## FINAL/APPROVED

## VIRGINIA BOARD OF PHARMACY MINUTES OF WILDLIFE REHABILITATOR WORKGROUP

July 21, 2015 Second Floor Board Room 3

Perimeter Center 9960 Mayland Drive Henrico, Virginia 23233-1463

CALL TO ORDER:

The meeting was called to order at 9:05am

PRESIDING:

Ellen B. Shinaberry, PharmD, Chairman Board of Pharmacy

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Kelly Gottschalk, DVM, Board of Veterinary Medicine member Megan Kirchgessner, Department of Game and Inland Fisheries Jim Husband, Department of Game and Inland Fisheries Martin Betts, DVM, Virginia Veterinary Medical Association

John Welch, Virginia State Police Ed Clark, Wildlife Center of Virginia

Karen Gruszynski, DVM, Department of Health

STAFF PRESENT:

Caroline D. Juran, Executive Director, Board of Pharmacy

Leslie Knachel, Executive Director, Board of Veterinary Medicine

Elaine J. Yeatts, Senior Policy Analyst, DHP

Beth O'Halloran, Licensing Manager, Board of Pharmacy

**PUBLIC COMMENT:** 

Melissa Stanley, founder and Executive Director of the Richmond Wildlife Center, provided comment and handout materials to educate the workgroup on the services provided by the Richmond Wildlife Center. She, along with Dr. Carolyn Clay, DVM, proposed a pilot program for a category III facility which would take the animal itself as opposed to a

wildlife rehabilitator admitting the animals into their homes.

**REVIEW OF AGENDA:** 

Ellen Shinaberry provided a review of the agenda packet and the

information provided within.

PRESENTATIONS:

Jim Husband presented a review of the permitting and training of wildlife rehabilitators and the changes made to permit conditions in November 2014. A summary of the permit conditions are that the rehabilitators must document an apprenticeship, have an initial inspection, and document 6 hours of continuing education. The permits are issued to both individuals and facilities. No requirement for training associated for administration of drugs. The assumption is that they are under the veterinarian of record.

Caroline Juran provided an overview of the Drug Control Act statues that relate to this topic and the Board of Pharmacy's involvement in the issue. A brief review of the Board of Pharmacy Guidance Document 110-30 that addresses the allowances to purchase, possess and administer drugs within a public or private animal shelter was also given.

Leslie Knachel provided a brief review of Chapter 38 section titled practice of veterinary medicine. In addition Board of Veterinary Medicine Guidance Document 150-22 titled Veterinarians and Wildlife Rehabilitators – Prescription Drugs and Guidance Documen 15-13 titled Controlled Substances (Schedule II-VI) in Veterinary Practice was reviewed.

There were several key discussion points regarding several topics at the workgroup meeting. Leslie Knachel commented on the research she has done to find out how other states regulate wildlife rehabilitators and if any state allows them to possess a stock of drugs for treating the wildlife. It appears that there are no other states in her research that allow a general stock of drug for wildlife rehabilitators. Most states, she found, allow for patient specific drugs only.

Dr. David McGruer was asked by Ed Clark to comment on the medical conditions and what specific drugs may be required for wildlife rehabilitators. His comments were that many are treating pain, infection and dehydration. Drugs specifically suggested were meloxicam, broad spectrum antibiotics, rehydration fluids, and antiparasitic drugs (deworming) mainly to keep humans safe.

Caroline Juran provided comment that there may be issue with performing inspections in private residences without a search warrant. Sgt. John Welch, while not providing binding legal comment, suggested that this was true.

Martin Betts pointed out that there are also financial constraints and that a rehabilitator may also not feasibly order large quantities of drug as this costs money and the clients cannot pay for services.

Several suggestions for recommendations were discussed. Ed Clark suggested that the vet-client-patient relationship that is in statute be redefined that would allow the veterinarian to prescribe to a group of animals similar to a herd. He would also like to redefine the rehabilitator as the custodian of the animal, limit the stockpile to a 90-day supply, have specific training courses to address storage and administration of drug and amend the permit conditions for wildlife rehabilitators. Elaine Yeatts disagreed with this suggestion as redefining the vet-client-patient relationship for one group would not be feasible. She also provided comment that training would have to be integral to legislation.

Martin Betts suggested modifying the public and private animal shelters to obtain Schedule VI drugs and allow them to decide who keeps these

**DISCUSSIONS:** 

Schedule VI drug stock.

Elaine Yeatts suggested proposing a bill to the General Assembly that would allow the wildlife rehabilitators limited access under protocol provision to limited drugs under 54.1-3408. She also suggested an option of having the wildlife rehabilitators possess a controlled substances registration offered by the Board of Pharmacy. An obstacle to this would be finding out if an inspection in someone's private residence is allowable under law.

**RECOMMENDATION:** 

There was a consensus to reconvene for a second meeting of the workgroup on August 27, 2015 at 9:00am to further discuss the options to be recommended.

ADJOURN:

With all business concluded, the meeting concluded at approximately 12:36 pm.