BOARD FOR ARCHITECTS, PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, LAND SURVEYORS, CERTIFIED INTERIOR DESIGNERS AND LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES

The Board for Architects, Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors, Certified Interior Designers and Landscape Architects held a public hearing on January 9, 2014, at the Department of Professional and Occupational Regulation, 9960 Mayland Drive. Richmond, Virginia, to receive public comment regarding the Board's proposed regulations updating regulatory standards for experience, education, and training to reflect current industry standards. Additional changes to regulations will be made as necessary.

> Board staff present: Kathleen (Kate) R. Nosbisch, Executive Director

Ms. Nosbsich began the public hearing at 2:00 p.m. and read an introductory statement regarding the purpose and rules of the hearing. Commencement of Public Hearing

Public Comment Period - Carmen DiGiandomenico, was present for public comment. Mr. DiGiandomenico provided suggested changes to 18VAC10-20-370. Minimum standards and procedures for land boundary surveying practice. In addition, Mr. DiGiandomenico provided comments regarding several regulations under the Standards of Practice and Conduct. (transcript attached)

Public Comment Period

There being no further comment, the meeting was adjourned at 2:20 p.m.

Adjournment

Lorri Finn, Chair

Nick A. Chuitre

Gordon N. Dixon, Secretary

Nick Christner, Acting Secretary

	1
1	COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
2	DEPARTMENT OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL REGULATION
3	
4	BOARD FOR ARCHITECTS, PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, LAND
5	SURVEYORS, CERTIFIED INTERIOR DESIGNERS, LANDSCAPE
6	ARCHITECTS
7	·
8	IN RE: PUBLIC HEARING
9	
10	HEARD BEFORE: KATHLEEN R. NOSBISCH
11	
12	
13	JANUARY 9, 2014 SECOND FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM
14	9960 MAYLAND DRIVE RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23233
15	02:00 P.M.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Reported by: Terri L. Dolinger
21	
22	
23	MADDOX REPORTING SERVICE, INC. Registered Professional Reporter
24	684 Burnt House Point
25	Colonial Beach, Virginia 22443 (540) 372-6874 (804) 224-7275
	Maddox Reporting Service, Inc.

			2
1	BOARD ADMINISTRATOR:		
2	Kathleen R. Nosbisch, P	residing Officer	
3			
4			
5			
6	ALSO PRESENT: Carmen D	iGiandomenico, Speaker	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			·
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	Madday Basas	ting Corvice Inc	

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. NOSBISCH: Good afternoon, ladies

and gentlemen. I'm Kate Nosbisch and I am staff to

the Virginia Board for Architects, Professional

Engineers, Land Surveyors, Certified Interior

Designers, and Landscape Architects. This is a public

hearing being held at the Department of Professional

and Occupational Regulation, 9960 Mayland Drive,

Richmond, Virginia 23233.

will be made as necessary.

This hearing is being held pursuant to 54.1-310 of the Code of Virginia for the purpose of receiving public comment for the Board's proposed regulations to update regulatory standards for experience, education, and training to reflect current industry standards. Additional changes to regulations

The list of interested parties and organizations which were notified of this process and invited to comment is available upon written request. The staff of the Department of Professional and Occupational Regulation will prepare a report of all public comment received which will be presented to the Board for consideration.

Now I would like to present the rules for this public hearing. Rules for this hearing. Comments will be received from any member of the public and

comments will be limited to a maximum of five minutes depending on the number of individuals who wish to speak. If you have not signed up to speak and you wish to give testimony today, please sign your name on the sign-up sheet at this time. I may ask speakers questions to clarify statements. Any speaker who wishes to provide a written statement in addition to his oral testimony or in lieu of an oral testimony may do so until February 28, 2014.

You may begin, sir.

MR. DiGIANDOMENICO: Thank you, Kate. I appreciate the opportunity to present today. I'll have just a short statement and then -- but the statement directly relates to the changes I've recommended and I've given you a copy of my statement and the changes and I can make those available; my comments to you.

MS. NOSBISCH: Thank you.

MR. DiGIANDOMENICO: My name is Carmen DiGiandomenico. I own a cottage in Northumberland County. I have interfaced with this Board over the past three years. Based on the interface, I believe the professional integrity of this Board is in serious question. I have only been exposed to two land survey complaints but they both prove my point.

In both cases, your subject matter of experts were allowed to be tainted by the respondents. False and misleading information reached the Board's subject matter experts. Subject matter experts reached inappropriate and perhaps even illegal conclusions. Your tainted Board members acted in an arbitrary, capricious, and unprofessional manner. The most recent case was closed on obviously tainted opinions of the subject matter expert. This characterization of the complainant is akin to blaming the rape victim for the crime.

The most recent case is a textbook example for two reasons: How not to conduct a land survey and prepare a plat, and second, how not to conduct an unbiased and professional complaint investigation.

DPOR documents concerning this subject matter expert who currently sits on this Board demonstrate first, he was tainted by false and misleading information that came from the respondent. Second, he obviously did not understand very basic VAC requirements concerning land surveys, and third, he did not have any idea the harm his actions would do to the public.

You, DPOR, have failed to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the public and to enforce the VAC. You have chosen not to follow the requirements of

comments are in here because the comments would correct these kind of situations happening. That's why. That's all.

MS. NOSBISCH: Thank you.

5 MR. DiGIANDOMENICO: Do you want to go 6 through them?

MS. NOSBISCH: Yes. And if I have any questions, I'll ask you for clarification. Thank you.

MR. DiGIANDOMENICO: Do I need to go

10 slow?

4

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. NOSBISCH: I'll --

12 COURT REPORTER: You're fine, sir.

13 Thank you.

MR. DIGIANDOMENICO: In 18VAC10-20-370, which is the minimum standards and procedures for land boundary surveying practice, paragraph A, my recommendation is that the end of this paragraph we add the following sentence: All documents to which the professional's seal, signature, and date have been affixed shall meet the requirements of this chapter regardless of the status of recordation of the document. And the rationale for this is that this will clarify the fact that all documents to which respondent affixes a seal are required to meet this chapter.

This was a critical issue in a recent complaint investigation where the Board's subject matter expert incorrectly concluded that because the plat under investigation had not been recorded, the respondent could be afforded the opportunity to correct violations without sanctions.

Second comment again in 370, minimum standards, paragraph B, research procedures. Change the first sentence to read the professional shall search the land records for documents depicting pertinent, valid, and accurate land reference points, and then continue with and for the proper description of the land to be surveyed. The rationale for this comment is this will add the respondent's responsibility to determine the proper land boundary reference points. This again was a critical issue in a recent complaint investigation that went unaddressed by the Board's subject matter expert.

Okay. Again in 370, minimum standards, paragraph D, office procedures, subparagraph two, plats and maps: Change to read the following information shall be correct and shall be shown on all plats. This will add the respondent's responsibility not just to include these items but will more clearly require that these items are correct. Although this may appear to

be self-explanatory and obviously implied in the current wording, this was a critical issue in the recent complaint investigation where the Board's subject matter concluded that the respondent did not violate the VAC because although he did not have the correct town, he did show a town -- emphasis on A -- and thus, avoided violations and sanctions.

Okay. 370 again, minimum standards, paragraph D, subparagraph two, sub-subparagraph H changed to read bearings to include bearings of record of all property lines and meanders to the nearest ten seconds of an arc or metric equivalent. And the rationale for this change is based on written statements by the Board's subject matter again in this investigation. There was a serious misconception on his part that bearings of record are only historical and are not used in calculations; his words. This statement is incorrect and this change clarifies the importance of bearings of record.

Again in 370, minimum standards, paragraph D. subparagraph two, sub-subparagraph R: Change the beginning of this entry to read a statement that the land boundary survey shown is based on a current field survey or a statement that the land boundary survey is a compilation from deeds. And this is more of an

editorial change. If you read the way it's worded right now, it seems like it's one sentence all the way through and it isn't. There's really an alternative. There's one and then an alternative. So this change is editorial. The current wording implies that there's a requirement in one statement. This change will simply clarify there's two alternative statements that can be made.

Responsibility to the public. That's -- this is in -- sorry -- 18VAC10-20-690, responsibility to the public. Change the first two sentences to read the primary obligation of the regulant shall be to the general public and not the client. The regulant shall not harm the health, safety, and welfare of the general public when making professional judgments, decisions, practices, and when preparing products and documents. This is an important change because it will clearly require that the regulant shall not endanger the health, safety, and welfare of the general public.

Currently, 690 only requires that the regulant merely recognize the fact that his actions can impact the health, safety, and welfare of the general public. This is an important issue in a recent complaint investigation that was not addressed properly by the Board subject matter expert. Despite an obvious

harm to the complainant, the Board subject matter expert failed to recognize this important fact and the gravity of his recommendations to close the case.

A: Change to read the regulant shall be truthful in all professional matters and shall include all relevant and accurate information in professional reports, documents, statements, or testimony which shall include the date indicating that such information was current. The regulant shall be truthful in preparing client documents that the regulant or the client may place in the public domain.

The regulant shall reasonably expect that the client will record the regulant's documents, plats, or reports, or other written deliverables in an official or public repository and thus enter into the public domain and thus potentially affect safety, health, and welfare of the general public. This change will clearly require --

I'm sorry. This is rationale. This change will clearly require the professional to be truthful in all documents as well as statements. The change also asserts that the regulant should reasonably expect that the deliverables to the client will enter into the public domain and, therefore, potentially do harm to

professional be truthful in professional reports, statements, or testimony.

Again in 700, public statements. After

the general public. Currently, 700 only requires the

Again in 700, public statements. After paragraph D, add the following paragraph: E, a professional shall not knowingly make a materially false written statement or deliberately fail to disclose a material fact on a document that the professional affixes his seal and signature.

The rationale is this change will clearly require the respondent to be truthful in all documents that have the respondent's seal because the respondent should reasonably expect that these sealed documents will enter into the public domain.

Again in 700, public statements, add
paragraph F: The regulant shall truthfully answer all
Department requests associated with an investigation of
a complaint and shall not knowingly make a materially
false statement or deliberately withhold a material
fact requested. The regulant shall specifically and
truthfully answer questions and requests for
information and shall not submit extraneous and
unsolicited answers and materials that might taint
individuals conducting the investigation.

Although 700 paragraph D addresses the

respondent's truthfulness concerning applications, it is silent on similar truthfulness in responding to complaint investigations. This recommended change is a direct result of a recent complaint investigation in which the Board's subject matter expert asked the respondent to provide answers to two very reasonable questions. In his reply, however, the respondent answered the two questions but then went on to provide extraneous and unsolicited information that was false and misleading and obviously tainted the subject matter expert in his deliberations.

1.2

Based on the false and misleading information, the tainted subject matter expert then went on to make inaccurate conclusions such as to close the case and to categorize the complainant in a manner that was inappropriate and unprofessional.

710, conflicts of interest, paragraph D.

Begin the paragraph with the regulation shall not accept direction, compensation. Continue on. This change will clearly conclude -- I'm sorry. This change will clearly include the conflict of interest in direction from any parties regardless of compensation.

In 740, professional responsibility, paragraph C, begin the paragraph with the regulant shall not knowingly accept a project, task, or contract

from a client or associate in a business venture.

Rationale: This change will clearly include the

professional's responsibility when accepting work from

a client who may have fraudulent or dishonest

intensions. Currently, 740 limits this issue to

business ventures. This may have been the situation in

recent complaint investigations.

760, use of seal, paragraph A. Make the following change: Affixing of a professional -- and then continue on. This is merely an editorial change in nature and simply avoids using the term application which has other connotations in this chapter and it uses the term affixing which also has been used in this context so it's strictly editorial.

760, use of seal, paragraph B, documents to be sealed, subparagraph one. Change the last sentence to read final documents are completed documents or copies delivered to the respondent's client or copies submitted on a client's behalf for approval. This -- rationale. This change will clarify that final and completed documents include those delivered to the respondent's client and not just those that have been recorded.

This was a critical issue in a recent complaint investigation where the Board's subject

matter expert incorrectly concluded that because the plat under investigation had not been recorded, the respondent could be afforded the responsibility to correct violations without sanctions.

760, use of seal, paragraph B, documents to be sealed. Add subparagraph three. Revisions to documents that the respondent had previously affixed the seal, signature, and date shall be considered new documents and the respondent shall affix a seal and signature with a new date that reflects incorporation of the revisions.

Rationale. Currently, 760 is silent on revisions to sealed documents. Revisions to these documents can be substantial and could have profound adverse impacts on the client and the public as well. Therefore, revised documents should have the same standards apply to them as the previous version of the document.

And that concludes my recommendations.

MS. NOSBISCH: Thank you very much, Mr. DiGiandomenico.

MR. DiGIANDOMENICO: Do you have any questions?

MS. NOSBISCH: Not at this time, sir.

Thank you.

1	MR. DiGIANDOMENICO: Would you like me
2	to send them electronic?
3	MS. NOSBISCH: Yes, please. Mrs.
4	DiGiandomenico, did you wish to make a comment?
5	MS. DiGIANDOMENICO: No. I agree.
6	Thank you.
7	MS. NOSBISCH: Thank you. I would like
8	to thank you for your comments today. The record of
9	the public hearing will be kept open until Friday,
10	February 28, 2014, and written comment also be
11	accepted through 5:00 p.m. that day. This hearing is
12	now closed. Thank you.
13	MR. DiGIANDOMENICO: Thanks, Kate.
14	MS. NOSBISCH: Thank you. I appreciate
15	you coming in and taking the time to do that.
16	' NOTE: Off the record at 2:20 p.m.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

I, Terri L. Dolinger, hereby certify that
I was the Court Reporter in the Public Hearing
regarding the Board for Architects,
Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors,
Certified Interior Designers, and Landscape
Architects, at the offices of the Department of
Professional and Occupational Regulation, 9960
Mayland Drive, Richmond, Virginia, on January
9, 2014, at the time of the hearing herein.

I further certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the hearing herein

Given under my hand this 22 day of January, 2014.

- Terrid Dolinger

Terri L. Dolinger, Court Reporter