



townhall.virginia.gov

Final Regulation Agency Background Document

Agency name	Board of Medicine, Department of Health Professions
Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) citation(s)	18VAC85-20-10
Regulation title(s)	Regulations Governing the Practice of Medicine, Osteopathic Medicine, Podiatry and Chiropractic
Action title	Requirements for office-based anesthesia
Date this document prepared	2/25/16

This information is required for executive branch review and the Virginia Registrar of Regulations, pursuant to the Virginia Administrative Process Act (APA), Executive Orders 17 (2014) and 58 (1999), and the *Virginia Register Form, Style, and Procedure Manual*.

Brief summary

Please provide a brief summary of the proposed new regulation, proposed amendments to the existing regulation, or the regulation proposed to be repealed. Alert the reader to all substantive matters or changes. If applicable, generally describe the existing regulation.

Currently, regulations set out requirements for the administration of sedation or anesthesia in an office-based setting. Since the administration occurs away from a hospital setting, regulations specify appropriate patient assessment, informed consent, levels of anesthesia, protocols and procedures, and provision for emergencies. Amendments to the requirements for office-based anesthesia are adopted to: 1) include the administration of 300 or more milligrams of lidocaine under the applicability of the requirements; 2) ensure that the patient has adequate information in giving informed consent, including knowledge about whether the physician is board-certified or board-eligible; 3) require documentation of complications during surgery or recovery; 4) set a time-limit on procedures that may be performed in an office; 5) specify the availability of a

hospital to which a patient may be transferred; and 6) specify that the anesthesia provider or the doctor supervising the anesthesia must give the order for discharge.

Acronyms and Definitions

Please define all acronyms used in the Agency Background Document. Also, please define any technical terms that are used in the document that are not also defined in the "Definition" section of the regulations.

MSV = Medical Society of Virginia
ABMS = American Board of Medical Specialties

Statement of final agency action

Please provide a statement of the final action taken by the agency including: 1) the date the action was taken; 2) the name of the agency taking the action; and 3) the title of the regulation.

On February 18, 2016, the Board of Medicine amended Regulations Governing the Practice of Medicine, Osteopathic Medicine, Podiatry and Chiropractic.

Legal basis

Please identify the state and/or federal legal authority to promulgate this proposed regulation, including: 1) the most relevant citations to the Code of Virginia or General Assembly chapter number(s), if applicable; and 2) promulgating entity, i.e., agency, board, or person. Your citation should include a specific provision authorizing the promulgating entity to regulate this specific subject or program, as well as a reference to the agency/board/person's overall regulatory authority.

Regulations are promulgated under the general authority of Chapter 24 of Title 54.1 of the Code of Virginia. Section 54.1-2400, which provides the Board of Medicine the authority to promulgate regulations to administer the regulatory system:

§ 54.1-2400 -General powers and duties of health regulatory boards

The general powers and duties of health regulatory boards shall be:

1. To establish the qualifications for registration, certification or licensure in accordance with the applicable law which are necessary to ensure competence and integrity to engage in the regulated professions. ...

6. To promulgate regulations in accordance with the Administrative Process Act (§ 9-6.14:1 et seq.) which are reasonable and necessary to administer effectively the regulatory system. Such regulations shall not conflict with the purposes and intent of this chapter or of Chapter 1 (§ 54.1-100 et seq.) and Chapter 25 (§ 54.1-2500 et seq.) of this title. ...

Specific authority for regulation of office-based anesthesia is found in Chapter 29 of Title 54.1:

§ 54.1-2912.1. Continued competency and office-based anesthesia requirements.

D. Pursuant to § 54.1-2400 and its authority to establish the qualifications for registration, certification or licensure that are necessary to ensure competence and integrity to engage in the regulated practice, the Board of Medicine shall promulgate regulations governing the practice of medicine related to the administration of anesthesia in physicians' offices.

Purpose

Please explain the need for the new or amended regulation. Describe the rationale or justification of the proposed regulatory action. Describe the specific reasons the regulation is essential to protect the health, safety or welfare of citizens. Discuss the goals of the proposal and the problems the proposal is intended to solve.

A Notice of Intended Regulatory Action was approved by the Board of Medicine on October 16, 2014 in response to a petition for rule-making from the Medical Society of Virginia (MSV). The petition was published on September 8, 2014, posted on the Virginia Regulatory Townhall, and sent to the Board's public participation guidelines notification list to receive public comment ending October 8, 2014.

The intent of the Board is to address the need for additional public protection in the administration of office-based anesthesia. As more medical and surgical procedures are being performed in office-based settings, there is a greater need for standards in the administration of anesthesia to address possible consequences which could result in an emergency transport to a hospital and even in the death of a patient. Therefore, changes are adopted in the applicability of requirements for office-based anesthesia, documentation of complications, duration of such a procedure, informed consent by patient of the anesthesia plan, discharge planning and emergency transfer protocols. The Board adopted regulatory changes as necessary to protect the health and safe of patients who undergo procedures in office-based settings.

Substance

Please briefly identify and explain the new substantive provisions, the substantive changes to existing sections, or both.

Currently, regulations set out requirements for the administration of sedation or anesthesia in an office-based setting. Since the administration occurs away from a hospital setting, regulations specify appropriate patient assessment, informed consent, levels of anesthesia, protocols and procedures, and provision for emergencies. Amendments to the requirements for office-based anesthesia are adopted to: 1) include the administration of 300 or more milligrams of lidocaine under the applicability of the requirements; 2) ensure that the patient has adequate information in

giving informed consent, including knowledge about whether the physician is board-certified or board-eligible; 3) require documentation of complications during surgery or recovery; 4) set a time-limit on procedures that may be performed in an office; 5) specify the availability of a hospital to which a patient may be transferred; and 6) specify that the anesthesia provider or the doctor supervising the anesthesia must give the order for discharge.

Issues

Please identify the issues associated with the proposed regulatory action, including: 1) the primary advantages and disadvantages to the public, such as individual private citizens or businesses, of implementing the new or amended provisions; 2) the primary advantages and disadvantages to the agency or the Commonwealth; and 3) other pertinent matters of interest to the regulated community, government officials, and the public. If there are no disadvantages to the public or the Commonwealth, please indicate.

- 1) The primary advantage to the public is greater protection for office-based procedures requiring moderate sedation or anesthesia and more information about the physician, the plan for anesthesia and expectations for discharge. There are no disadvantages.
- 2) There are no advantages or disadvantages to the agency or the Commonwealth; and
- 3) This proposal was carefully negotiated with specialty groups of physicians to ensure that the central issue remained public protection but qualified providers were not excluded.

Requirements more restrictive than federal

Please identify and describe any requirement of the proposal which is more restrictive than applicable federal requirements. Include a rationale for the need for the more restrictive requirements. If there are no applicable federal requirements or no requirements that exceed applicable federal requirements, include a statement to that effect.

There are no applicable federal requirements.

Localities particularly affected

Please identify any locality particularly affected by the proposed regulation. Locality particularly affected means any locality which bears any identified disproportionate material impact which would not be experienced by other localities.

There are no localities particularly affected.

Family impact

Please assess the impact of this regulatory action on the institution of the family and family stability including to what extent the regulatory action will: 1) strengthen or erode the authority and rights of

parents in the education, nurturing, and supervision of their children; 2) encourage or discourage economic self-sufficiency, self-pride, and the assumption of responsibility for oneself, one's spouse, and one's children and/or elderly parents; 3) strengthen or erode the marital commitment; and 4) increase or decrease disposable family income.

There is no impact on the family.

Changes made since the proposed stage

*Please list all changes that made to the text of the proposed regulation and the rationale for the changes; explain the new requirements and what they mean rather than merely quoting the proposed text of the regulation. *Please put an asterisk next to any substantive changes.*

There were no changes made to the text of the proposed regulation.

Public comment

Please summarize all comments received during the public comment period following the publication of the proposed stage, and provide the agency response. If no comment was received, please so indicate. Please distinguish between comments received on Town Hall versus those made in a public hearing or submitted directly to the agency or board.

At the public hearing held on December 4, 2015, Mike Jurgensen, speaking on behalf of the Medical Society of Virginia, noted that the family practice and plastic surgery community still support the proposed regulation.

Commenter	Comment	Agency response
Laurie Kuiper Kaiser Permanente Comment sent directly to agency	Recommended two additional types of local anesthesia with the maximum doses that would trigger applicability of regulation for office-based anesthesia	The Board considered the comment but noted that Lidocaine is most commonly used and chose to keep the proposed regulation as written.

All changes made in this regulatory action

Please list all changes that are being proposed and the consequences of the proposed changes. Describe new provisions and/or all changes to existing sections. Explain the new requirements and what they mean rather than merely quoting the proposed text of the regulation

Current section number	Current requirement	Proposed change, intent, rationale, and likely impact of proposed requirements
------------------------	---------------------	--

<p>320</p>	<p>Sets out the general provisions for the applicability of regulations for office-based anesthesia</p>	<p>An amendment to subsection A (2) would add “the administration of 300 or more milligrams of lidocaine or equivalent doses of local anesthetics shall be deemed to be subject to these requirements for office-based anesthesia.”</p> <p><i>Currently the administration of large doses of lidocaine would not fall under the types of sedation or anesthesia that are subject to the requirements of this Part. The recommendation for inclusion was made to protect patients who may be at risk for anesthesia complications with high doses of lidocaine or equivalent doses of local anesthetics.</i></p> <p>An amendment to subsection A (3) would specify that the levels of anesthesia or sedation referred to in this chapter shall relate to the level of anesthesia or sedation intended but also <u>documented</u> by the practitioner in the <u>pre-operative</u> anesthesia plan.</p> <p><i>It is necessary for the practitioner to document the level of anesthesia intended in the plan developed prior to administration. Otherwise, there is no record of the intent should there be complications or should the level of sedation exceed the intent of the administration.</i></p> <p>Subsection B (3) is amended to clarify that the discussion concerning the anesthesia plan has occurred <u>with the patient</u> or responsible party pre-operatively.</p> <p><i>The intent of the requirement for a discussion of the anesthesia plan has always been that it take place before the procedure and with the patient or responsible party. However, the amendment will make the regulation more definitive.</i></p> <p>Subsection B (7) is added to require documentation in the patient record of any complications occurring during surgery or during recovery.</p> <p><i>Without documentation, there is no record upon which to rely for patient care and for a possible investigation of a complaint.</i></p>
<p>340</p>	<p>Sets out the procedures for the selection of anesthesia or sedation and the evaluation of a patient</p>	<p>The amendment to subsection A (2) specifies that the procedure <u>or combined procedures</u> shall be of a duration and degree of complexity that <u>shall not exceed four hours and that</u> will permit the patient to recover and be discharged from the facility in less than 24 hours. <u>The procedure or combined procedures may be extended for up to eight hours if the anesthesia is provided by an anesthesiologist or a certified registered nurse anesthetist.</u></p> <p><i>Currently, the only limitation on office-based anesthesia or sedation is that the patient is able to recover and be</i></p>

		<p><i>discharged in less than 24 hours. There is no specificity about how long the procedure or combination of procedures should last with the patient sedated or anesthetized. The petition from MSV recommended a limitation of eight hours for the procedure or procedures, but members of the Board felt that length of time presented risk to patients unless the anesthesia was being provided by a specialized professional, namely an anesthesiologist or certified registered nurse anesthetist. Such a professional is solely focused on the anesthesia and better trained to recognize and deal with any possible complications, leaving the physician to focus on the procedure.</i></p>
<p>350</p>	<p>Sets out provisions for informed consent in the delivery of office-based anesthesia</p>	<p>An amendment to subsection A adds a requirement for the informed consent with a patient or responsible party must <u>include a discussion of discharge planning and what care or assistance the patient is expected to require after discharge.</u></p> <p><i>MSV and board members agree that the patient is not fully informed unless there has been a discussion of what to expect when the patient is discharged. Such a discussion is part of the continuum of care expected of a responsible physician in performing office-based surgery and anesthesia.</i></p> <p>Subsection B is added to require that the <u>surgical consent forms shall be executed by the patient or the responsible party and shall contain a statement that the doctor performing the surgery is board certified or board eligible by one of the American Board of Medical Specialties boards, the Bureau of Osteopathic Specialists of the American Osteopathic Association, or the American Board of foot and Ankle Surgery.</u> The forms shall either list <u>which board or contain a statement that doctor performing the surgery is not board certified or board eligible.</u></p> <p><i>There is concern that some patients believe they are receiving a surgical procedure from a specialist. The requirement to include information about whether the physician is board-eligible or board-certified is not intended to disqualify general practitioners or family physicians from performing office-based surgery but is intended to ensure that patients are fully informed about the procedure, the anesthesia, and the qualification of the physician.</i></p> <p><i>In the petition from MSV, only the American Board of Medical Specialties was listed. In response to comment, the Board added specialty boards referenced in the Code for podiatrists and osteopaths.</i></p> <p>Subsection C is added a requirement for the <u>surgical consent forms shall indicate whether the surgery is</u></p>

		<p><u>elective, medically necessary, or if a consent is obtained in an emergency, the nature of the emergency.</u></p> <p><i>The intent of the additional requirement is assurance that the patient is fully informed about the procedure for his protection. The consent form already required a discussion of the risks, benefits, and alternatives in the anesthesia plan, so this additional provision ensures that the patient understands the nature of the procedure.</i></p>
370	Sets out the requirements for emergency and transfer protocols	<p>Currently, there is a requirement for written protocols for the timely and safe transfer of patients to a prespecified hospital or hospitals within a reasonable proximity. The amendment will add: <u>For purposes of this section “reasonable proximity” shall mean a licensed general hospital capable of providing necessary services within 30 minutes notice to the hospital.</u> The amendment will allow the transfer agreement to be kept in writing or electronically.</p> <p><i>The term “reasonable proximity” provided no clear standard for practitioners or for the Board. If complications arise during an office-based procedure, the hospital to which the patient must be transferred should be within 30 minutes or less.</i></p>
380	Sets out the discharge policies and procedures	<p>An amendment to subsection B will provide that discharge from anesthesia care is the responsibility of the health care practitioner providing <u>or the doctor supervising the anesthesia care</u> and shall only occur when: <u>(i) patients have met specific physician-defined criteria; and (ii) ordered by the health care practitioner providing or the doctor supervising the anesthetic care.</u></p> <p><i>The amendments will clarify that the responsibility for patient discharge falls to the anesthesia provider or the doctor supervising the anesthetic care.</i></p>