



townhall.virginia.gov

Periodic Review and Small Business Impact Review Report of Findings

Agency name	Board of Agriculture and Consumer Services
Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) Chapter citation(s)	2 VAC 5-30
VAC Chapter title(s)	Rules and Regulations Pertaining to Reporting Requirements for Contagious and Infectious Diseases of Livestock and Poultry in Virginia
Date this document prepared	July 30, 2020

This information is required for executive branch review and the Virginia Registrar of Regulations, pursuant to the Virginia Administrative Process Act (APA), Executive Order 14 (as amended, July 16, 2018), the Regulations for Filing and Publishing Agency Regulations (1VAC7-10), and the *Form and Style Requirements for the Virginia Register of Regulations and Virginia Administrative Code*.

Acronyms and Definitions

Define all acronyms used in this Report, and any technical terms that are not also defined in the "Definitions" section of the regulation.

Legal Basis

Identify (1) the promulgating agency, and (2) the state and/or federal legal authority for the regulatory change, including the most relevant citations to the Code of Virginia or Acts of Assembly chapter number(s), if applicable. Your citation must include a specific provision, if any, authorizing the promulgating agency to regulate this specific subject or program, as well as a reference to the agency's overall regulatory authority.

Section 3.2-109 of the Code of Virginia establishes the Board of Agriculture and Consumer Services (Board) as a policy board and grants the Board the authority to adopt regulations in accordance with the provisions of Title 3.2 of the Code.

Section 3.2-6002 of the Code of Virginia authorizes the Board to adopt regulations as may be necessary to prevent, control, or eradicate infectious or contagious diseases in livestock and poultry in Virginia.

Alternatives to Regulation

Describe any viable alternatives for achieving the purpose of the regulation that were considered as part of the periodic review. Include an explanation of why such alternatives were rejected and why this regulation is the least burdensome alternative available for achieving its purpose.

The agency has determined that no viable alternatives exist to achieve the purpose of this regulation. Without this regulation, livestock and poultry across Virginia would be more vulnerable to emerging and foreign animal diseases. This regulation is the least burdensome alternative available to minimize the effects of costly diseases on Virginia's animal industry.

Public Comment

Summarize all comments received during the public comment period following the publication of the Notice of Periodic Review, and provide the agency response. Be sure to include all comments submitted: including those received on Town Hall, in a public hearing, or submitted directly to the agency. Indicate if an informal advisory group was formed for purposes of assisting in the periodic review.

The agency did not receive any comments during the public comment period following the publication of the Notice of Periodic Review on April 27, 2020. An informal advisory group was not formed for the purpose of assisting in the periodic review.

Effectiveness

Pursuant to § 2.2-4017 of the Code of Virginia, indicate whether the regulation meets the criteria set out in Executive Order 14 (as amended, July 16, 2018), including why the regulation is (a) necessary for the protection of public health, safety, and welfare, and (b) is clearly written and easily understandable.

This regulation provides protection to the livestock and poultry population in Virginia, which are major components of the public food supply, from emerging and foreign animal disease. Since a safe food supply is imperative for the public health, safety, and welfare, it is necessary that this regulation stay in place for the continued protection of the public. The livestock and poultry industries are significant economic sectors in Virginia. The regulation is clearly written and easy to understand.

Decision

Explain the basis for the promulgating agency's decision (retain the regulation as is without making changes, amend the regulation, or repeal the regulation).

The agency is recommending that this regulation stay in effect without change.

Small Business Impact

As required by § 2.2-4007.1 E and F of the Code of Virginia, discuss the agency's consideration of: (1) the continued need for the regulation; (2) the nature of complaints or comments received concerning the regulation; (3) the complexity of the regulation; (4) the extent to which the regulation overlaps, duplicates, or conflicts with federal or state law or regulation; and (5) the length of time since the regulation has been evaluated or the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other factors have changed in the area affected by the regulation. Also, discuss why the agency's decision, consistent with applicable law, will minimize the economic impact of regulations on small businesses.

1. There is a continued need for this regulation because it is important for the livestock and poultry industries. Many animal farms, as well as the processing plants that process the animals, are small businesses. Without the safeguards this regulation provides, these small businesses take on a great amount of risk.
2. The agency has not received any complaints or comments about this regulation.
3. This regulation not unnecessarily complex and is easily understood.
4. This regulation does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with federal or state law or regulation.
5. There have not been significant changes in technology, economic conditions, or other factors since the regulation was last amended in 2015.

This regulation was promulgated with due concerns for industry and has minimal impact on small businesses.
