

1 **Draft Minutes**  
2 **Microscopic Hair Comparison Case Review Subcommittee of the**  
3 **Forensic Science Board**  
4 **Monday, August 19, 2024**  
5 **Central Laboratory, Classrooms 1 and 2**  
6

7 **Subcommittee Members Present**

8 The Honorable Megan L. Clark, Prince Edward Commonwealth's Attorney - *Subcommittee Chair*  
9 Lieutenant Colonel Timothy Lyon (Designee of Colonel Gary T. Settle, Superintendent, Virginia  
10 State Police)  
11 Michael HuYoung, Esquire  
12

13 **Staff Members Present**

14 Amy C. Jenkins, Department Counsel  
15 Deea Chakraborty, DFS Legal Assistant  
16 Farryl Sorokin, DFS PERK Tracking System Coordinator  
17

18 **Call to Order by Subcommittee**

19  
20 The Honorable Megan L. Clark, Chair, called the meeting of the Microscopic Hair Comparison  
21 Case Review Subcommittee ("Subcommittee") to order at 10:00 A.M.  
22

23 **Adoption of Agenda**

24 The Chair asked if there were any changes or corrections to today's agenda. Being none, the agenda  
25 was approved by consensus.  
26

27 **Adoption of Draft Minutes of the December 13, 2023, Meeting**

28  
29 The Chair asked if there were any changes or corrections to the draft minutes from the June 7,  
30 2024, meeting. Being none, the Subcommittee adopted the minutes by consensus.  
31

32 **Review Team Recommendations**

33  
34 Amy Jenkins, Department Counsel, presented for the Subcommittee's consideration the  
35 recommendations of the Review Team from its July 8, 2024, meeting regarding three cases:

- 36 • C84-929 was a case where the Review Team indicated no notification was required. The  
37 Subcommittee flagged language including the use of the term "matched" and that the  
38 examiner indicated that he conducted a visual and not microscopic comparison of the hairs.  
39 The Subcommittee determined that notification was appropriate.
- 40 • A83-00050 was a case where the Review Team indicated notification was required. The  
41 Subcommittee felt that the scientist was testifying accurately, but the questions asked by  
42 the attorneys were problematic. The Subcommittee agreed that notification was  
43 appropriate.
- 44 • For A83-00512, the Subcommittee agreed with the Review Team that notification was not  
45 appropriate.

46 **Public Comment**

47

48 There was no public comment.

49

50 **Confirm Future Meeting Date**

51

52 No future meeting was scheduled until additional new transcripts were obtained for review.

53

54 **Adjournment**

55

56 Ms. Clark called to adjourn the Subcommittee meeting and all members agreed to adjourn the  
57 meeting unanimously.

58

59 The meeting adjourned at 10:13 a.m.