



## **Economic Impact Analysis Virginia Department of Planning and Budget**

---

**6 VAC 20-110 – Rules Relating to Compulsory Minimum Training Standards for Private Security Services Business Personnel**  
**Department of Criminal Justice Services**  
October 2, 2013

---

### **Summary of the Proposed Amendments to Regulation**

The Criminal Justice Services Board (Board) proposes to repeal this regulation.

### **Result of Analysis**

The benefits likely exceed the costs for all proposed changes.

### **Estimated Economic Impact**

According to the Department of Criminal Justice Services, the Rules Relating to Compulsory Minimum Training Standards for Private Securities Business Personnel (6 VAC 20-110) became obsolete in 1994 when they were replaced by the Regulations Relating to Private Security Services (6 VAC 20-170), which included the training standards for private security services business personnel. Thus these regulations have not been in use since 1994 and repealing them will have no impact beyond helping eliminate potential confusion by readers of the administrative code.<sup>1</sup>

### **Businesses and Entities Affected**

The proposed repeal of these regulations will not directly affect any businesses or entities.

### **Localities Particularly Affected**

The proposed repeal does not disproportionately affect particular localities.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Regulations Relating to Private Security Services (6 VAC 20-170) was subsequently repealed in 2000 and replaced with Regulations Relating to Private Security Services (6 VAC 20-171), which are currently in effect.

## **Projected Impact on Employment**

The proposed repeal will not affect employment.

## **Effects on the Use and Value of Private Property**

The proposed repeal will not significantly affect the use and value of private property.

## **Small Businesses: Costs and Other Effects**

The proposed repeal will not affect costs for small businesses

## **Small Businesses: Alternative Method that Minimizes Adverse Impact**

The proposed repeal does not adversely affect small businesses.

## **Real Estate Development Costs**

The proposed repeal does not affect real estate development costs.

## **Legal Mandate**

The Department of Planning and Budget (DPB) has analyzed the economic impact of this proposed regulation in accordance with Section 2.2-4007.04 of the Administrative Process Act and Executive Order Number 14 (10). Section 2.2-4007.04 requires that such economic impact analyses include, but need not be limited to, the projected number of businesses or other entities to whom the regulation would apply, the identity of any localities and types of businesses or other entities particularly affected, the projected number of persons and employment positions to be affected, the projected costs to affected businesses or entities to implement or comply with the regulation, and the impact on the use and value of private property. Further, if the proposed regulation has adverse effect on small businesses, Section 2.2-4007.04 requires that such economic impact analyses include (i) an identification and estimate of the number of small businesses subject to the regulation; (ii) the projected reporting, recordkeeping, and other administrative costs required for small businesses to comply with the regulation, including the type of professional skills necessary for preparing required reports and other documents; (iii) a statement of the probable effect of the regulation on affected small businesses; and (iv) a description of any less intrusive or less costly alternative methods of achieving the purpose of the regulation. The analysis presented above represents DPB's best estimate of these economic impacts.