



## Periodic Review / Retain Regulation Agency Background Document

<b>Agency name</b>	State Board of Social Services
<b>Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) citation</b>	22 VAC 40-901
<b>Regulation title</b>	Community Services Block Grant
<b>Document preparation date</b>	October 20, 2010

This form is used when the agency has done a periodic review of a regulation and plans to retain the regulation without change. This information is required pursuant to Executive Orders 14 (2010) and 58 (1999).

### Legal basis

*Please identify the state and/or federal legal authority for the regulation, including (1) the most relevant law and/or regulation, and (2) promulgating entity, i.e., agency, board, or person.*

Section 2.2-5400 et seq of the Code of Virginia and the Coats Human Services Reauthorization Act of 1998, Public Law 105-285 authorizes the Community Services Block Grant (CSBG). Section 63.2-217 of the Code of Virginia gives the State Board of Social Services (Board) the authority and responsibility to promulgate regulations for the administration of social services in the Commonwealth.

### Alternatives

*Please describe all viable alternatives for achieving the purpose of the existing regulation that have been considered as part of the periodic review process. Include an explanation of why such alternatives were rejected and why this regulation is the least burdensome alternative available for achieving the purpose of the regulation.*

No alternatives to the existing regulations because the regulations are required by the State Code.

**Public comment**

*Please summarize all comments received during the public comment period following the publication of the Notice of Periodic Review, and provide the agency response. Please indicate if an informal advisory group was formed for purposes of assisting in the periodic review.*

---

No comments were received regarding this regulation.

**Effectiveness**

*Please indicate whether the regulation meets the criteria set out in Executive Order 14 (2010), e.g., is necessary for the protection of public health, safety, and welfare, and is clearly written and easily understandable.*

---

The existing regulation meets the criteria set out in Executive Order 36 and is necessary for the protection of public health, safety, and welfare. This regulation is clearly and concisely written and easily understandable.

**Result**

*Please state that the agency is recommending that the regulation should stay in effect without change.*

---

The State Board of Social Services recommends that the regulation stay in effect without change.

**Family impact**

*Please provide an analysis of the regulation's impact on the institution of the family and family stability.*

---

The regulations provide the framework for operation of the CSBG Program. This program provides funding to 29 local community action agencies and three statewide community action organizations. Over the past year this network has provided a variety of services to over 100.000 families. These services included the provision of emergency services, childcare, employment services, transportation services, educational services, and Head Start. These services support the institution of the family and family stability.