



[townhall.virginia.gov](http://townhall.virginia.gov)

## Periodic Review Report of Findings

<b>Agency name</b>	Board for Hearing Aid Specialists and Opticians
<b>Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) citation</b>	18 VAC 80-11
<b>Regulation title</b>	Public Participation Guidelines
<b>Date this document prepared</b>	September 24, 2019

This information is required for executive branch review and the Virginia Registrar of Regulations, pursuant to the Virginia Administrative Process Act (APA), Executive Order 14 (as amended, July 16, 2018), the Regulations for Filing and Publishing Agency Regulations (1 VAC7-10), and the *Virginia Register Form, Style, and Procedure Manual for Publication of Virginia Regulations*.

## Acronyms and Definitions

*Please define all acronyms used in this Report. Also, please define any technical terms that are used in the document that are not also defined in the "Definition" section of the regulations.*

"Department" means the Department of Professional and Occupational Regulation.

"Board" means the Board for Hearing Aid Specialists and Opticians.

## Legal Basis

*Please identify (1) the agency or other promulgating entity, and (2) the state and/or federal legal authority for the regulatory change, including the most relevant citations to the Code of Virginia or Acts of Assembly chapter number(s), if applicable. Your citation must include a specific provision, if any, authorizing the promulgating entity to regulate this specific subject or program, as well as a reference to the agency or promulgating entity's overall regulatory authority.*

Code of Virginia § 54.1-201 gives authority to the Board to promulgate regulations. It states, in part, that the Board has the power and duty "To promulgate regulations in accordance with the Administrative Process Act (§ 2.2-4000 et seq.) necessary to assure continued competency, to prevent deceptive or

misleading practices by practitioners and to effectively administer the regulatory system administered by the regulatory board.”

### Alternatives

*Please describe any viable alternatives for achieving the purpose of the regulation that were considered as part of the periodic review. Include an explanation of why such alternatives were rejected and why this regulation is the least burdensome alternative available for achieving its purpose.*

No viable alternatives for achieving the purpose of the existing regulation could be determined. The statute enables the Board to fulfill the requirement established in Title 2.2 of the *Code of Virginia*. Further, the regulation is necessary to ensure that the Board’s statutory requirements are executed in the least burdensome and most efficient and cost effective manner possible while protecting the health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of Virginia.

### Public Comment

*Please summarize all comments received during the public comment period following the publication of the Notice of Periodic Review, and provide the agency response. Ensure to include all comments submitted: including those received on Town Hall, in a public hearing, or submitted directly to the agency or board. Please indicate if an informal advisory group was formed for purposes of assisting in the periodic review.*

Commenter	Comment	Agency response

No comments were received during the public comment period following publication of the Notice of Periodic Review. An informal advisory group was not formed for purposes of assisting in the periodic review.

### Effectiveness

*Pursuant to § 2.2-4017, please indicate whether the regulation meets the criteria set out in Executive Order 14 (as amended, July 16, 2018), including why the regulation is (a) necessary for the protection of public health, safety, and welfare, and (b) is clearly written and easily understandable.*

The regulation meets the criteria set forth in Executive Order 14 (2018). The regulation establishes the Public Participation Guidelines which are used by the Board to promote public involvement in the development, amendment, or repeal of the Board’s regulations by soliciting the input of interested parties. The regulation is clearly written and understandable.

### Decision

*Please explain the basis for the rulemaking entity’s decision (retain the regulation as is without making changes, amend the regulation, or repeal the regulation).*

The Board is recommending that the regulation stay in effect without change.

### Small Business Impact

*As required by § 2.2-4007.1 E and F of the Code of Virginia, include a discussion of the agency's consideration of: (1) the continued need for the regulation; (2) the nature of complaints or comments received concerning the regulation from the public; (3) the complexity of the regulation; (4) the extent to which the regulation overlaps, duplicates, or conflicts with federal or state law or regulation; and (5) the length of time since the regulation has been evaluated or the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other factors have changed in the area affected by the regulation. Also, discuss why the agency's decision, consistent with the stated objectives of applicable law, will minimize the economic impact of regulations on small businesses.*

*Code of Virginia § 2.2-4007.02 mandates the Board solicit the input of interested parties in the formation and development of its regulations. Therefore, the continued need for the regulation is established in statute. The regulation is necessary to protect public health, safety and welfare by establishing Public Participation Guidelines which promote public involvement in the development, amendment, or repeal of an agency's regulation. By soliciting the input of interested parties, the Board is better equipped to effectively regulate the occupation or profession. Since no complaints or comments were received during the public comment period, there does not appear to be a reason to amend or repeal the regulation. The regulation is clearly written and easily understandable. The regulation does not overlap, duplicate, or contravene federal or state law or regulation.*

The last periodic review of these regulations occurred in 2015.

On August 7, 2019, the Board reviewed the regulation and, for the reasons stated in this section, determined that the regulation should not be amended or repealed, but should be retained in its current form.