Office of Regulatory Management

Economic Review Form

Agency name	Virginia Marine Resources Commission		
Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) Chapter citation(s)	4 VAC 20-270		
VAC Chapter title(s)	Pertaining to Blue Crab Fishery		
Action title	Closing the 2024-25 Winter Dredge Fishery for Blue Crabs and Extending the Crab Pot Season		
Date this document prepared	October 1, 2024		
Regulatory Stage (including Issuance of Guidance Documents)	Final		

Cost Benefit Analysis

Complete Tables 1a and 1b for all regulatory actions. You do not need to complete Table 1c if the regulatory action is required by state statute or federal statute or regulation and leaves no discretion in its implementation.

Table 1a should provide analysis for the regulatory approach you are taking. Table 1b should provide analysis for the approach of leaving the current regulations intact (i.e., no further change is implemented). Table 1c should provide analysis for at least one alternative approach. You should not limit yourself to one alternative, however, and can add additional charts as needed.

Report both direct and indirect costs and benefits that can be monetized in Boxes 1 and 2. Report direct and indirect costs and benefits that cannot be monetized in Box 4. See the ORM Regulatory Economic Analysis Manual for additional guidance.

Table 1a: Costs and Benefits of the Proposed Changes (Primary Option)

	Benefits of the Proposed Ch	<u> </u>		
(1) Direct &	The primary option is to close the blue crab winter dredge fishery for the			
Indirect Costs &	2024-2025 season and extend the crab pot season through December 31.			
Benefits				
(Monetized)	Direct cost of closing the dredge fishery is roughly estimated at \$820,000, up to 5% of expected annual value. In 2007, the crab dredge fishery was valued at \$1.2 million, but 30% of eligible crab dredge harvesters have passed away or are no longer fishing. Due to condition of crabs harvested by dredge, dredged crabs have lower market value than other gears, and Virginia no longer has the picking house infrastructure to support a robust dredged crab market. The proposed amendments would close the winter dredge fishery for the 16 th year in a row, so participation in and economic value of this fishery are highly uncertain. Direct benefit of extending the crab pot season through December 31 is \$113,000. The average daily value of harvest in December is \$7,515 per day so the total value of a 15 day extension would be \$113,000.			
(2) Present				
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits		
	(a) -\$820,000	(b) \$113,000		
(3) Net Monetized Benefit	-\$707,000			
(4) Other Costs &	Benefit: Closing the winter dredge fishery protects overwintering female			
Benefits (Non-	crabs who will spawn in spring and summer. The effect on the			
Monetized)	population, either in pounds of crabs or potential monetary value, is not			
	estimable due to natural variability in the population.			
(5) Information Sources	Mandatory harvest reporting and voluntary dealer price reporting			
	l			

Table 1b: Costs and Benefits under the Status Quo (No change to the regulation)

(1) Direct &	If status quo is maintained, the blue crab winter dredge fishery would		
Indirect Costs &	open for the first time in 16 years.		
Benefits			
(Monetized)	Direct benefit is roughly estimated at \$820,000, up to 5% of expected		
	annual value. In 2007, the crab dredge fishery was valued at \$1.2 million,		
	but 30% of eligible crab dredge harvesters have passed away or are no		
	longer fishing. Due to condition of crabs harvested by dredge, dredged		
	crabs have lower market value than other gears, and Virginia no longer		
	has the picking house infrastructure to support a robust dredged crab		
	market. The proposed amendments would close the winter dredge fishery		

	for the 16 th year in a row, so participation in and economic value of this fishery are highly uncertain. An additional cost not quantifiable at this time as lost revenue from new regulations needed to implement further restrictions on other crab gears to avoid overfishing by offsetting the increase in harvest from opening the dredge fishery.			
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs (a) Direct & Indirect Benefits (b) \$820,000			
(3) Net Monetized Benefit				
(4) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)	_	overwintering female crabs who would could harm the blue crab population.		
(5) Information Sources				

Table 1c: Costs and Benefits under Alternative Approach(es)

Table 1c: Costs and	Benefits under Afternative A	Approach(es)
(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	<u> </u>	ose the blue crab winter dredge fishery for tend the crab pot season from March 1
	Direct cost of closing the dredge fishery is roughly estimated at \$820,000, up to 5% of expected annual value. In 2007, the crab dredge fishery was valued at \$1.2 million, but 30% of eligible crab dredge harvesters have passed away or are no longer fishing. Due to condition of crabs harvested by dredge, dredged crabs have lower market value than other gears, and Virginia no longer has the picking house infrastructure to support a robust dredged crab market. The proposed amendments would close the winter dredge fishery for the 16 th year in a row, so participation in and economic value of this fishery are highly uncertain. Direct benefit of extending the crab pot season from March 1 through December 31 is \$1,185,000. The average daily value of harvest in March is \$67,000 per day and \$7,515 per day in December so the total value of a 16 day extension in March and a 15 day extension in December would be \$113,000.	
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits

	(a) -\$820,000	(b) \$1,185,000	
(3) Net Monetized Benefit	\$365,000		
(4) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)	Benefit: Closing the winter dredge fishery protects overwintering female crabs who will spawn in spring and summer. The effect on the population, either in pounds of crabs or potential monetary value, is not estimable due to natural variability in the population. Cost: Unknown impact to crab population of opening the season early, before female crabs have spawned.		
(5) Information Sources	Mandatory harvest reporting and voluntary dealer price reporting		

Impact on Local Partners

Use this chart to describe impacts on local partners. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 2: Impact on Local Partners

(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	N/A	
(2) Present		
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a)	(b)
(3) Other Costs &		
Benefits (Non-		
Monetized)		
(4) Assistance		
(5) Information		
Sources		

Impacts on Families

Use this chart to describe impacts on families. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 3: Impact on Families

(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	N/A	
(2) Present		
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a)	(b)
(3) Other Costs &		
Benefits (Non-		
Monetized)		
(4) Information Sources		

Impacts on Small Businesses

Use this chart to describe impacts on small businesses. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 4: Impact on Small Businesses

(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	Participants in the blue crab commercial fisheries can be considered small or independent businesses, as are many of the crab buyers, processers, and retail operations. This means 100% of impact would be felt by small businesses. A monetized cost is not quantifiable at this time as lost revenue from new regulations needed to implement further restrictions on other crab gears to avoid overfishing by offsetting the increase in harvest from opening the dredge fishery.		
(2) Present			
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits	
	(a) -\$820,000	(b) \$113,000	
(2) Other Costs &	I		
(3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non-			
Monetized)			
(4) Alternatives			
(5) Information Sources			

Changes to Number of Regulatory Requirements

Table 5: Regulatory Reduction

For each individual action, please fill out the appropriate chart to reflect any change in regulatory requirements, costs, regulatory stringency, or the overall length of any guidance documents.

Change in Regulatory Requirements

VAC Section(s) Involved*	Authority of Change	Initial Count	Additions	Subtractions	Total Net Change in Requirements
	(M/A):	0	0	0	0
	(D/A):	0	0	0	0
4VAC20-270-40	(M/R):	0	0	0	0
	(D/R):	6	0	0	0
	(M/A):	0	0	0	0
	(D/A):	2	0	0	0
4VAC20-270-51	(M/R):	0	0	0	0
	(D/R):	5	0	0	0
	(M/A):	0	0	0	0
	(D/A):	0	0	0	0
4VAC20-270-57	(M/R):	0	0	0	0
	(D/R):	0	1	0	1
	1	1		Grand Total of	(M/A):0
				Changes in	(D/A): 0

Cost Reductions or Increases (if applicable)

VAC Section(s)	Description of	Initial Cost	New Cost	Overall Cost
Involved	Regulatory			Savings/Increases
	Requirement			
4VAC20-270-40	Extension of crab	\$27,231,000	\$27,344,000	Extending 15 days
	pot season through			in December is a
	December 31			\$113,000 or 0.4%
				increase in revenue.

Requirements:

Other Decreases or Increases in Regulatory Stringency (if applicable)

VAC Section(s) Involved	Description of Regulatory Change	Overview of How It Reduces or Increases Regulatory Burden

Length of Guidance Documents (only applicable if guidance document is being revised)

Title of Guidance	Original Length	New Length	Net Change in
Document			Length