



townhall.virginia.gov

Periodic Review and Small Business Impact Review Report of Findings

Agency name	Board of Agriculture and Consumer Services
Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) Chapter citation(s)	2 VAC 5-90
VAC Chapter title(s)	Control and Eradication of Pullorum Disease and Fowl Typhoid in Poultry Flocks and Hatcheries and Products Thereof in Virginia
Date this document prepared	July 31, 2020

This information is required for executive branch review and the Virginia Registrar of Regulations, pursuant to the Virginia Administrative Process Act (APA), Executive Order 14 (as amended, July 16, 2018), the Regulations for Filing and Publishing Agency Regulations (1VAC7-10), and the **Form and Style Requirements for the Virginia Register of Regulations and Virginia Administrative Code**.

Acronyms and Definitions

Define all acronyms used in this Report, and any technical terms that are not also defined in the "Definitions" section of the regulation.

"Fowl typhoid" or "typhoid" means an infectious and contagious disease of poultry caused by *Salmonella gallinarum*.

"National Poultry Improvement Plan" or "NPIP" means the national plan established to provide a cooperative industry, state, and federal program through which new diagnostic technology can be effectively applied to the improvement of poultry and poultry products throughout the country. The National Poultry Improvement Plan currently includes commercial poultry, turkeys, waterfowl, exhibition poultry, backyard poultry, and game birds.

"Pullorum disease" or "pullorum" means an infectious and contagious disease of poultry caused by *Salmonella pullorum*.

"VDACS" means the Virginia Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services.

Legal Basis

Identify (1) the promulgating agency, and (2) the state and/or federal legal authority for the regulatory change, including the most relevant citations to the Code of Virginia or Acts of Assembly chapter number(s), if applicable. Your citation must include a specific provision, if any, authorizing the promulgating agency to regulate this specific subject or program, as well as a reference to the agency's overall regulatory authority.

Section 3.2-109 of the Code of Virginia (Code) establishes the Board of Agriculture and Consumer Services (Board) as a policy board and grants the Board the authority to adopt regulations in accordance with the provisions of Title 3.2 of the Code.

Section 3.2-6001 of the Code directs the Commissioner of Agriculture and Consumer Services, the Board, and the State Veterinarian to use their best efforts to protect livestock and poultry from contagious and infectious disease and provides that it shall be the duties of these parties to cooperate with the livestock and poultry disease control officials of other states and with the U.S. Department of Agriculture in establishing quarantine lines and regulations so as to best protect the livestock and poultry of the Commonwealth.

Alternatives to Regulation

Describe any viable alternatives for achieving the purpose of the regulation that were considered as part of the periodic review. Include an explanation of why such alternatives were rejected and why this regulation is the least burdensome alternative available for achieving its purpose.

The agency has determined that no viable alternatives exist to achieve the purpose of this regulation. This regulation was first promulgated in 1985, and since that time, it has allowed VDACS to make crucial decisions and take vital actions to protect the Commonwealth's poultry against Pullorum Disease and Fowl Typhoid. If this regulation were not in place, it would increase the possibility of the spread of these poultry diseases, which would result in significant financial losses for Virginia's poultry producers. State action against Pullorum Disease is also required pursuant to the NPIP. If this regulation were not in place, it would impede the agency's ability to comply with the NPIP. This regulation is the least burdensome alternative available to achieve its purpose.

Public Comment

Summarize all comments received during the public comment period following the publication of the Notice of Periodic Review, and provide the agency response. Be sure to include all comments submitted: including those received on Town Hall, in a public hearing, or submitted directly to the agency. Indicate if an informal advisory group was formed for purposes of assisting in the periodic review.

The agency did not receive any comments during the public comment period following the publication of the Notice of Periodic Review on April 27, 2020. An informal advisory group was not formed for the purpose of assisting in the periodic review.

Effectiveness

Pursuant to § 2.2-4017 of the Code of Virginia, indicate whether the regulation meets the criteria set out in Executive Order 14 (as amended, July 16, 2018), including why the regulation is (a) necessary for the protection of public health, safety, and welfare, and (b) is clearly written and easily understandable.

This regulation is necessary for the protection of public health, safety, and welfare because it provides for the protection of the poultry population in Virginia, which is a major component of the food supply. Since a safe food supply is imperative for the public health, safety, and welfare, it is important that this regulation stay in place for the continued protection of the public. It is clearly written and easy to understand.

Decision

Explain the basis for the promulgating agency's decision (retain the regulation as is without making changes, amend the regulation, or repeal the regulation).

The agency is recommending that this regulation stay in effect without change.

Small Business Impact

As required by § 2.2-4007.1 E and F of the Code of Virginia, discuss the agency's consideration of: (1) the continued need for the regulation; (2) the nature of complaints or comments received concerning the regulation; (3) the complexity of the regulation; (4) the extent to which the regulation overlaps, duplicates, or conflicts with federal or state law or regulation; and (5) the length of time since the regulation has been evaluated or the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other factors have changed in the area affected by the regulation. Also, discuss why the agency's decision, consistent with applicable law, will minimize the economic impact of regulations on small businesses.

1. There is a continued need for this regulation to stay in effect in order to protect poultry species and public health. If the regulation is not retained, then the risk of Pullorum Disease and Fowl Typhoid could have a negative impact on small businesses and poultry producers who rely on poultry for the viability of their farms and businesses.
2. The agency has not received any complaints or comments about this regulation.
3. This regulation is not unnecessarily complex and is easily understood.
4. This regulation does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with federal or state law or regulation.
5. This regulation last underwent periodic review in 2014, and since that time, there have not been significant changes in technology, economic conditions, or other factors in the area affected by the regulation that would require amendments to the regulation.

This regulation was promulgated with due concerns for industry and has minimal impact on small businesses.