



Fast Track Proposed Regulation Agency Background Document

Agency name	State Water Control Board
Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) citation	9 VAC25-260 -30
Regulation title	Water Quality Standards
Action title	Designate the segment of the Hazel River within Shenandoah National Park as Exceptional State Waters (9 VAC 25-260-30).
Date this document prepared	June 2007

This information is required for executive branch review and the Virginia Registrar of Regulations, pursuant to the Virginia Administrative Process Act (APA), Executive Orders 36 (2006) and 58 (1999), and the *Virginia Register Form, Style, and Procedure Manual*.

Brief summary

Please provide a brief summary (no more than 2 short paragraphs) of the proposed new regulation, proposed amendments to the existing regulation, or the regulation proposed to be repealed. Alert the reader to all substantive matters or changes.

The proposed amendment to the Antidegradation Policy section (9 VAC 25-260-30) of the State's Water Quality Standards regulation designates the Hazel River within Shenandoah National Park for special protection as an Exceptional State Water (9 VAC 25-260-30.A.3.c).

Statement of final agency action

Please provide a statement of the final action taken by the agency including (1) the date the action was taken, (2) the name of the agency taking the action, and (3) the title of the regulation.

At their June 27-28, 2007, meeting, the State Water Control Board adopted the following recommendations by staff of the Department of Environmental Quality:

1. That the Board authorize the Department to promulgate the attached proposal for public comment

using the fast-track process established in § 2.2-4012.1 of the Administrative Process Act for regulations expected to be non-controversial. The Board's authorization should also be understood to constitute its adoption of the regulation at the end of the public comment period provided that (i) no objection to use of the fast-track process is received from 10 or more persons, or any member of the applicable standing committee of either house of the General Assembly or of the Joint Commission on Administrative Rules, and (ii) the Department does not find it necessary, based on public comments or for any other reason, to make any changes to the proposal.

2. That the Board authorize the Department to set an effective date effective upon filing notice of Environmental Protection Agency approval with the Registrar of Regulations after close of the 60-day public comment period provided (i) the proposal completes the fast-track rulemaking process as provided in § 2.2-4012.1 of the Administrative Process Act and (ii) the Department does not find it necessary to make any changes to the proposal.

Should the proposal fail to complete the fast-track rulemaking process as provided in § 2.2-4012.1 of the Administrative Process Act or changes to the proposal be needed, it is recommended that the Board authorize the Director to make the decision under 9 VAC 25-10-30.C. concerning the use of the participatory approach or alternatives.

Legal basis

Please identify the state and/or federal legal authority to promulgate this proposed regulation, including (1) the most relevant law and/or regulation, including General Assembly chapter number(s), if applicable, and (2) promulgating entity, i.e., the agency, board, or person. Describe the scope of the legal authority and the extent to which the authority is mandatory or discretionary.

Section 62.1-44.15(3a) of the Code of Virginia, as amended, mandates and authorizes the State Water Control Board to establish water quality standards and policies for any State waters consistent with the purpose and general policy of the State Water Control Law, and to modify, amend or cancel any such standards or policies established. The federal Clean Water Act at 303(c) mandates the State Water Control Board to review and, as appropriate, modify and adopt water quality standards. The corresponding federal water quality standards regulation at 40 CFR 131.6 describes the minimum requirements for water quality standards. The minimum requirements are use designations, water quality criteria to protect the designated uses and an antidegradation policy. All of the citations mentioned describe mandates for water quality standards.

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Water Quality Standards regulation (40 CFR 131.12) is the regulatory basis for the EPA requiring the states to establish within the antidegradation policy the Exceptional State Waters category and the eligibility decision criteria for these waters. EPA retains approval/disapproval oversight, but delegates to the states the election and designation of specific water bodies as Exceptional State Waters.

Purpose

Please explain the need for the new or amended regulation. Describe the rationale or justification of the proposed regulatory action. Detail the specific reasons the regulation is essential to protect the health,

safety or welfare of citizens. Discuss the goals of the proposal and the problems the proposal is intended to solve.

The Department has concluded that the proposed amendments to the regulation are essential to protecting the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of the Commonwealth by protecting the water quality and living resources of these particular water bodies for human consumption of fish, recreational uses and conservation. The State Water Control Board views Exceptional State Waters nominations as citizen petitions under § 9-6.14.71 of the Code of Virginia. Therefore, the Board took action on this petition for proposed designation because Department staff had concluded, based on the information available at the time of the preliminary evaluation, that the proposed designation met the eligibility requirements which a water body must meet before it can be afforded the extra point source protection provided by such a designation. The Exceptional State Waters category of the Antidegradation Policy allows the Board to designate waters which display exceptional environmental settings and either exceptional aquatic communities or exceptional recreational opportunities for added protection. The section of the river under consideration within the Shenandoah National Park within Rappahannock County meets all three criteria: Exceptional Environmental Setting, Exceptional Aquatic Community and Exceptional Recreational Opportunities. State classification of these waters as Exceptional State Waters will afford an additional layer of protection over that provided by the Antidegradation Policy (9 VAC 25-260-30.A.3.b) in that no water quality degradation at all would be allowed in Exceptional Waters. The only exception would be temporary, limited impact activities. These are waters that are of a very high quality and possess ecological attributes or exceptional recreational usage that need the special protection and maintenance provided by not lowering water quality. By ensuring that no water quality degradation is allowed to occur in exceptional state waters, the Board is protecting these special waters at their present quality for use and enjoyment by future generations of Virginians.

Because of the potential impact of an Exceptional State Waters designation on permitted discharges to the water body, Section 62.1-44.15:4.B of the State Code requires notification and opportunity for comment from potentially impacted localities and riparian property owners, so the decision by the Board to initiate a rulemaking to amend the water quality standards to designate these waters as Exceptional State Waters was made after providing an opportunity to comment and giving due consideration to their comments.

Rationale for using fast track process

Please explain the rationale for using the fast track process in promulgating this regulation. Why do you expect this rulemaking to be noncontroversial?

Please note: If an objection to the use of the fast-track process is received within the 60-day public comment period from 10 or more persons, any member of the applicable standing committee of either house of the General Assembly or of the Joint Commission on Administrative Rules, the agency shall (i) file notice of the objection with the Registrar of Regulations for publication in the Virginia Register, and (ii) proceed with the normal promulgation process with the initial publication of the fast-track regulation serving as the Notice of Intended Regulatory Action.

The proposed amendment is expected to be non-controversial, and therefore justifies using the fast track process. The segment of Hazel River intended for Exceptional State Waters designation is entirely on public lands (Shenandoah National Park) and impacts are not expected for individual riparian landowners or businesses.

Substance

Please briefly identify and explain the new substantive provisions, the substantive changes to existing sections, or both where appropriate. (Provide more detail about these changes in the "Detail of changes" section.)

The proposed amendments to the Antidegradation Policy (9 VAC 25-260-30), part of the State's Water Quality Standards, designates a portion of the Hazel River for special protection as Exceptional State Waters (9 VAC 25-260-30.A.3.c).

Issues

Please identify the issues associated with the proposed regulatory action, including:

- 1) the primary advantages and disadvantages to the public, such as individual private citizens or businesses, of implementing the new or amended provisions;*
 - 2) the primary advantages and disadvantages to the agency or the Commonwealth; and*
 - 3) other pertinent matters of interest to the regulated community, government officials, and the public.*
- If there are no disadvantages to the public or the Commonwealth, please indicate.*
-

Upon permanent regulatory designation of a water body as an Exceptional State Water, the quality of that water body will be maintained and protected by not allowing any degradation except on a very short-term basis. No new, additional or increased point source discharge of sewage, industrial wastes or other pollution would be allowed into waters designated. In addition, no new mixing zones would be allowed in the Exceptional State Water and mixing zones from upstream or tributary waters could not extend into the Exceptional State Water section.

A potential disadvantage to the public may be the prohibition of new or expanded permanent point source discharges imposed within the segment once the regulatory designation is effective that would cause riparian landowners within the designated segment to seek alternatives to discharging to the designated segment and, therefore, to have additional financial expenditures associated with wastewater or storm water treatment. The segment of the water body under consideration for designation does not currently contain any permitted point source discharges.

The primary advantage to the public is that the waters will be protected at their present high level of quality for the use and enjoyment of current and future generations of Virginians.

The factors to be considered in determining whether a nominated water body meets the eligibility decision criteria of exceptional environmental settings and possessing outstanding recreational opportunities and/or exceptional aquatic communities are described in the Department's November 15, 2004 "04-2021, Guidance for Exceptional State Waters Designations in Antidegradation Policy Section of Virginia Water Quality Standards Regulation (9 VAC 25-260-30.A.3)." Although the water body proposed for designation is located on public (federal) land, the locality and businesses located near the designated water may experience financial benefits through an increase in eco-tourism to the area because of the exceptional nature of the water body that led to its designation.

There is no disadvantage to the agency or the Commonwealth that will result from the adoption of this amendment.

Requirements more restrictive than federal

Please identify and describe any requirement of the proposal which is more restrictive than applicable federal requirements. Include a rationale for the need for the more restrictive requirements. If there are no applicable federal requirements or no requirements that exceed applicable federal requirements, include a statement to that effect.

The proposed amendment does not exceed applicable federal minimum requirements.

Localities particularly affected

Please identify any locality particularly affected by the proposed regulation. Locality particularly affected means any locality which bears any identified disproportionate material impact which would not be experienced by other localities.

Rappahannock County

Public Participation

Please include a statement that in addition to any other comments on the regulation, the agency is seeking comments on the costs and benefits of the proposal, the potential impacts on the regulated community and the impacts of the regulation on farm or forest land preservation.

In addition to any other comments, the Board is seeking comments on the costs and benefits of the proposal, the potential impacts on the regulated community and on any impacts of the regulation on farm and forest land preservation.

Anyone wishing to submit written comments for the public comment file may do so by mail, email or fax to David C. Whitehurst, Office of Water Quality Programs, Department of Environmental Quality, P.O. Box 10009, Richmond, VA 23240, email: dcwhitehurst@deq.virginia.gov, phone: 804-698-4121, fax: 804-698-4116. Comments may also be submitted through the Public Forum feature of the Virginia Regulatory Town Hall web site at: www.townhall.virginia.gov. Written comments must include the name and address of the commenter. In order to be considered comments must be received by 5:00 p.m. on the date established as the close of the comment period.

Regulatory flexibility analysis

Please describe the agency's analysis of alternative regulatory methods, consistent with health, safety, environmental, and economic welfare, that will accomplish the objectives of applicable law while minimizing the adverse impact on small business. Alternative regulatory methods include, at a minimum: 1) the establishment of less stringent compliance or reporting requirements; 2) the establishment of less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements; 3) the consolidation or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements; 4) the establishment of performance standards for small businesses to replace design or operational standards required in the proposed regulation; and 5) the exemption of small businesses from all or any part of the requirements contained in the proposed regulation.

Analysis not performed as no small businesses are affected.

Economic impact

Please identify the anticipated economic impact of the proposed regulation.

<p>Projected cost to the state to implement and enforce the proposed regulation, including (a) fund source / fund detail, and (b) a delineation of one-time versus on-going expenditures</p>	<p>The projected cost to implement and enforce the proposed regulatory amendment should not cause any additional financial impact to the state. This amendment is an update of existing rules and while the staff may have to change the way permit issuance and water quality assessments are conducted, it will not take additional staff or resources to do this. These programs are funded by EPA 106 grants.</p>
<p>Projected cost of the regulation on localities</p>	<p>It is not expected that this Exceptional State Waters designation will impose a cost on the localities, as these waters are all located on federal lands.</p>
<p>Description of the individuals, businesses or other entities likely to be affected by the regulation</p>	<p>Riparian landowners adjacent to the designated water body. For this rulemaking, the United States Park Service is the only identified landowner. No small business is impacted.</p>
<p>Agency’s best estimate of the number of such entities that will be affected. Please include an estimate of the number of small businesses affected. Small business means a business entity, including its affiliates, that (i) is independently owned and operated and (ii) employs fewer than 500 full-time employees or has gross annual sales of less than \$6 million.</p>	<p>1 entity (federal government) No small businesses</p>
<p>All projected costs of the regulation for affected individuals, businesses, or other entities. Please be specific. Be sure to include the projected reporting, recordkeeping, and other administrative costs required for compliance by small businesses.</p>	<p>None, unless the alternative to discharging to the designated water body requires some additional financial expenditure.</p>

Alternatives

Please describe any viable alternatives to the proposal considered and the rationale used by the agency to select the least burdensome or intrusive alternative that meets the essential purpose of the action. Also, include discussion of less intrusive or less costly alternatives for small businesses, as defined in §2.2-4007.1 of the Code of Virginia, of achieving the purpose of the regulation.

The primary alternative considered to date was to leave the regulation unchanged. This was not the alternative chosen because this water body meets the eligibility criteria, based on the information available at the time of the preliminary evaluation.

Family impact

Please assess the impact of the proposed regulatory action on the institution of the family and family stability including to what extent the regulatory action will: 1) strengthen or erode the authority and rights of parents in the education, nurturing, and supervision of their children; 2) encourage or discourage economic self-sufficiency, self-pride, and the assumption of responsibility for oneself, one’s spouse, and one’s children and/or elderly parents; 3) strengthen or erode the marital commitment; and 4) increase or decrease disposable family income.

The development of water quality standards is for the protection of public health and safety, which has only an indirect impact on families.

Detail of changes

Please detail all changes that are being proposed and the consequences of the proposed changes. Detail all new provisions and/or all changes to existing sections.

If the proposed regulation is intended to replace an emergency regulation, please list separately (1) all changes between the pre-emergency regulation and the proposed regulation, and (2) only changes made since the publication of the emergency regulation.

For changes to existing regulations, use this chart:

Current section number	Proposed new section number, if applicable	Current requirement	Proposed change and rationale
30		(1) Little Stony Creek in Giles County from the first footbridge above the Cascades picnic area, upstream to the 3,300-foot elevation. (2) Bottom Creek in Montgomery County and Roanoke County from Route 669 (Patterson Drive) downstream to the last property boundary of the Nature Conservancy on the southern side of the creek. (3) Lake Drummond,	The addition of a portion of the Hazel River to 9 VAC 25-260-30.A.3.c. This water body meets the eligibility criteria necessary to be designated as an Exceptional State Water.

		<p>located on U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service property, is nominated in its entirety within the cities of Chesapeake and Suffolk excluding any ditches and/or tributaries.</p> <p>(4) North Creek in Botetourt County from the first bridge above the United States Forest Service North Creek Camping Area to its headwaters.</p> <p>(5) Brown Mountain Creek, located on U.S. Forest Service land in Amherst County, from the City of Lynchburg property boundary upstream to the first crossing with the national forest property boundary.</p> <p>(6) Laurel Fork, located on U.S. Forest Service land in Highland County, from the national forest property boundary below Route 642 downstream to the Virginia/West Virginia state line.</p> <p>(7) North Fork of the Buffalo River, located on U.S. Forest Service land in Amherst County, from its confluence with Rocky Branch upstream to its headwaters.</p> <p>(8) Pedlar River, located on U.S. Forest Service land in Amherst County, from where the river crosses FR 39 upstream to the first crossing with the national forest property boundary.</p> <p>(9) Ramseys Draft, located on U.S. Forest Service land in Augusta County, from its headwaters (which includes Right and Left Prong Ramseys Draft) downstream to the Wilderness Area boundary.</p> <p>(10) Whitetop Laurel Creek,</p>	
--	--	--	--

		<p>located on U.S. Forest Service land in Washington County, from the national forest boundary immediately upstream from the second railroad trestle crossing the creek above Taylors Valley upstream to the confluence of Green Cove Creek.</p> <p>(11) Ragged Island Creek in Isle of Wight County from its confluence with the James River at a line drawn across the creek mouth at N36°56.306'/W76°29.136' to N36°55.469'/W76°29.802' upstream to a line drawn across the main stem of the creek at N36°57.094'/W76°30.473' to N36°57.113'/W76°30.434', excluding wetlands and impounded areas and including only those tributaries completely contained within the Ragged Island Creek Wildlife Management Area on the northeastern side of the creek.</p> <p>(12) Big Run in Rockingham County from its headwaters downstream to the first crossing with the Shenandoah National Park boundary and all tributaries to this segment of Big Run within the confines of Shenandoah National Park.</p> <p>(13) Doyles River in Albemarle County from its headwaters to the first crossing with the Shenandoah National Park boundary and Jones Falls Run from its headwaters to its confluence with Doyles River and all tributaries to these segments of Doyles River and Jones Fall Run</p>	
--	--	--	--

		<p>within the confines of Shenandoah National Park.</p> <p>(14) East Hawksbill Creek in Page County from its headwaters downstream to the first crossing with the Shenandoah National Park boundary and all tributaries to this segment of East Hawksbill Creek within the confines of Shenandoah National Park.</p> <p>(15) Jeremys Run in Page County from its headwaters downstream to the first crossing with the Shenandoah National Park boundary and all tributaries to this segment of Jeremys Run within the confines of Shenandoah National Park.</p> <p>(16) East Branch Naked Creek in Page County from its headwaters downstream to the first crossing with the Shenandoah National Park boundary and all tributaries to this segment of East Branch Naked Creek within the confines of Shenandoah National Park.</p> <p>(17) Piney River in Rappahannock County from its headwaters downstream to the first crossing with the Shenandoah National Park boundary and all tributaries to this segment of the Piney River within the confines of Shenandoah National Park.</p> <p>(18) North Fork Thornton River in Rappahannock County from its headwaters downstream to the first crossing with the Shenandoah National Park boundary and all tributaries to this segment of the North Fork Thornton River within the confines of</p>	
--	--	--	--

		<p>Shenandoah National Park.</p> <p>(19) Blue Suck Branch from its headwaters downstream to the first crossing with the George Washington National Forest boundary.</p> <p>(20) Downy Branch from its headwaters downstream to the first crossing with the George Washington National Forest boundary.</p> <p>(21) North Branch Simpson Creek (Brushy Run) from its headwaters downstream to its confluence with Simpson Creek.</p> <p>(22) Roberts Creek from its confluence with the Pedlar River upstream to its first crossing with the National Forest boundary.</p> <p>(23) Shady Mountain Creek from its headwaters downstream to its confluence with the Pedlar River.</p> <p>(24) Cove Creek from its headwaters downstream to the National Forest boundary.</p> <p>(25) Little Cove Creek and its tributaries from the headwaters downstream to the National Forest boundary.</p> <p>(26) Rocky Branch from its headwaters downstream to its confluence with the North Fork of the Buffalo River.</p> <p>(27) North Fork of the Buffalo River from its confluence with Rocky Branch downstream to the National Forest Boundary.</p>	
--	--	---	--