006	

#### Cost Benefit Analysis

Complete Tables 1a and 1b for all regulatory actions. You do not need to complete Table 1c if the regulatory action is required by state statute or federal statute or regulation and leaves no discretion in its implementation.

Table 1a should provide analysis for the regulatory approach you are taking. Table 1b should provide analysis for the approach of leaving the current regulations intact (i.e., no further change is implemented). Table 1c should provide analysis for at least one alternative approach. You should not limit yourself to one alternative, however, and can add additional charts as needed.

Report both direct and indirect costs and benefits that can be monetized in Boxes 1 and 2. Report direct and indirect costs and benefits that cannot be monetized in Box 4. See the ORM Regulatory Economic Analysis Manual for additional guidance.

	benefits of the Hoposed Changes (Hinnary Option)
(1) Direct &	Background: The Code of Virginia (State Water Control Law §62.1-
Indirect Costs &	44.15 (11)) requires the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) to
Benefits	investigate the large-scale killing of fish in Virginia state waters, recover
(Monetized)	the costs of such investigations, and to facilitate cost recovery for the
	replacement value of killed fishes from those identified as responsible.
	This guidance is used to provide data to the Department of Wildlife
	Resources (DWR) who calculate the replacement value of the fish killed
	for cost recovery. This document replaces the existing DEQ Guidance
	Memo GM02-2002 Fish Kill Guidance Manual Second Edition

#### Table 1a: Costs and Benefits of the Proposed Changes (Primary Option)

completed in 2002, which will be repealed. This revised guidance provides updated instruction to DEQ biologists, water quality specialists, and other agency staff on the safe and appropriate procedures for conducting fish kill investigations. These include procedures to identify and quantify the type of fish killed, assess the condition of the killed fishes, and collecting water quality data to help determine the cause of the mortality. DEQ uses this information to assist with stopping the source of the kill and identifying responsible parties, along with communicating the investigation findings for cost recovery or other DEQ actions. Since the existing guidance is approximately 22 years old, DEQ initiated this update to clarify language and procedures to reflect current agency practices and increase the accuracy, efficiency, consistency, defensibility, and fairness of investigations and cost determinations associated with fish kills. Primarily this update:

- Expands upon existing procedures and clarifies language to ensure staff conduct complete and accurate investigations.
- Adds safety guidelines for staff during investigations.
- Adds further discussion on communicating incidents with the public and media.
- Gives additional instruction explaining techniques using American Fisheries Society national guidelines for counting dead fish in large rivers, lakes, or limited access waterways.
- Gives additional details on collecting water quality data and samples.
- Streamlines the guidance by removing internal agency instructions and forms and moves the content to an internal operating procedure.

Direct Costs: The Code of Virginia (State Water Control Law §62.1-44.15 (11)) requires DEQ to investigate fish kills and recover the investigative cost from those responsible. DEQ does not expect the changes between the existing Fish Kill Guidance Manual Second Edition - GM02-2002 and the Fish Kill Investigation Guidance Third Edition -GM23-2006 to increase direct costs since the changes do not add new investigation requirements or costs compared to the existing procedures. DEQ has well established fish kill investigation procedures since it has been conducting these investigations for several decades. Since the existing fish kill guidance is approximately 22 years old, DEQ undertook this update primarily to make the guidance reflect current agency policies and improve descriptions of established investigative techniques to ensure investigation quality and consistency across the state.

Direct costs primarily associated with investigating a fish kill include staff time, equipment, analysis of water or other samples collected, and other resources used for conducting investigations. The costs associated with fish kill investigations will vary widely because of the extent and complexity of events. The costs of recent DEQ fish kill investigations, conducted under the existing guidance, should be consistent with future costs under this update, but may not account for savings expected from updated recommendations, training, and procedures that should reduce office and field time to conduct investigations. DEQ attempts to identify the cause of the fish kill and the party responsible to recover the costs associated with conducting the investigation, as well as the replacement cost of the killed fish. Importantly, DEQ only incurs these direct costs associated with fish kill investigations if they are not able to identify a responsible party who must reimburse the agency since state law allows the agency to recover investigation costs from those responsible.

Records provided by the DEQ Office of Financial Management indicated that the agency investigated 18 fish kills that occurred between 2020 and 2022 and that DEQ's investigation costs ranged from \$284 to \$6,027. These costs reflect only the fish kill investigation, not the value of the replacement fish. DEQ incurs these costs only if they do not identify the responsible party who would be required to reimburse the agency investigation costs. These past investigative costs may overestimate future costs because they do not reflect savings from procedural updates. Additionally, since fish kill events are irregular and can't be predicted, these may not reflect potential costs of future events, particularly if a large serious event were to occur.

#### Indirect Costs: None

<u>Direct Benefits.</u> The Code of Virginia (<u>State Water Control Law §62.1-44.15 (11)</u>) also requires DEQ to recover the replacement value of killed fishes from those responsible. This guidance provides consistent procedures for counting fish under different conditions, based on the American Fisheries Society methods.

Counts can be affected by debris, barriers, fish sinking or floating downstream, or other manmade or natural factors. This update expands on existing instructions to ensure that investigators can accurately and consistently count the number of dead fish. DEQ provides these fish counts to the DWR to calculate the replacement value of lost fish which the responsible party reimburses to the State. The value associated with replacement fish varies widely, because the number of fish killed depends on the incident and the value of fish depends on the species. For instance, for the 18 fish kills investigated between 2020 and 2022, DEQ recovered between \$197 and \$44,883 of fish replacement cost from responsible parties (not including any investigation costs). Virginia directly benefits because DWR uses the funds to replace the fish lost and improve fisheries.

	With this more detailed and thorough update, DEQ expects reduced field time to conduct investigations, efficiencies that will reduce staff time in compiling information, and updates to cost recovery calculations that better reflect costs to the Commonwealth associated with fish kills. This guidance update will reduce the number of hours required to train staff on conducting fish kill investigations by updating recommendations and procedures based on 22 years of practical experience since the last guidance document was prepared. The Agency's Water Quality Monitoring program recommends that staff assigned to fish kill investigations (approximately 14 scientists statewide) review the guidance annually. The <u>existing guidance</u> contains 156 pages of technical information, whereas the proposed update has been reduced to 18 pages. Assuming an average of 5 minutes per page for each staff member to read technical material, and an average cost of \$40 per hour in staff salary and benefits, the estimated cost for review of the proposed guidance is \$840, resulting in a net direct benefit of approximately \$6,440 annually.	
(2) Present		
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	Variable Direct cost: \$284 to \$6,027 for investigative costs per event, not reflecting expected cost savings. Plus \$840 for annual staff review	Variable direct benefit: \$197 to \$44,883 per event for fish cost recovery
(3) Net Monetized Benefit	Since the costs and benefits vary for each investigation, a net monetized benefit cannot be calculated accurately. Reduced staff time related to the review and training on the guidance document is calculated to create \$6,440 in savings annually.	
(4) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)	Direct Benefit. This guidance update supports the State Water Control Law which makes DEQ responsible for investigating fish kills (§ 62.1- 44.15 (11)) and empowers the agency to facilitate recovery of investigation costs and compile data to support determining the	

	replacement costs of dead fish. The guidance results in more accurate, consistent, and efficient fish kill investigations, better protection of the fisheries and aquatic ecosystems of Virginia.
	Indirect Benefits: The guidance broadly benefits the public by establishing procedures to thoroughly investigate incidents causing fish kills. Improved water quality will protect human health and aquatic life, resulting in healthier fisheries, safer and reliable public water supplies, and contribute to economic benefits from tourism, economic development, and commercial and recreational fishing industries.
Sources	<ul> <li>Current guidance: <u>https://townhall.virginia.gov/l/ViewGDoc.cfm?gdid=1457</u></li> <li>§62.1-44.15(11) of the Code of Virginia <u>https://law.lis.virginia.gov/vacode/title62.1/chapter3.1/section62.1-44.15/</u></li> <li>DEQ Office of Financial Management - fish kill cost recovery information</li> </ul>

# Table 1b: Costs and Benefits under the Status Quo (No change to the regulation)

(1) Direct &	Direct Costs:
Indirect Costs &	DEQ conducts fish kill investigations under the current DEQ Guidance
Benefits	Memo "GM02-2002 Fish Kill Guidance Manual Second Edition"
(Monetized)	completed in 2002. DEQ assumes the existing guidance document would
	have the similar direct costs as the update since the procedures do not
	deviate substantially from those described in the update. While many
	elements of the current guidance document remain relevant and are in the
	update, portions are out of date. As described earlier, records provided by
	the DEQ Office of Financial Management indicated that the agency
	investigated 18 fish kills between 2020 and 2022 using the existing
	guidance that ranged in cost from \$284 to \$6,027.
	Using the existing guidance to train staff is calculated to cost DEQ staff
	time of approximately \$7,280 per year (see table 1a above).
	Indirect Costs: None
	Direct Benefits.
	Retaining the guidance document without changes would not be
	beneficial because it would not update and clarify current agency
	practices. DEQ expects that the direct monetary benefit associated with
	the replacement of killed fishes would be similar to that of the update
	presented above. As stated earlier, for the 18 fish kills DEQ investigated
	between 2020 and 2022 the replacement amount recovered from
	responsible parties to replace the fish lost and improve fisheries, as

	calculated by DWR, ranged from \$197 to \$44,883. Replacement values vary widely depending on the number and species of fish killed. Counts can be affected by multiple factors, such as debris, barriers, and fish sinking or floating downstream. While many elements of the existing guidance remain, the existing guidance does not reflect the most current procedures and updated descriptions that would ensure that staff perform accurate counts, so that investigative costs and replacement values are calculated correctly. <u>Indirect Benefits</u> : none	
(2) Present		
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	Variable: investigative costs ranging from \$284 to \$6,027 (2020-2022) plus \$7,280 for annual staff review	Variable: replacement value recovered from responsible parties \$197 to \$44,883
(3) Net Monetized Benefit	Since the costs and benefits vary for each investigation, a net monetized benefit cannot be calculated accurately.	
(4) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)	The existing guidance may make DEQ less effective at meeting the State Water Control Law mandate to investigate fish kills (§ 62.1-44.15 (11)), recover the costs of investigations, and the replacement costs of dead fish.	
(5) Information Sources	<ul> <li>Current guidance: <u>https://townhall.virginia.gov/l/ViewGDoc.cfm?gdid=1457</u></li> <li>§62.1-44.15(11) of the Code of Virginia <u>https://law.lis.virginia.gov/vacode/title62.1/chapter3.1/section62.1-44.15/</u></li> <li>DEQ Office of Financial Management - fish kill cost recovery information</li> </ul>	

## Table 1c: Costs and Benefits under Alternative Approach(es)

	Denemos under internative i	-pp- • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
(1) Direct &	No alternative approaches were considered because the Code of Virginia		
Indirect Costs &	(State Water Control Law §62.1-44.15) requires the Department of		
Benefits	Environmental Quality (DEQ) to investigate the large-scale killing of		
(Monetized)	fish in Virginia State Waters and to recover the costs of such		
	investigations and the replacement value of killed fishes from those		
	identified as responsible.		
(2) Present			
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits	

	(a) NA	(b) NA
(3) Net Monetized Benefit	NA	
(4) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)	NA	
(5) Information Sources	NA	

## **Impact on Local Partners**

Use this chart to describe impacts on local partners. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

<ul><li>(1) Direct &amp;</li><li>Indirect Costs &amp;</li><li>Benefits</li><li>(Monetized)</li></ul>	The guidance would not impact local partners unless they were identified as responsible parties causing a fish kill and would incur the costs associated with investigating fish kill events and the replacement value of killed fishes.	
(2) Present		
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a) N/A	(b) N/A
(3) Other Costs &	Local partners will benefit by improved protection of local waterways	
Benefits (Non-	from DEQ investigating and stoppin	• •
Monetized)	quickly and providing transparent, accurate and consistent methods for fulfilling the agency's requirement under <u>State Water Control Law</u> <u><math>\S62.1-44.15</math></u> to investigate fish kills. The investigations indirectly benefit localities by protecting water quality and the associated water supplies,	
	fisheries, aquatic ecosystems, recreat	
(4) Assistance	NA	
(5) Information	§62.1-44.15(11) of the Code of Virgini	
(5) Information Sources	https://law.lis.virginia.gov/vacode/title62.1/chapter3.1/section62.1-44.15/	

## **Table 2: Impact on Local Partners**

## **Impacts on Families**

Use this chart to describe impacts on families. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 5: Impact on	r'annings	
(1) Direct &	The guidance would not impact families unless they were identified as	
Indirect Costs &	responsible parties causing a fish kill and would incur the costs	
Benefits	associated with investigating fish kill events and the replacement value	
(Monetized)	of killed fishes.	
(2) Present		
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a) N/A	(b) N/A
(3) Other Costs & Denefite (Non	Families and the public in general will benefit by improved protection of local waterways from DEQ investigating and stopping pollution and fish kill incidents quickly and providing transparent, accurate and consistent methods for fulfilling the agency's requirement under <u>State Water</u> <u>Control Law §62.1-44.15</u> to investigate fish kills. The investigations	
Benefits (Non- Monetized)		
	indirectly benefit families by protecting water quality and the associated water supplies, fisheries, aquatic ecosystems, recreational or other	
	beneficial uses.	
(4) Information	§62.1-44.15(11) of the Code of Virginia	
Sources	https://law.lis.virginia.gov/vacode/title62.1/chapter3.1/section62.1-44.15/	

## Table 3: Impact on Families

## **Impacts on Small Businesses**

Use this chart to describe impacts on small businesses. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

## Table 4: Impact on Small Businesses

Table 1. Impact on s		
<ul><li>(1) Direct &amp;</li><li>Indirect Costs &amp;</li><li>Benefits</li><li>(Monetized)</li></ul>	The guidance would impact on Small Businesses unless they were identified as responsible parties causing a fish kill and would incur the costs associated with investigating fish kill events and the replacement value of killed fishes.	
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs (a) N/A	Direct & Indirect Benefits (b) N/A

(3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)	Small businesses and the public in general will benefit by improved protection of local waterways from DEQ investigating and stopping pollution and fish kill incidents quickly and providing transparent, accurate and consistent methods for fulfilling the agency's requirement under <u>State Water Control Law §62.1-44.15</u> to investigate fish kills. The investigations indirectly benefit small businesses by protecting water quality and the associated water supplies, fisheries, aquatic ecosystems, recreational or other beneficial uses.
(4) Alternatives	No alternative approaches were considered because the Code of Virginia (State Water Control Law §62.1-44.15) requires the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) to investigate the large-scale killing of fish in Virginia State Waters and to recover the costs of such investigations and the replacement value of killed fishes from those identified as responsible.
(5) Information Sources	<pre>§62.1-44.15(11) of the Code of Virginia https://law.lis.virginia.gov/vacode/title62.1/chapter3.1/section62.1- 44.15/</pre>

#### **Changes to Number of Regulatory Requirements**

#### Table 5: Regulatory Reduction

For each individual action, please fill out the appropriate chart to reflect any change in regulatory requirements, costs, regulatory stringency, or the overall length of any guidance documents.

Change in Regulatory Requirements

VAC	Authority of	Initial	Additions	Subtractions	Total Net
Section(s)	Change	Count			Change in
Involved*					Requirements
NA	(M/A):				NA
Guidance	(D/A):				NA
	(M/R):				NA
	(D/R):				NA
	I		I	Grand Total of	( <b>M</b> / <b>A</b> ): NA
				Changes in	( <b>D</b> / <b>A</b> ): NA
				<b>Requirements:</b>	(M/R): NA
					( <b>D</b> / <b>R</b> ): NA

#### Key:

Please use the following coding if change is mandatory or discretionary and whether it affects externally regulated parties or only the agency itself:

(M/A): Mandatory requirements mandated by federal and/or state statute affecting the agency itself

(D/A): Discretionary requirements affecting agency itself

(M/R): Mandatory requirements mandated by federal and/or state statute affecting external parties, including other agencies

(D/R): Discretionary requirements affecting external parties, including other agencies

VAC Section(s) Involved*	Description of Regulatory Requirement	Initial Cost	New Cost	Overall Cost Savings/Increases
NA Guidance				NA

Cost Reductions or Increases (if applicable)

VAC Section(s) Involved*	Description of Regulatory Change	Overview of How It Reduces or Increases Regulatory Burden
NA Guidance		NA

Title of Guidance	Original Word	New Word Count	Net Change in
Document	Count		Word Count
02-2002 Fish Kill	201 pages	27 pages	-174
Investigation			
Guidance Second			
Edition being			
replaced with Fish			
Kill Investigation			
Guidance Third			
Edition-GM23-2006			

Length of Guidance Documents (only applicable if guidance document is being revised)

\*If the agency is modifying a guidance document that has regulatory requirements, it should report any change in requirements in the appropriate chart(s).