



[townhall.virginia.gov](http://townhall.virginia.gov)

## Periodic Review Report of Findings

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Agency name</b>                                 | Department of Historic Resources   |
| <b>Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) citation</b> | 17 VAC 5 - 30  |
| <b>Regulation title</b>                            | Evaluation Criteria and Procedures for Designations By the Board of Historic Resources |
| <b>Date this document prepared</b>                 | February 7, 2019   |

This information is required for executive branch review and the Virginia Registrar of Regulations, pursuant to the Virginia Administrative Process Act (APA), Executive Order 14 (as amended, July 16, 2018), the Regulations for Filing and Publishing Agency Regulations (1 VAC7-10), and the *Virginia Register Form, Style, and Procedure Manual for Publication of Virginia Regulations*.

## Acronyms and Definitions

*Please define all acronyms used in this Report. Also, please define any technical terms that are used in the document that are not also defined in the "Definition" section of the regulations.*

N/A

## Legal Basis

*Please identify (1) the agency or other promulgating entity, and (2) the state and/or federal legal authority for the regulatory change, including the most relevant citations to the Code of Virginia or Acts of Assembly chapter number(s), if applicable. Your citation must include a specific provision, if any, authorizing the promulgating entity to regulate this specific subject or program, as well as a reference to the agency or promulgating entity's overall regulatory authority.*

The Board of Historic Resources (DHR) has specific statutory authority under Va. Code § 10.1-2205 to promulgate regulations necessary to carry out its powers and duties, including at a minimum criteria and procedures for the designation of historic landmarks.

### Alternatives

*Please describe any viable alternatives for achieving the purpose of the regulation that were considered as part of the periodic review. Include an explanation of why such alternatives were rejected and why this regulation is the least burdensome alternative available for achieving its purpose.*

The two alternatives were to amend or appeal this regulation. This regulation is needed in order to meet the state requirements under Virginia law. The regulation as currently written is clear. No public comments were received. Therefore, no reason has been found to either amend or appeal this regulation and, therefore, has been found to be the least burdensome alternative to meet Virginia law.

### Public Comment

*Please summarize all comments received during the public comment period following the publication of the Notice of Periodic Review, and provide the agency response. Ensure to include all comments submitted: including those received on Town Hall, in a public hearing, or submitted directly to the agency or board. Please indicate if an informal advisory group was formed for purposes of assisting in the periodic review.*

NO COMMENTS RECEIVED.

| Commenter | Comment | Agency response |
|-----------|---------|-----------------|
|           |         |                 |

### Effectiveness

*Pursuant to § 2.2-4017, please indicate whether the regulation meets the criteria set out in Executive Order 14 (as amended, July 16, 2018), including why the regulation is (a) necessary for the protection of public health, safety, and welfare, and (b) is clearly written and easily understandable.*

This regulation meets the criteria set out in Executive Order 14, as amended, July 16, 2018, as it is necessary for the protection of public health, safety, and welfare and is clearly written and easily understandable.

### Decision

*Please explain the basis for the rulemaking entity’s decision (retain the regulation as is without making changes, amend the regulation, or repeal the regulation).*

This regulation satisfies the provisions of the law and legally binding state requirements and is effective in meeting its goals. The regulation is, therefore, being retained without amendment.

### Small Business Impact

*As required by § 2.2-4007.1 E and F of the Code of Virginia, include a discussion of the agency’s consideration of: (1) the continued need for the regulation; (2) the nature of complaints or comments received concerning the regulation from the public; (3) the complexity of the regulation; (4) the extent to which the regulation overlaps, duplicates, or conflicts with federal or state law or regulation; and (5) the length of time since the regulation has been evaluated or the degree to which technology, economic*

*conditions, or other factors have changed in the area affected by the regulation. Also, discuss why the agency's decision, consistent with the stated objectives of applicable law, will minimize the economic impact of regulations on small businesses.*

---

This regulation continues to be needed to meet the requirements of state law and regulations concerning the evaluation criteria and procedures for designations by the Board of Historic Resources. No complaints or comments have been received concerning the content of the regulation or its complexity. The regulation sets out the state requirements for evaluating criteria and procedures and does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with other state laws or regulations.