



Periodic Review / Retain Regulation Agency Background Document

Agency name	Board for Waste Management Facility Operators
Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) citation	18 VAC 155-20
Regulation title	Virginia Board for Waste Management Facility Operators
Document preparation date	June 8, 2010

This form is used when the agency has done a periodic review of a regulation and plans to retain the regulation without change. This information is required pursuant to Executive Orders 36 (2006) and 58 (1999).

Legal basis

Please identify the state and/or federal legal authority for the regulation, including (1) the most relevant law and/or regulation, and (2) promulgating entity, i.e., agency, board, or person.

Subsection A of § 54.1-2211 of the *Code of Virginia* gives authority to the Board for Waste Management Facility Operators to promulgate regulations.

Alternatives

Please describe all viable alternatives for achieving the purpose of the existing regulation that have been considered as part of the periodic review process. Include an explanation of why such alternatives were rejected and why this regulation is the least burdensome alternative available for achieving the purpose of the regulation.

No viable alternatives for achieving the purpose of the existing regulation could be determined. The regulation enables the Board to fulfill the statutory requirements established in Chapter 22.1 of Title 54.1 of the *Code of Virginia*. Further, the regulation is necessary to ensure that the Board's statutory requirements are executed in the least burdensome and most efficient and cost effective manner possible while protecting the health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of Virginia.

Public comment

Please summarize all comments received during the public comment period following the publication of the Notice of Periodic Review, and provide the agency response. Please indicate if an informal advisory group was formed for purposes of assisting in the periodic review.

Commenter	Comment	Agency response

No comments were received during the public comment period following the publication of the Notice of Periodic Review. An informal advisory group was not formed for purposes of assisting in the periodic review.

Effectiveness

Please indicate whether the regulation meets the criteria set out in Executive Order 36, e.g., is necessary for the protection of public health, safety, and welfare, and is clearly written and easily understandable.

The regulation meets the criteria set forth in Executive Order 107. The regulation establishes training, licensure, qualifications, and standards of practice requirements. The regulation is clearly written, easily understandable, and is necessary for the protection of public health, safety, and welfare of Virginia citizens.

Result

Please state that the agency is recommending that the regulation should stay in effect without change.

The agency is recommending that the regulation should stay in effect without change.

Family impact

Please provide an analysis of the regulation’s impact on the institution of the family and family stability.

The regulation does not have any significant impact on Virginia’s families.