

1           MINUTES

2

3           The State Board of Elections Meeting was held on Thursday, February 4, 2016.  
4           The meeting was held in the Monroe Building, Richmond, Virginia – Room C/D/E. In  
5           attendance, representing the State Board of Elections (SBE) was Clara Belle Wheeler,  
6           Vice Chair and Singleton McAllister, Secretary. Also in attendance, representing the  
7           Department of Elections (ELECT) was Edgardo Cortés, Commissioner; Elizabeth  
8           Howard, Deputy Commissioner and Rose Mansfield, Clerk. Anna Birkenheier, Assistant  
9           Attorney General and Counsel to SBE and ELECT attended. Vice Chair Wheeler called  
10          the meeting to order at 11:00AM.

11          Vice Chair Wheeler stated that this was a special meeting of SBE and that the  
12          agenda contained a single item. Vice Chair Wheeler stated that the item to be discussed  
13          was the Republican Party of Virginia’s (RPV) voter statement requirement.  
14          Commissioner Cortés stated that on January 30, 2016, ELECT received a request from  
15          the RPV to repeal the requirement for voters to sign a statement of affiliation.  
16          Commissioner Cortés stated that the Department immediately began the process of  
17          contacting the Board Members to establish a meeting date to consider this matter.  
18          Commissioner Cortés stated that the question, before the Board, is whether to repeal the  
19          statement of affiliation under §24.2-545A of the *Code* of Virginia that was passed at the  
20          December 16, 2015, SBE Board Meeting. Commissioner Cortés stated that absentee voting  
21          started on January 15, 2016. The Department needed to review how a significant change  
22          would impact voters in the middle of the voting process. Vice Chair Wheeler asked John  
23          Findlay, RPV Executive Director, to address the Board Members on the issue.

24          Mr. Findlay stated that the letter sent to ELECT on January 30, 2016 addressed  
25          the decision made by the RPV. Secretary McAllister asked for the reasoning behind the  
26          request. Mr. Findlay stated that: “The reasoning was covered in a press release issued by  
27          the RPV on January 30, 2016. The press release stated that the form, that was approved  
28          by SBE, on December 16, 2015 was different that the form that was put forth from the  
29          RPV. Additionally, the day before the RPV meeting, we found out from a report in the  
30          Virginia-Pilot that signing the form could be basically drawing a line, or marking an “x”,  
31          and or drawing a Mickey Mouse and that would count as a signature. Mr. Findlay stated

32 that the original form was on a half-sheet and we received information that the font size  
33 on the document was 6.5 and when we called the Department we were told that there was  
34 an error in the document which created a reprint. Mr. Findlay stated that there were  
35 several issues and as time passed it became unacceptable to our membership.” Secretary  
36 McAllister asked Anna Birkenheier, Assistant Attorney General and Counsel to SBE and  
37 ELECT, to consider the matter before the Board. Ms. Birkenheier stated that the Office of  
38 the Attorney General has reviewed this matter and concluded that the Board has the  
39 authority to rescind the voter statement and it is at the Board’s discretion to consider this  
40 matter.

41 Vice Chair Wheeler stated that there have been 5,720 applications to vote  
42 absentee in the presidential primary on March 1, 2016. Secretary McAllister stated that  
43 SBE needed assurances from the Republican Party that: “You will not change your mind,  
44 again.” Mr. Findlay stated: “I cannot speak if someone calls an emergency meeting to try  
45 and change it again; I think that the likelihood of that is exceedingly small as in 0.000. I  
46 cannot foresee any situation where there will be any type of request to re-implement  
47 this...” Secretary McAllister asked Mr. Findlay if he was aware that the taxpayers of the  
48 Commonwealth spent over \$60,000.00 to implement this and the Office of the Attorney  
49 General has spent over 150 hours of work on this request. Mr. Findlay stated: “I am  
50 aware of this and that is why in our initial statement we offered to pay for the cost of the  
51 forms. I understand that usually the cost of the elections document is usually printed by  
52 the agency, but in this case where printed by an outside vendor...we offered to do this but  
53 this was the decision of the Board. We offered to pay for this. We looked at the printing  
54 cost and we really encourage you guys to look at cost, as we have vendors that could do  
55 this at 50% less then what was paid. I can’t speak to why our initial request to pay for this  
56 wasn’t granted?” Secretary McAllister stated that the Commissioner had reviewed this  
57 issue.

58 Commissioner Cortés stated that the letter sent by the party in late December  
59 indicated that the party would pay as long as the party could determine everything related  
60 to the printing. As a state agency, there are procurement rules that must be followed. “I  
61 am frustrated that the statement: “The reasoning that the Republican Party has given for  
62 rescinding this requirement suggests that there are some actions that the Department of

63 Elections or the State Board of Elections committed that led to this being and issue.” The  
64 Department has gone above and beyond, and so has the Board, in ensuring that the  
65 party’s rights under *Code* have been realized and it is up to the party to determine the  
66 requirement and up to the Board to certify it under *Code*. Regardless or not if I thought it  
67 a wise move in terms of participation or administration of elections, it is the party’s right  
68 to exercise that right. ELECT had to testify in federal court in defense of your party’s  
69 right to do that. So, now to pull this requirement, after the start of absentee voting, when  
70 there were questions about when to pull it or if there were plans to pull it. I made clear at  
71 the December meeting the January date when voting would start there would be  
72 significant issues to properly administering the election after that date. It is really  
73 frustrating to hear you not accepting responsibility that this is your decision to move  
74 forward with the statement and now that because you have seen that voters are unhappy  
75 with it and you have gotten bad publicity you have chosen now to rescind it and try to  
76 suggest this is because of some action on our part. We are required to follow the *Code*  
77 and implement it...and to have you suggest publically that this is not on some blog but  
78 this is the official statement of the party, suggesting that we were politicalizing this issue  
79 and working with the Attorney General Office and the Governors’ office to politicalize  
80 this issue. I would really like a response to as why you have gone that route instead of  
81 just saying: “Hey, we chose to take an action, that was our right as a party to take, and we  
82 now realize this is a bad idea and we would really like to work with you to reel this back  
83 because it was a bad idea and how do we move forward.” That is not what is happening,  
84 here.”

85 Mr. Findlay stated: “The reason it was repealed was on the statement we put out  
86 and it came to light late in the process that anything would be accepted as a signature. I  
87 understand that you are frustrated, but we are also very frustrated. We got the email with  
88 the proposal of the pledge at midnight, 12:05am, the day of the meeting that it was to be  
89 approved with less than eight hours to look it over, we all were really asleep, so really we  
90 had three hours to look over the initial thing. We then went back on December 23<sup>rd</sup>, week  
91 after the meeting; I sent an email to the Department of Elections Staff asking that the  
92 statement be modified to reinstate some of the original language that was in the form and  
93 two more requests subsequent to that asking for changes before absentee voting, two

94 weeks before. I would be happy to forward those emails, if they were not forwarded to  
95 you directly, and that request fell on deaf ears. There was no action and some of the  
96 language was very different than the form and so we put our request for changes in before  
97 the deadline that you publically stated and those were not acted upon. The late news  
98 about the signature requirements, not really being a signature requirement, as well as  
99 some of the original issues, those are the reasons the state central voted for it and it was  
100 not due to...I understand that you would like to make it an issue of us, voter back-lash, I  
101 was in the room, I was in the executive session when it was talked about: It was due to  
102 the reasons cited in that press release. That is the reason, and I really wish that the  
103 changes that we asked to be implemented were implemented.”

104 Commissioner Cortés stated: “It appears from the original request that the state  
105 central committee made the request back in September, but didn’t notify the state until  
106 the end of November, during the course of that or even subsequent to that, did you  
107 discuss with anybody, current or former election officials some of these issues of  
108 signature requirements. This is not a new thing; there is not a signature legibility law in  
109 Virginia. The issue of the forms not being available to you until afterwards was decided  
110 back in 2012, under a previous board. Did you speak with an election administrator about  
111 some of these questions, or discuss this with us in advance in order to move forward with  
112 this and in the direction?”

113 Mr. Findlay stated: “I believe you received a letter from Don Palmer in 2012  
114 when he based the original decision. The meeting that was cancelled in early February, I  
115 believe there was on the agenda a chance for us to discuss getting access to the  
116 information, afterwards. Again, Don Palmer read the memo in 2012 and a brief for us that  
117 were very detailed and cited *Codes* and cases. He went through the various reasons why  
118 we had an argument that the forms should be access to FOIA under *Virginia Code*. We  
119 obviously did speak to experts, and it was well considered, and did you receive that?”  
120 Commissioner Cortés stated that does not change the position of the Department, in  
121 consultation with legal counsel in 2012 that these forms were not subject to release. The  
122 Department did get in touch with you about the changes and informed you that it required  
123 board action and you were at the meeting. Commissioner Cortés stated: “This is an  
124 attempt to throw back on the Department, who worked with all the elections officials

125 throughout the state, to try to properly administer this election. They are all now  
126 frustrated, they have been catching a lot of grief from voters and having to go through a  
127 lot of hoops to try and get this implemented and now we are pulling it at the last minute.  
128 This has been a huge frustration, that there has been no acceptance of responsibility by  
129 the Party in the terms of their actions and changing the rules in the middle of a federal  
130 election.”

131 Vice Chair Wheeler stated that the matter has been reviewed by the Attorney  
132 General’s Office and there is no reason why the Board cannot remove this request, which  
133 had been previously granted, at this time. The discussion was on “How do we treat all  
134 voters’ equally.” Secretary McAllister stated that you approached the Board with this  
135 request and we asked if you were sure you wanted to do this and you said, yes. We  
136 honored your request and I believe that the Department has done an outstanding job to try  
137 to make this work for you and as a result we all went to court together. “At the end of the  
138 day, we want to make sure we are doing what is right for the Voters of Virginia and not  
139 making this a partisan issue, and everyone has transparency and they can vote. I am not  
140 going to try to shift blame one way or another, and I hope that you do not try to do the  
141 same.”

142 Vice Chair Wheeler asked if there were any public comments. Public speakers  
143 were: Hope Amezquita, ACLU of Virginia; Robin Lind, Secretary of the Goochland  
144 County Electoral Board; Cameron Sasnett, Fairfax County General Registrar, and Donald  
145 F. McGahn, Trump Campaign. Vice Chair Wheeler asked if there were any other  
146 comments and there were none.

147 Vice Chair Wheeler moved *that the Board accept the Republican Party of*  
148 *Virginia's request to repeal the Republican Party of Virginia's Statement of Affiliation for*  
149 *the 2016 Republican presidential primary election, and that the Board delegate to the*  
150 *Department of Elections authority to issue guidance to localities to ensure that the*  
151 *Republican Party of Virginia's Statement of Affiliation is repealed.* Secretary McAllister  
152 seconded the motion and without further comment the Board unanimously approved the  
153 motion.

154 Vice Chair Wheeler moved *that the Board adjourn*. Secretary McAllister  
155 seconded the motion and without further comment the Board voted unanimously to  
156 adjourn. The meeting was adjourned at approximately 11:55AM.

157 The Board shall reconvene on March 1, 2016 at 8:00AM in the Washington  
158 Building, Richmond, Virginia 23219 – Room B27.

159

160

161

---

162

Secretary

163

164

---

165

Chair

166

167

---

168

Vice Chair

169

170