

Approved

**VIRGINIA BOARD OF DENTISTRY
SANCTION REFERENCE POINTS AD HOC COMMITTEE MINUTES
May 17, 2019**

TIME AND PLACE: The meeting of the Sanction Reference Points Ad Hoc Committee ("Committee") was called to order at 11:20 a.m., on May 17, 2019, at the Department of Health Professions, 9960 Mayland Drive, Suite 201, Board Room 3, Henrico, Virginia 23233.

PRESIDING: Tonya A. Parris-Wilkins, D.D.S., Chair

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT: Nathaniel C. Bryant, D.D.S.
James D. Watkins, D.D.S.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT: Carol R. Russek, J.D.

STAFF PRESENT: Sandra K. Reen, Executive Director for the Board
Kelley W. Palmatier, Deputy Executive Director
Donna Lee, Discipline Case Manager for the Board

ESTABLISHMENT OF A QUORUM: With three members present, a quorum was established.

DISCUSSION OF THE PROPOSED SANCTION REFERENCE POINTS WORKSHEET: Ms. Palmatier stated that the Committee was convened because a presentation was made to the Board at its March 2019 meeting regarding changes to the Sanction Reference Points ("SRP") manual and worksheets. The Board requested that the chairs of its three special conference committees meet to discuss the proposed changes to the SRP worksheet and make a recommendation on adoption at the June Board meeting. Dr. Parris-Wilkins substituted for Ms. Russek who could not be present at the meeting.

Ms. Palmatier informed the Committee that there are currently three SRP worksheets used by the special conference committees, but the proposed change would be to utilize only one SRP worksheet. Ms. Palmatier explained and compared the differences between the points assigned to certain case types and offense categories for the current SRP worksheets and the proposed SRP worksheet.

Virginia Board of Dentistry
Sanction Reference Points Ad Hoc Committee Meeting
May 17, 2019

Ms. Reen expressed concern that the current agreement rate for the Board is 75%; however, if the proposed SRP worksheet is adopted, the accuracy rate would go down to 71%. She also stated that the overall DHP agreement rate is 83%.

Following discussion of the proposed SRP worksheet, the Committee requested that Board staff obtain answers to the following questions before a recommendation is presented to the Board:

- Why did the accuracy percentage rate go lower when using only one SRP worksheet?
- Why recommend using only one SRP worksheet if the accuracy percentage rate will be lower?
- What steps are necessary for the Board to improve the agreement rate?

Ms. Palmatier and Ms. Reen agreed to contact Visual Research, Inc., to discuss the Committee's questions and concerns regarding the proposed SRP worksheet.

NEXT MEETING: To be determined.

ADJOURNMENT: With all business concluded, the meeting was adjourned at 12:08 p.m.

Augustus A. Petticoles, Jr., D.D.S.
Tonya A. Parris-Wilkins, D.D.S., Chair
Augustus A. Petticoles, Jr., D.D.S.

March 13, 2020
Date

Sandra K. Reen
Sandra K. Reen, Executive Director

March 13, 2020
Date