

Office of Regulatory Management
Economic Review Form

Agency name	Department of Motor Vehicles
Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) Chapter citation(s)	_ VAC __ - ____
VAC Chapter title(s)	Virginia Motorcycle Rider Training Program, Virginia Rider Training Program Policy and Procedures
Action title	Update guidance document to reflect changes mandated by Chapter 361 of the 2023 Acts of Assembly and repeal obsolete requirements
Date this document prepared	9/29/23
Regulatory Stage (including Issuance of Guidance Documents)	

Cost Benefit Analysis

Complete Tables 1a and 1b for all regulatory actions. You do not need to complete Table 1c if the regulatory action is required by state statute or federal statute or regulation and leaves no discretion in its implementation.

Table 1a should provide analysis for the regulatory approach you are taking. Table 1b should provide analysis for the approach of leaving the current regulations intact (i.e., no further change is implemented). Table 1c should provide analysis for at least one alternative approach. You should not limit yourself to one alternative, however, and can add additional charts as needed.

Report both direct and indirect costs and benefits that can be monetized in Boxes 1 and 2. Report direct and indirect costs and benefits that cannot be monetized in Box 4. See the ORM Regulatory Economic Analysis Manual for additional guidance.

Table 1a: Costs and Benefits of the Proposed Changes (Primary Option)

(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	<p>Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here. The proposed amendments to the guidance document does not introduce any changes that would present any direct costs.</p> <p>Indirect Costs: Describe the indirect costs of the proposed change. The proposed amendments to the guidance document does not introduce any changes that would present any indirect costs.</p> <p>Direct Benefits: Describe the direct benefits of this proposed change here. The proposed amendments to the guidance document would increase the number of acceptable helmet types and allow one site to increase the number of students above the previously approved limits.</p> <p>Indirect Benefits: Describe the indirect benefits of the proposed change. The proposed amendment to the guidance document does not introduce any changes that would present any indirect benefits.</p>	
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a) \$0.00	(b) \$0.00
(3) Net Monetized Benefit	\$0.00	
(4) Other Costs & Benefits (Non-Monetized)	<p>One change would increase the number of acceptable helmet types by 1/3. DMV staff estimates that the newly allowed helmets are used by 40% of riders in the Commonwealth. Additionally, another change would allow one site to increase the number of students above the previously approved limits. A five-year average of classes at this site shows that this site accounts for an average of 7.61% of the total classes taught in the Commonwealth.</p>	
(5) Information Sources	DMV Staff	

Table 1b: Costs and Benefits under the Status Quo (No change to the regulation)

(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	<p>Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here. Maintenance of the status quo would not present any direct costs.</p> <p>Indirect Costs: Describe the indirect costs of the proposed change. Maintenance of the status quo would not present any indirect costs.</p> <p>Direct Benefits: Describe the direct benefits of this proposed change here. Maintenance of the status quo would not present any direct benefits.</p> <p>Indirect Benefits: Describe the indirect benefits of the proposed change.</p>	
--	--	--

	Maintenance of the status quo would not present any indirect benefits.	
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a) \$0.00	(b) \$0.00
(3) Net Monetized Benefit	\$0.00	
(4) Other Costs & Benefits (Non-Monetized)	\$0.00	
(5) Information Sources	While the maintenance of the status quo is an alternative, this action would cause significant problems. These changes reflect changes to the Virginia Code. As such, failure to update this guidance document would cause individuals to rely on outdated information and potentially operate outside of what is mandated by the Code of Virginia.	

Table 1c: Costs and Benefits under Alternative Approach(es)

(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	<p>Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here. As the sole alternative is the status quo, the alternative approach would not present any direct costs.</p> <p>Indirect Costs: Describe the indirect costs of the proposed change. As the sole alternative is the status quo, the alternative approach would not present any indirect costs.</p> <p>Direct Benefits: Describe the direct benefits of this proposed change here. As the sole alternative is the status quo, the alternative approach would not present any direct benefits.</p> <p>Indirect Benefits: Describe the indirect benefits of the proposed change. As the sole alternative is the status quo, the alternative approach would not present any indirect benefits.</p>	
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a) \$0.00	(b) \$0.00
(3) Net Monetized Benefit	\$0.00	
(4) Other Costs & Benefits (Non-Monetized)	\$0.00	

(5) Information Sources	The sole alternative is maintenance of the status quo.
-------------------------	--

Impact on Local Partners

Use this chart to describe impacts on local partners. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 2: Impact on Local Partners

(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	<p>Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here. The proposed amendment to the guidance document does not introduce any changes that would present any direct costs that are unique to local partners.</p> <p>Indirect Costs: Describe the indirect costs of the proposed change. The proposed amendment to the guidance document does not introduce any changes that would present any indirect costs that are unique to local partners.</p> <p>Direct Benefits: Describe the direct benefits of this proposed change here. The proposed amendment to the guidance document does not introduce any changes that would present any direct benefits that are unique to local partners.</p> <p>Indirect Benefits: Describe the indirect benefits of the proposed change. The proposed amendment to the guidance document does not introduce any changes that would present any indirect benefits that are unique to local partners.</p>	
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a) \$0.00	(b) \$0.00
(3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non-Monetized)	\$0.00	
(4) Assistance	As the proposed changes do not present any direct or indirect costs to local partners, assistance is not required.	
(5) Information Sources	None.	

Impacts on Families

Use this chart to describe impacts on families. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 3: Impact on Families

(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	<p>Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here. The proposed amendment to the guidance document does not introduce any changes that would present any direct costs that are unique to families.</p> <p>Indirect Costs: Describe the indirect costs of the proposed change. The proposed amendment to the guidance document does not introduce any changes that would present any indirect costs that are unique to families.</p> <p>Direct Benefits: Describe the direct benefits of this proposed change here. The proposed amendment to the guidance document does not introduce any changes that would present any direct benefits that are unique to families.</p> <p>Indirect Benefits: Describe the indirect benefits of the proposed change. The proposed amendment to the guidance document does not introduce any changes that would present any indirect benefits that are unique to families.</p>	
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a) \$0.00	(b) \$0.00
(3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non-Monetized)	\$0.00	
(4) Information Sources	None.	

Impacts on Small Businesses

Use this chart to describe impacts on small businesses. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 4: Impact on Small Businesses

(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	<p>Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here. The proposed amendment to the guidance document does not introduce any changes that would present any direct costs that are unique to small businesses.</p>
--	--

	<p>Indirect Costs: Describe the indirect costs of the proposed change. The proposed amendment to the guidance document does not introduce any changes that would present any indirect costs that are unique to small businesses.</p> <p>Direct Benefits: Describe the direct benefits of this proposed change here. The proposed amendment to the guidance document does not introduce any changes that would present any direct benefits that are unique to small businesses.</p> <p>Indirect Benefits: Describe the indirect benefits of the proposed change. The proposed amendment to the guidance document does not introduce any changes that would present any indirect benefits that are unique to small businesses.</p>	
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a) \$0.00	(b) \$0.00
(3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non-Monetized)	\$0.00	
(4) Alternatives	As discussed above, the sole alternative is maintenance of the status quo. This is not a viable alternative, however, as remaining inconsistent with the Code of Virginia would potentially cause significant issues for individuals relying on the information in this guidance document.	
(5) Information Sources	None.	

Changes to Number of Regulatory Requirements

Table 5: Regulatory Reduction

For each individual action, please fill out the appropriate chart to reflect any change in regulatory requirements, costs, regulatory stringency, or the overall length of any guidance documents.

Change in Regulatory Requirements

VAC Section(s) Involved*	Authority of Change	Initial Count	Additions	Subtractions	Net Change
Virginia Motorcycle Rider Training Program, Virginia Rider Training Program Policy and Procedures	Statutory:	84	4	2	-2
	Discretionary:	36	13	20	-7
				Total Net Change of Statutory Requirements:	+1 agency +1 regulant
				Total Net Change of Discretionary Requirements:	-5 agency -2 regulant

Cost Reductions or Increases (if applicable)

VAC Section(s) Involved*	Description of Regulatory Requirement	Initial Cost	New Cost	Overall Cost Savings/Increases

Other Decreases or Increases in Regulatory Stringency (if applicable)

VAC Section(s) Involved*	Description of Regulatory Change	Overview of How It Reduces or Increases Regulatory Burden
Virginia Motorcycle Rider Training Program, Virginia Rider Training Program Policy and Procedures	Original form of the law specified a “minimum three-quarter shell motorcycle helmet that meets U.S. Department of Transportation Safety	The changes allows for the course participant to choose from a wider variety of acceptable helmets when participating in any motorcycle

	standards.” The 2023 legislation relaxes this requirement by merely requiring “any lawful motorcycle helmet.”	training course. This change would increase the number of acceptable helmet types by 1/3. DMV staff estimates that the newly allowed helmets are used by 40% of riders in the Commonwealth.
Virginia Motorcycle Rider Training Program, Virginia Rider Training Program Policy and Procedures	The original form of the law specifies the exact number of students who can be under instructor supervision and the number of students that can be on the range at a given time. The new version of the law merely requires that student ratio will follow the guidance of the approved Motorcycle Safety Foundation curriculum. It additionally states that DMV can provide otherwise if it so chooses.	The change to the law reflected in this guidance document allows for a greater degree of flexibility with regards to the number of students under the supervision of the instructor and the number of students that can be on the range at a given time. Currently, only one site allows more students than the previous average. A five-year average of classes at this site shows that this site accounts for an average of 7.61% of the total classes taught in the Commonwealth.
Virginia Motorcycle Rider Training Program, Virginia Rider Training Program Policy and Procedures	The original version of the law requires that motorcycles provided by the training school must meet at least two of three specific criteria. The new version of the law merely requires that the provided motorcycles comply with the specifications recommended by the Motorcycle Safety Foundation, unless otherwise provided by the Department	The change to the law reflected in this guidance document allows for a greater degree of flexibility with regards to the types of motorcycles provided for students.

Length of Guidance Documents (only applicable if guidance document is being revised)

Title of Guidance Document	Original Length	New Length	Net Change in Length
Virginia Motorcycle Rider Training Program, Virginia Rider Training Program Policy and Procedures	4,558 words	3,996 words	Reduction of 562 words

*If the agency is modifying a guidance document that has regulatory requirements, it should report any change in requirements in the appropriate chart(s).