



townhall.virginia.gov

Periodic Review and Small Business Impact Findings Where Result is "Retain the Regulation As Is"

Agency name	Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy
Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) citation	4 VAC 25-70
Regulation title	Regulations Governing Disruption of Communication in Mines
Date	September 8, 2015

This information is required pursuant to Executive Order 17 (2014).

Legal basis

Please identify the state and/or federal legal authority for the regulation, including: 1) the most relevant law and/or regulation; and 2) promulgating entity, i.e., agency, board, or person.

[§ 45.1-161.106](#) of the Code of Virginia (Code) authorizes the Chief of DMME's Division of Mines (Chief), after consultation with the Virginia Coal Mine Safety Board (CMSB), to promulgate regulations necessary to ensure safe and healthy working conditions in underground coal mines in the Commonwealth. Also, [§ 45.1-161.191\(F\)](#) of the Code specifically directs the Chief to promulgate regulations governing any disruption of communication in mines.

Alternatives

Please describe all viable alternatives for achieving the purpose of the existing regulation that have been considered as part of the periodic review process. Include an explanation of why such alternatives were rejected and why this regulation is the least burdensome alternative available for achieving the purpose of the regulation.

This regulation is mandated by statute, so it must be maintained. The Chief, in consultation with the

CMSB, has determined that this regulation is the least burdensome alternative to meet the purposes set out by the statute

Public comment

Please summarize all comments received during the public comment period following the publication of the Notice of Periodic Review, and provide the agency response. Please indicate if an informal advisory group was formed for purposes of assisting in the periodic review.

DMME received no public comments following publication of the Notice of Periodic Review.

Effectiveness

Please indicate whether the regulation meets the criteria set out in Executive Order 17 (2014), e.g., is necessary for the protection of public health, safety, and welfare, and is clearly written and easily understandable.

The Chief, in consultation with the Coal Mine Safety Board, has determined that this regulation is necessary for the protection of public health, safety, and welfare. The regulation is vital to ensuring the safety of underground mines in the Commonwealth. The regulation is clearly written and easily understandable.

Result

Please state that the reason why the agency is recommending that the regulation should stay in effect without change.

DMME recommends that this regulation stay in effect without change.

Small business impact

In order to minimize the economic impact of regulations on small business, please include, pursuant to § 2.2-4007.1 E and F, a discussion of the agency's consideration of: 1) the continued need for the regulation; 2) the nature of complaints or comments received concerning the regulation from the public; 3) the complexity of the regulation; 4) the extent to which the regulation overlaps, duplicates, or conflicts with federal or state law or regulation; and 5) the length of time since the regulation has been evaluated or the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other factors have changed in the area affected by the regulation. Also, include a discussion of the basis for the agency's determination to retain the regulation as is, consistent with the stated objectives of applicable law, to minimize the economic impact of regulations on small businesses.

As discussed above, this regulation is mandated by statute. In conjunction with the CMSB, the Chief has determined this regulation is effective as currently written and does not burden small businesses.