# Office of Regulatory Management Economic Review Form

Agency name	Virginia Marine Resources Commission
Virginia Administrative Code	4VAC20-490
(VAC) Chapter citation(s)	
VAC Chapter title(s)	Pertaining to Sharks
Action title	Proposal to move Oceanic whitetip shark from the
	commercially and recreationally permitted shark species lists
	to the commercially and recreationally prohibited shark
	species lists in the definitions section of this regulation.
Date this document	May 21, 2024
prepared	
Regulatory Stage (including	
Issuance of Guidance	
<b>Documents</b> )	

#### **Cost Benefit Analysis**

Complete Tables 1a and 1b for all regulatory actions. You do not need to complete Table 1c if the regulatory action is required by state statute or federal statute or regulation and leaves no discretion in its implementation.

Table 1a should provide analysis for the regulatory approach you are taking. Table 1b should provide analysis for the approach of leaving the current regulations intact (i.e., no further change is implemented). Table 1c should provide analysis for at least one alternative approach. You should not limit yourself to one alternative, however, and can add additional charts as needed.

Report both direct and indirect costs and benefits that can be monetized in Boxes 1 and 2. Report direct and indirect costs and benefits that cannot be monetized in Box 4. See the ORM Regulatory Economic Analysis Manual for additional guidance.

Table 1a: Costs and Benefits of the Proposed Changes (Primary Option)

Table 1a: Costs and	Benefits of the Proposed	Changes (Primary Option)		
(1) Direct & Indirect	Direct Costs: Changes to the	his regulation will likely have no direct costs to		
Costs & Benefits	commercial and recreational harvesters because there are no reported			
(Monetized)	oceanic whitetip sharks landed off the coast of Virginia in state or federal			
	waters.			
	Indirect Costs: Changing t	his regulation will have no indirect cost.		
	oceanic whitetip in state w regulatory change is mand Fisheries (ASMFC), all states implement this change for permitted commercial and being found out of compliant ASMFC.	this regulation will mirror the federal closure for raters and rebuild this depleted population. This atory and required by Atlantic States Marine rates on the Atlantic coast are required to state waters. Leaving oceanic whitetips in the recreational categories may result in Virginia rance and having our shark fisheries closed by		
(2) D				
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits		
Wionetized values	(a) \$0	(b) \$0		
	(a) \$0	(0) 30		
(3) Net Monetized Benefit	\$0			
(4) Other Costs &				
Benefits (Non-				
Monetized)				
(5) Information	VMRC's mandatory harvest reporting, NOAA funded recreation data			
Sources	collection programs, the Large Pelagic Survey (LPS), the Highly Migratory Species (HMS) permitting program and the Access Point Angler Intercept			
	Survey (APAIS).			

Table 1b: Costs and Benefits under the Status Quo (No change to the regulation)

(1) Direct &	Direct Costs: Leaving this regulation status quo will have no direct cost.
Indirect Costs & Benefits	Indicate Costs, I saving this acculation status are will have no indicat
(Monetized)	Indirect Costs: Leaving this regulation status quo will have no indirect cost.
(Wonetized)	Cost.
	Direct Benefits: The status quo regulation would have had no direct benefits.

	Indirect Benefits: The status quo regulation would have had no direct benefits.		
(2) Present			
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits	
	(a) \$0	(b) \$0	
(3) Net Monetized Benefit	\$0		
(4) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)			
(5) Information Sources	VMRC's mandatory harvest reporting, NOAA funded recreation data collection programs, the Large Pelagic Survey (LPS), the Highly Migratory Species (HMS) permitting program and the Access Point Angler Intercept Survey (APAIS).		

Table 1c: Costs and Benefits under Alternative Approach(es)

Tubic ic. Costs una	Deficites under Theermativ	e ripproden(es)	
` ′	There were no alternative options considered other than status quo (listed		
	above).		
(Monetized)			
(0) P	T .		
(2) Present			
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits	
	(a)	(b)	
(3) Net Monetized			
Benefit			
(1) Other Costs 9-			
(4) Other Costs &			
Benefits (Non-			
Monetized)			
(5) Information			
Sources			

<u>Impact on Local Partners</u>
Use this chart to describe impacts on local partners. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

# **Table 2: Impact on Local Partners**

Costs & Benefits	This regulatory change will have no direct or indirect cost to local partners.		
(Monetized)			
	This regulatory change will hav partners.	ve no direct or indirect benefits for local	
(2) Present			
	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits	
	(a) \$0	(b) \$0	
(3) Other Costs &	None		
Benefits (Non-			
Monetized)			
(4) Assistance			
(5) Information Sources			

# **Impacts on Families**

Use this chart to describe impacts on families. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

# **Table 3: Impact on Families**

(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	There are no direct or indirect costs for families.  There are no direct or indirect benefits for families.		
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits	
	(a)	(b)	
(3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)			
(4) Information Sources			

## **Impacts on Small Businesses**

Use this chart to describe impacts on small businesses. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

**Table 4: Impact on Small Businesses** 

	ect Direct Costs: Changes to this regulation will likely have no direct costs to			
Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	small businesses because there are no reported oceanic whitetip sharks landed by commercial harvesters fishing off the coast of Virginia in state or federal waters.			
	Indirect Costs: Leaving this regulation	n status quo will have no indirect cost.		
	Direct Benefits: The status quo regulation would have had no direct benefits.			
	Indirect Benefits: The status quo regulation would have had no direct benefits.			
(2) Present				
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits		
	(a) \$0	(b) \$0		
(3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)				
(4) Alternatives				
(5) Information Sources	VMRC's mandatory harvest reporting, NOAA funded recreation data collection programs, the Large Pelagic Survey (LPS), the Highly Migratory Species (HMS) permitting program and the Access Point Angler Intercept Survey (APAIS).			

### **Changes to Number of Regulatory Requirements**

## **Table 5: Regulatory Reduction**

For each individual action, please fill out the appropriate chart to reflect any change in regulatory requirements, costs, regulatory stringency, or the overall length of any guidance documents.

Change in Regulatory Requirements

There are no changes to regulatory requirements because the only changes to this regulation are taking place in the Definitions section of the regulation.

Cost Reductions or Increases (if applicable)

VAC Section(s) Involved	Description of Regulatory Requirement	Initial Cost	Overall Cost Savings/Increases

Other Decreases or Increases in Regulatory Stringency (if applicable)

VAC Section(s) Involved Description of Regulatory		Overview of How It Reduces or
	Change	Increases Regulatory Burden
4VAC20-490-20 Definitions	Moving Oceanic whitetip shark	This regulation change will shift
	from the commercially and	regulatory requirments and
	recreationally permitted shark	decrease the number of shark
	species lists to the commercially	species commercial harvesters
	and recreationally prohibited	and recreational anglers can
	shark species lists in the	harvest but this regulation change
	definitions section of this	is mandatory and required by
	regulation.	ASMFC. Also, there are no
		reported oceanic whitetip sharks
		landed by commercial harvesters
		or recreational anglers fishing off
		the coast of Virginia in state or
		federal waters.

Length of Guidance Documents (only applicable if guidance document is being revised)

Title of Guidance	Original Length	New Length	Net Change in
Document			Length

<sup>\*</sup>If the agency is modifying a guidance document that has regulatory requirements, it should report any change in requirements in the appropriate chart(s).