Office of Regulatory Management

Economic Review Form

Agency name	Board of Housing and Community Development
Virginia Administrative	13 VAC 5-112
Code (VAC) Chapter	
citation(s)	
VAC Chapter title(s)	Enterprise Zone Grant Program Regulation
Action title	Amend Application Requirements
Date this document	February 2024
prepared	
Regulatory Stage	Fast-Track
(including Issuance of	
Guidance Documents)	

Cost Benefit Analysis

Complete Tables 1a and 1b for all regulatory actions. You do not need to complete Table 1c if the regulatory action is required by state statute or federal statute or regulation and leaves no discretion in its implementation.

Table 1a should provide analysis for the regulatory approach you are taking. Table 1b should provide analysis for the approach of leaving the current regulations intact (i.e., no further change is implemented). Table 1c should provide analysis for at least one alternative approach. You should not limit yourself to one alternative, however, and can add additional charts as needed.

Report both direct and indirect costs and benefits that can be monetized in Boxes 1 and 2. Report direct and indirect costs and benefits that cannot be monetized in Box 4. See the ORM Regulatory Economic Analysis Manual for additional guidance.

Agency Note: The proposed regulatory action related to the Enterprise Zone (EZ) Grant Program would increase the threshold for which a Job Creation Grant (JCG) applicant is required to have a CPA attestation with their grant application. Current regulations require CPA attestation for applicants creating more than 25 jobs. The proposed change would increase the threshold to 40 jobs, reducing the regulatory burden for smaller businesses.

Table 1a: Costs and Benefits of the Proposed Changes (Primary Option)

Table 1a: Costs and	Benefits of the Proposed Ch	anges (Primary Option)	
(1) Direct &	Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here.		
Indirect Costs &	None expected.		
Benefits	Indirect Costs: Describe the indirect costs of the proposed change.		
(Monetized)	None expected.		
	Direct Benefits: Describe the	e direct benefits of this proposed change	
	here.		
	Certain Job Creatio	on Grant (JCG) applicants will no longer	
	_	n a CPA attestation as part of the	
		These applicants will save the cost of	
	8	A to complete the attestation. The average	
		o complete an attestation for the	
		Z) Grant Program is \$3,000-\$5,000.	
		ges of this proposed regulatory action, it is	
	-	applicants per year will benefit from this	
	change.		
		he indirect benefits of the proposed change.	
	None expected.		
(2) Present			
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits	
	(a) \$0	(b) \$30,000-\$50,000/yr.	
(3) Net Monetized	\$30,000-\$50,000/yr.		
Benefit			
(4) Other Costs &	As a result of this proposed	l action, there may be an increase in JCG	
Benefits (Non-		ously unable to submit an application due	
Monetized)	1	equiring a CPA attestation.	
Wionetized)	to the cost and burden of a	equiling a C171 attestation.	
	Additionally DHCD staff y	would be required to conduct additional	
		s that are newly exempt from submitting a	
		aff already conducts additional review of	
		currently not required to submit a CPA	
	1	application review could be achieved with	
	currently available resource	= =	
(5) Information			
Sources			
~ 5 61 6 65			

Table 1b: Costs and Benefits under the Status Quo (No change to the regulation)

(1) Direct &	Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here.
Indirect Costs &	Under status quo, no new costs.
Benefits	Indirect Costs: Describe the indirect costs of the proposed change.
(Monetized)	Under status quo, no new costs.

	Direct Benefits: Describe the direct benefits of this proposed change here. Under status quo, no new benefits. Indirect Benefits: Describe the indirect benefits of the proposed change. Under status quo, no new benefits.	
(2) D	T	
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a)	(b)
(3) Net Monetized Benefit		
(4) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)		erested in submitting a JCG application aged to do so due to the cost and burden of on.
(5) Information Sources		

Table 1c: Costs and Benefits under Alternative Approach(es)

Agency Note: An alternative approach would be to remove the exemption and require all JCG applicants to submit a CPA attestation.

occ applicants to st	ubilit a CI A attestation.	,		
(1) Direct &	Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here.			
Indirect Costs &	Under current regulations, there are 10-15 JCG applications			
Benefits	each year that are e	xempt from submitting a CPA attestation.		
(Monetized)	The average cost to	hire a CPA to complete an attestation for		
	the EZ Grant Progr	ram is \$3,000-\$5,000. Removing this		
	exemption and requ	iring all EZ Grant Program applicants to		
	submit a CPA attest	ation would cost small businesses		
	\$30,000-\$75,000/yr.			
	Indirect Costs: Describe the	indirect costs of the proposed change.		
	None expected.			
	Direct Benefits: Describe the direct benefits of this proposed change			
	here.			
	None expected.			
	Indirect Benefits: Describe the indirect benefits of the proposed change.			
	None expected.			
(2) Present				
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits		
Wolletized values				
	(a) \$30,000-\$75,000/yr.	(b) \$0		

(3) Net Monetized Benefit	
(4) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)	This alternative approach would increase DHCD staff capacity as DHCD staff is currently required to conduct additional review of JCG applications that are exempt from submitting a CPA attestation.
	Additionally, this alternative would conform the CPA attestation policy for JCG applications to the CPA attestation policy of the Real Property Investment Grant (RPIG) application requirements. RPIG is another type of grant within the EZ Grant Program. There does not currently exist any CPA attestation exemptions for RPIG applicants.
(5) Information Sources	

Impact on Local Partners

Use this chart to describe impacts on local partners. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 2: Impact on Local Partners

(1) Direct &	Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here.				
Indirect Costs &	None expected.				
Benefits	Indirect Costs: Describe the indirect costs of the proposed change.				
(Monetized)	None expected.				
	Direct Benefits: Describe the direct l	penefits of this proposed change			
	here.				
	None expected.				
	Indirect Benefits: Describe the indire	ect benefits of the proposed change.			
	None expected.				
(2) Present					
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits			
THORICIZED VIIICS	(a)	(b)			
	(4)	(0)			
(2) 0.1 G	I				
(3) Other Costs &					
Benefits (Non-					
Monetized)					
(4) Assistance					
L					

(5) Information	
Sources	

Impacts on Families

Use this chart to describe impacts on families. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 3: Impact on Families

Table 5. Impact on					
(1) Direct &	Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here.				
Indirect Costs &	None expected.				
Benefits	Indirect Costs: Describe the indirect costs of the proposed change.				
(Monetized)	None expected.				
	Direct Benefits: Describe the direct b	penefits of this proposed change			
	here.				
	None expected.				
	Indirect Benefits: Describe the indire	ect benefits of the proposed change.			
	None expected.	None expected.			
(2) Present					
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits			
	(a)	(b)			
(3) Other Costs &					
Benefits (Non-					
Monetized)					
(4) Information					
Sources					
Sources					

Impacts on Small Businesses

Use this chart to describe impacts on small businesses. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 4: Impact on Small Businesses

(1) Direct &	Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here.
Indirect Costs &	None expected.
Benefits	Indirect Costs: Describe the indirect costs of the proposed change.
(Monetized)	None expected.
	Direct Benefits: Describe the direct benefits of this proposed change
	here.
	Small businesses submitting a JCG application that would
	create 26-40 new jobs would no longer be required to obtain a
	CPA attestation as part of the application process. These

	small businesses would save the cost of having to hire a CPA to complete the attestation. The average cost to hire a CPA to complete an attestation for the EZ Grant Program is \$3,000-\$5,000. Following the changes of this proposed regulatory action, it is anticipated 10 small businesses per year will benefit from this change. Indirect Benefits: Describe the indirect benefits of the proposed change. None expected.	
(2) Present		
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a) \$0	(b) \$0
(3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)	As a result of this proposed action previously unable to submit an apburden of acquiring a CPA attesta a JCG application.	
(4) Alternatives		
(5) Information Sources		

Changes to Number of Regulatory Requirements

Table 5: Regulatory Reduction

For each individual action, please fill out the appropriate chart to reflect any change in regulatory requirements, costs, regulatory stringency, or the overall length of any guidance documents.

Change in Regulatory Requirements

VAC Section(s)	Authority of Change	Initial Count	Additions	Subtractions	Net Change
Involved*	-	4.64			0
13VAC5-	Statutory:	161	0	0	0
112	Discretionary:	80	0	0	0
				Total Net	0
				Change of	
				Statutory	
				Requirements:	
				Total Net	0
				Change of	
				Discretionary	
				Requirements:	

Cost Reductions or Increases (if applicable)

VAC Section(s) Involved*	Description of Regulatory Requirement	Initial Cost	New Cost	Overall Cost Savings/Increases

Other Decreases or Increases in Regulatory Stringency (if applicable)

VAC Section(s) Involved*	Description of Regulatory Change	Overview of How It Reduces or Increases Regulatory Burden

Length of Guidance Documents (only applicable if guidance document is being revised)

Title of Guidance	Original Length	New Length	Net Change in
Document			Length

^{*}If the agency is modifying a guidance document that has regulatory requirements, it should report any change in requirements in the appropriate chart(s).