#### **COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA**

Department of Environmental Quality

Subject:	Guidance Memo No. GM24-2002 – Virginia Runoff Reduction Method Compliance Spreadsheet User's Guide & Documentation, Version 4.1
То:	Regional Directors, Central Office, Office of Stormwater Management
From:	Mike Rolband, Director
Date:	January 29, 2024

#### **Summary:**

The Virginia Erosion and Stormwater Management Regulation (9VAC25-875, VESM Regulation) requires use of the Virginia Runoff Reduction Method (VRRM) or another equivalent methodology approved by DEQ for compliance with the water quality criteria in Article 3, Part V (9VAC25-875-570 et seq.). This guidance revises the Virginia Runoff Reduction Method: Instructions & Documentation (March 28, 2011) and Virginia Runoff Reduction Method Compliance Spreadsheet User's Guide & Documentation (Version 3.0, April 2016), updates the VRRM Excel spreadsheets, and confirms that the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) approves the use of VRRM Version 4.1 and a target total phosphorus load of 0.26 lbs/acre/yr, when used in conjunction with the Virginia Stormwater Management Handbook, Version 1.0 (effective July 1, 2024) as an equivalent methodology under 9VAC25-875-590 A of the VESM Regulation.

# Virginia Runoff Reduction Method Compliance Spreadsheet User's Guide & Documentation, Version 4.1, July 1, 2024:

The Virginia Runoff Reduction Method Compliance Spreadsheet User's Guide & Documentation, Version 4.1, July 2024 (VRRM 4.1 User Guide) follows this cover memo and provides stepwise user instructions as well as a thorough explanation of the spreadsheet logic, equations, and reference information. The VRRM 4.1 User Guide and Excel spreadsheets for VRRM 4.1 may be found on the DEQ webpage (<u>https://www.deq.virginia.gov/our-programs/water/stormwater-construction/guidance-vrrm</u>) as part of GM 24-2002 and are also accessible from the webpage for the Virginia Stormwater Management Handbook, Version 1.0 (Handbook) (<u>https://online.encodeplus.com/regs/deq-va/index.aspx</u>).

The spreadsheets serve as the DEQ compliance tool for projects subject to the VRRM and the water quality criteria in Article 3, Part V of the VESM Regulation.

#### Key new features of VRRM 4.1 include:

• **Expanded land covers:** Separates the existing forest/open space land cover into individual "forest" and "mixed open" land covers and establishes runoff curve numbers for A, B, C, and D Hydrologic Soil Groups (HSGs) for each.

- Alignment with the Chesapeake Assessment Scenario Tool (CAST): Assigns the applicable number of 49 CAST land uses to four VRRM land covers and uses CAST loading rates to update VRRM land covers.
- Addresses changes to the Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model (CBWM), reported through CAST, regarding urban phosphorus fertilizer applications: Derives VRRM loading rates from a CBWM scenario that better represents reduced fertilizer applications on managed turf due to Virginia's ban on phosphorus fertilizer sales and use compared to existing model runs.
- Accommodates new post-development Best Management Practices (BMPs): Accommodates two additional BMPs, Regenerative Stormwater Conveyance (RSC) and Tree Planting, which are new in the Virginia Stormwater Management Handbook, Version 1.0.
- Utilizes a more accurate total phosphorus load for new development, 0.26 Ibs/acre/yr: The total phosphorus load (TP) reflects the projected mix of land to be developed in Virginia's Chesapeake Bay watershed based on recently analyzed and published data in the U.S. Geologic Survey's (USGS) Chesapeake Bay Land Use and Land Cover Database. VRRM 4.1 uses the USGS dataset based on feedback from the SAG. With this data, Virginia Tech compared current aggregated land use data and average loading rates for total phosphorus to calculate the proposed 0.26 lbs/acre/yr standard. The standard reflects the continuing trend of an increasing percentage of forested/natural land (81%) that is converted for development relative to the percentage of additional agricultural land (19%) that is converted.

DEQ staff and VESMP Authorities should encourage designers to start using VRRM 4.1 on the effective date of this guidance, in conjunction with the new Virginia Stormwater Management Handbook, Version 1.0. However, as explained below under "Implementation," planners and designers may utilize earlier spreadsheet versions until July 1, 2025.

#### **Implementation:**

As guidance, VRRM 4.1 does not replace the VRMM instructions and document (March 28, 2011) that was incorporated by reference into the VESM Regulation. Further, DEQ will continue to accept results from VRRM 1.0 and VRRM 3.0, using a TP load of 0.41 lbs/acre/yr, when calculated using specifications in the 1999 Virginia Stormwater Management Handbook, until July 1, 2025. Members of the regulated community are encouraged to use VRRM 4.1 in conjunction with the accompanying TP load for new development of 0.26 lbs/acre/yr as an alternative to VRRM 1.0 and 3.0. Note that VRRM 4.1 must be used with BMP specifications the Virginia Stormwater Management Handbook, Version 1.0 (effective July 1, 2024).

9VAC25-875-590 A. states that "Compliance with the water quality design criteria set out in subdivisions A 1 and A 2 of 9VAC25-875-580 shall be determined by utilizing the Virginia Runoff Reduction Method or another equivalent methodology that is approved by the department." Until such time as the State Water Control Board adopts amendments to the VESM Regulation, VRRM 4.1 will be available for use by the regulated community as "another equivalent methodology that is approved by the department."

As guidance, VRRM 4.1 and 0.26 lbs/acre/yr are not enforceable regulatory requirements.

DEQ will initiate a rulemaking process under the Administrative Process Act (APA) to amend the VESM Regulation, including 9VAC25-875-590 and the Documents Incorporated by Reference, to make the total phosphorus load for new development 0.26 lbs/acre/yr and change the "VRRM Instructions and Documentation, from March 28, 2011," to the VRRM 4.1 User Guide and compliance spreadsheets for VRRM 4.1. The target effective date for these changes is July 1, 2025. Upon conclusion of the rulemaking process, but no earlier than July 1, 2025, VRRM 4.1 will replace both VRRM 1.0 and VRRM 3.0 (See Figure 1 below).



**Figure 1.** Timeline to phase-out VRRM 1.0 and 3.0 and formally adopt VRRM 4.1 in the VESM Regulation.

# **Electronic Copy:**

Once effective, an electronic copy of this guidance will be available on:

- The Virginia Regulatory Town Hall webpage under the Department of Environmental Quality: <u>https://townhall.virginia.gov/L/gdocs.cfm?agencynumber=440</u>
- The Department's website at: <u>https://www.deq.virginia.gov/our-programs/water/stormwater/stormwater-construction/guidance-vrrm</u>.

# **Contact information:**

For additional information regarding VRRM 4.1 (VRRM User Guide and Excel spreadsheets), please contact Becky Rochet, Deputy Director, Water Permitting Division, at (804) 801-2950 or Rebeccah.Rochet@deq.virginia.gov.

# **Certification:**

As required by Subsection B of § 2.2-4002.1 of the APA, the agency certifies that this guidance document conforms to the definition of a guidance document in § 2.2-4101 of the Code of Virginia.

**Disclaimer:** 

This document is provided as guidance and, as such, sets forth standard operating procedures for the agency. However, it does not mandate or prohibit any particular action not otherwise required or prohibited by law or regulation. If alternative proposals are made, such proposals will be reviewed and accepted or denied based on their technical adequacy and compliance with appropriate laws and regulations.

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Initials: \_\_\_\_\_



# Virginia Runoff Reduction Method



# VIRGINIA RUNOFF REDUCTION METHOD Compliance Spreadsheet User's Guide & Documentation (Version 4.1, July 2024)

# **Table of Contents**

1.0 INTRODUCTION	7
2.0 BASICS FOR USING SPREADSHEET AND GUIDANCE	9
2.1. SPREADSHEET BASICS	9
2.2. SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS	. 10
2.3. GUIDANCE BASICS	. 10
3.0 SITE TAB (NEW DEVELOPMENT)	.11
3.1. SITE TAB – LAND COVER	.13
3.2. SITE TAB – COMPUTATIONS	. 17
4.0 SITE TAB (REDEVELOPMENT)	. 19
4.1. WHAT'S SIMILAR?	. 20
4.2. WHAT'S DIFFERENT?	.21
4.2.1. SITE TAB (REDEVELOPMENT) – LAND COVER AREA INPUTS	.21
4.2.2. SITE TAB (REDEVELOPMENT) – LAND COVER SUMMARIES	. 28
4.2.3. SITE TAB (REDEVELOPMENT) – WATER QUALITY REQUIREMENTS	.34
5.0 DRAINAGE AREA (D.A.) TABS	.40
5.1. DRAINAGE AREA TAB LAND COVER AREAS	.42
5.2. DRAINAGE AREA LAND COVER SUMMARY	.43
5.3. DRAINAGE AREA TAB STORMWATER BMPS	.44
5.3.1. BMP DESIGN LEVELS	.44
5.3.2. RUNOFF REDUCTION BMPS	.45
5.3.3. NON-RUNOFF REDUCTION BMPS	.46
5.3.4. DRAINAGE AREA TAB BMP COMPUTATIONS	.49
5.3.5. DRAINAGE AREA TAB COMPUTATION SUMMARIES (RUNOFF REDUCTION BMPS AND	ALL
BMPS) 52	
6.0 WATER QUALITY COMPLIANCE TAB	. 55
7.0 RUNOFF VOLUME AND CN TAB	.60
7.1. RUNOFF VOLUME AND CN TAB USER INPUTS AND LIMITATIONS	.61
7.2. RUNOFF VOLUME AND CN TAB RESULTS	.63
7.3. INTEGRATING WATER QUALITY TREATMENT WITH CONTROL OF LARGER STORMS	.65
8.0 SUMMARY TAB (COMPLIANCE SUMMARY)	.66
9.0 CONSTANTS TAB	.73
10.0 REFERENCES	.74
Appendix A VRRM SPREADSHEET EQUATIONS	.75
A.1. SITE TAB	.75
A.2. D.A. TABS	.77
A.3. RUNOFF VOLUME AND CN TAB	. 82
A.4. REDEVELOPMENT SITE TAB – COMPUTATIONS	. 85
A.4.1 REDEVELOPMENT WITH IMPERVIOUS COVER INCREASE	. 85
A.4.2. REDEVELOPMENT WITH NO IMPERVIOUS COVER INCREASE	.91
A.4.3. FINAL POST-DEVELOPMENT SITE REQUIREMENT	.91
Appendix B ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS	.92

Appendi	ix C SEPARATION OF FOREST/OPEN SPACE INTO FOREST AND MIXED OPEN	94
C.1	VRRM Land Cover Categories	94
C.2	Mixed Open Curve Numbers	94
C.3	Mixed Open Rv Coefficients	95
	•	

Apper	ndix D ASSIGNING CAST LAND COVERS TO VRRM LAND USE CATEGORIES	97
D.1	CAST LAND COVERS	97
D.2	LAND COVER ASSIGNMENTS (FROM CAST TO VRRM)	97
Apper	ndix E ESTABLISHING NUTRIENT LOADING RATES	
E.1	OBJECTIVES	
E.2	CAST LOADING RATES	
E.3	CAST LOADING RATE ASSIGNMENT PROCEDURE	
E.4	LOADING RATES ACROSS HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUPS	
E.5	DISTRIBUTION OF HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUPS ACROSS THE COMMONWEALTH	
E.6	SOLVING FOR LOADING RATES	
E.7	RESULTS	
Apper	ndix F ESTABLISHING NUTRIENT TARGET VALUE	
F.1	Introduction	
F.2	Computation of Updated Phosphorus Target Standard	
F.3	Alternative Methods Explored	

# List of Figures

Figure 1. Enabling Macros10
Figure 2. VRRM New Development Compliance Spreadsheet – Site Tab with No User Inputs
Figure 3. VRRM New Development Compliance Spreadsheet – Site Tab with User Land Cover Inputs12
Figure 4. VRRM Redevelopment Compliance Spreadsheet – Site Tab (upper cells)23
Figure 5. VRRM Redevelopment Compliance Spreadsheet – Site Tab (lower cells)24
Figure 6. VRRM Redevelopment Site Tab (lower cells) – Land Cover Areas and Total Disturbed
Acreage entered25
Figure 7. VRRM Redevelopment Site Tab (lower cells) – Total Disturbed Acreage not entered
Figure 8. VRRM Redevelopment Site Tab (upper cells) – Linear Development Project selected27
Figure 9. VRRM Redevelopment Site Tab (lower cells) – Linear Development Project selected27
Figure 10. User listed pre-development and post-development land cover areas
Figure 11. Pre-redevelopment land cover areas showing changes from <i>Listed</i> to <i>Adjusted</i> spreadsheet
land cover areas and applicable TP load reduction requirements
Figure 12. Reduction requirements for redevelopment projects (no new net impervious cover)
Figure 13. Drainage Area Tab (sections)41
Figure 14. Drainage Area Tab, Land Cover Areas42
Figure 15. Drainage Area Tab, RR BMP Summary54
Figure 16. Drainage Area Tab, BMP Summary (All BMPs)54
Figure 17. Water Quality Compliance Tab, Redevelopment spreadsheet with linear project
results section
Figure 18. Runoff and CN Tab, Limitations61
Figure 19. Runoff and CN Tab, Drainage Area A Summary63
Figure 20. New Development Summary Tab – Water Quality Compliance, Site Summary Sections
Figure 21. Redevelopment Summary Tab – Water Quality Compliance, Site Summary showing errors67
Figure 22. New Development Summary Tab – Drainage Area Summary and Drainage Area
Compliance Summary68
Figure 23. Summary Tab – Land Cover Summary, BMP Selections, and Water Quality Compliance68
Figure 24. Summary Tab – Water Quality Compliance, Runoff Volume and CN Calculations
Figure 25. Summary Tab Error Notifications70
Figure 26. Summary Tab – Water Quality Compliance Report – Print Preview Screen71
Figure 27. Site Summary Tab (Print Button Activated)72
Figure 28. Decision flowchart for determination of pervious area converted to new net impervious87

# List of Tables

Table 1. Land Cover Guidance for VRRM Compliance Spreadsheets	14
Table 2. Site Tab Computations (New Development)	17
Table 3. Redevelopment Spreadsheet – Site Tab, Land Cover Areas	22
Table 4. Site Tab Computations for Land Cover Summary (Pre-Redevelopment, Listed)	29
Table 5. Site Tab Computations for Land Cover Summary (Pre-Redevelopment, Adjusted)	30
Table 6. Site Tab Computations for Land Cover Summary (Final Post-Development)	31
Table 7. Site Tab Computations for Land Cover Summary (Post-Redevelopment)	32
Table 8. Site Tab Computations for Land Cover Summary (Post-Redevelopment, New Impervious)	33
Table 9. Site Tab Computations for Total Site Area Post-Development	35
Table 10. Drainage Area Tab Computations for Land Cover Summary	43
Table 11. Stormwater Best Management Practices – with Runoff Reduction	45
Table 12. Stormwater Best Management Practices – No Runoff Reduction	46
Table 13. Drainage Area Tab Volume Computations per BMP	49
Table 14. Drainage Area Tab TP Load Computations per BMP	50
Table 15. Drainage Area Tab TN Load Computations per BMP	51
Table 16. Drainage Area Tab Runoff Reduction BMP Summary	52
Table 17. Drainage Area Tab Total BMP Summary	53
Table 18. Water Quality Compliance Tab Site Results (Areas and Checks)	55
Table 19. Water Quality Compliance Tab Site Results (Volume, TP, and TN* by DA Tabs)	56
Table 20. Water Quality Compliance Tab Site Results (Total Phosphorus, Total Nitrogen)	57
Table 21. Runoff Volume and CN Tab Limitations	62
Table 22. Runoff Volume and CN Tab Results	64
Table 23. Spreadsheet Constants	73
Table 24: Table of 3 NEH/TR55 land covers averaged to compute Mixed Open CNs	94
Table 25a: Curve number differences between soil types	96
Table 25b: Rv differences between soil types	96
Table 25c: Ratio of difference in Rv to difference in CN	96
Table 25d: Rv Coefficients used in the VRRM 4.1 Spreadsheets	96
Table 26: CAST land covers organized by sector. Green (grey) symbols indicate developed or natural land cover	'S
included (not included) in VRRM land cover classifications.	98
Table 28: CAST nutrient loading rates (lbs/acre/yr)	101
Table 29: Area-Weighting Summary – VRRM "Managed Turf" Land Use Category	103
Table 30: Consolidated Nutrient Loading Rates – All VRRM Land Use Categories	103
Table 31: Percentage of Total Loading Rates (per HSG Category) 2017 VRRM	104
Table 32: Percentage of Total Rv (per HSG Category) 2017 VRRM	104
Table 33: Percentage of Total Rv (per HSG Category) Updated VRRM Values	104
Table 34: Percentage of Total Drainage Area by HSG Category	105
Table 35: Intermediate Computational Matrix 1 – Phosphorus (lbs/acre/yr)	105
Table 36: Intermediate Computational Matrix 2 – Phosphorus (lbs/acre/yr)	105
Table 37: Final Computational Matrix 1 – Phosphorus (lbs/acre/yr)	106
Table 38: Final Computational Matrix 2 – Phosphorus (lbs/acre/yr)	106
Table 39: VRRM Loading Rates – Phosphorus (lbs/acre/yr)	107
Table 40: VRRM Loading Rates – Nitrogen (lbs/acre/yr)	107
Table 41: Categorization of CAST land covers into four major categories for analysis	109
Table 42: Comparison of Aggregated Land Use Data for 2021/2025	110
Table 43: Computation of Average Loading Rates for the 2021-2025 period	111
Table 44: Computation of Unadjusted Nutrient Target	111

# List of Equations

Equation 1.1a. Forest Runoff Coefficient (Weighted for Soil Groups):	75
Equation 1.1b. Percentage Forest Area:	75
Equation 1.2a. Mixed Open Runoff Coefficient (Weighted for Soil Groups):	75
Equation 1.2b. Percentage Mixed Open Area:	75
Equation 1.3a. Managed Turf Runoff Coefficient (Weighted for Soil Groups):	75
Equation 1.3b. Percentage Managed Turf Area:	75
Equation 1.4a. Impervious Cover Runoff Coefficient:	75
Equation 1.4b. Percentage Impervious Cover Area:	75
Equation 2. Site Composite Runoff Coefficient	76
Equation 3. Post-Development Site Treatment Volume	77
Equation 4. Post-Development Site TP Load	77
Equation 5. Required Site TP Load Reduction	77
Equation 6. Runoff Volume from Entire Drainage Area (Cell N9)	77
Equation 7. Total Phosphorus Available for Removal From Entire Drainage Area (Cell N8)	78
Equation 8. Runoff Volume from Contributing Drainage Area	78
Equation 9. Runoff Reduction Achieved by Practice (Column G)	78
Equation 10. Remaining Runoff Volume Leaving the Practice (Column H)	79
Equation 11. BMP Treatment Volume (Total Runoff Volume to Practice, Column I)	79
Equation 12. Untreated Pollutant Load to Practice (Phosphorus, Column L; Nitrogen, Column T)	80
Equation 13. Total Load Removal by Practice (Phosphorus, Column M; Nitrogen, Column U)	80
Equation 14. Remaining Load (Phosphorus, Column N; Nitrogen, Column V)	80
Equation 15. Composite Curve Number (CN)	82
Equation 16. Runoff Volume with No Runoff Reduction, Row 35	83
Equation 17. Runoff Volume with Runoff Reduction, Row 36	83
Equation 18: Adjusted Curve Number	83
Equation 19. Net Change in Impervious Cover	85
Equation 20. TP Load Reduction Requirement for New Net Impervious Cover	85
Equation 21. Net Decrease in Pervious Areas	85
Equation 22a. TP Load Reduction Requirement for Post-Redevelopment Area (when target TP	
baseline less than or equal to target TP load, <i>LTP Baseline</i> ≤ <i>Ltarget</i> , <i>preReDev</i> )	89
Equation 22b. TP Load Reduction Requirement for Post-Redevelopment Area (when target TP	
load less than TP baseline, <i>Ltarget</i> , <i>preReDev &lt; LTP Baseline</i> )	89
Equation 23. Final TP Load Reduction Requirement for Redevelopment	91
Equation 24. Area Weighting to determine a single, consolidated nutrient loading rate for	
each VRRM land use category	102

# DISCLAIMER

This User Guide is in a draft format. As a draft it incorporates expected revisions to the governing regulations that will become effective July 1, 2024. Citations to those regulations, the Virginia Erosion and Stormwater Management Regulation, 9VAC25-875, are included and replace corresponding requirements that are in the Virginia Stormwater Management Program (VSMP) Regulation, 9VAC25-870, which will be repealed, effective July 1, 2024. This draft assumes DEQ will complete a regulatory action prior to July 1, 2024 that will change the total phosphorus load of new development projects from 0.41 pounds per acre per year (9VAC25-870-63 A.1, lbs/acre/yr) to 0.26 lbs/acre/yr.

# **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

The Virginia Erosion and Stormwater Management (VESM) Regulation requires the use of the Virginia Runoff Reduction Method (VRRM) to demonstrate compliance with the water quality technical criteria in the regulations (9VAC25-875-590). The VRRM is supported by stormwater research for runoff reduction and pollutant removal capabilities of various stormwater Best Management Practices (BMPs). Information and documentation concerning the development of the VRRM can be obtained from *Technical Memorandum: The Runoff Reduction Method* prepared by the Center for Watershed Protection (CWP) (www.cwp.org) and the Chesapeake Stormwater Network (CWP, 2008).

DEQ has developed two spreadsheets to assist in determining compliance with the VRRM; one spreadsheet is for new development and the other is for redevelopment. The spreadsheets are designed to help users see the benefits of environmental site design (ESD) and allow iterative design with various stormwater BMPs on a particular site. Selected stormwater BMPs can also be evaluated in series (e.g., treatment trains) to meet the water quality standards in the regulations.

Each VRRM compliance spreadsheet is meant to serve as a tool for determining compliance and does not limit the use of other tools or methods consistent with the water quality requirements, as approved by the Virginia Erosion and Stormwater Management Program (VESMP) Authority. It is important to note that quality compliance determination is only the first step in the design process. The suitability of ESD features and BMP design specifications to a project site are not determined by the spreadsheets. When a BMP is selected in the spreadsheet, the designer will locate and design the BMP according to the design criteria provided in the Stormwater Design Specifications included in the Virginia Stormwater Management Handbook.

Version 4.1 integrates the concept of loading rates for nutrient load computations instead of the Simple Method that has been integrated in past versions. The loading rate concept will enable the VRRM method to become more aligned with the Commonwealth's Chesapeake Bay nutrient management goals, as modeled using the Chesapeake Assessment and Scenario Tool (CAST)

[CBP, 2022]. For detailed information regarding application of CAST to VRRM, see Appendices D through F in this document.

Originally launched in 2011, the CAST modeling tool is a web-based application that allows users to estimate nitrogen, phosphorus, and sediment loads within the Chesapeake Bay watershed. The tool has a wide range of user scenarios that can be modified to yield aggregated estimates of loads for 49 different land covers at Edge of Stream (EOS) and Edge of Tide (EOT) locations. The model has been built using both monitoring data and results from other models to create its modeling engine.

To compute the loads used in the VRRM spreadsheet, a CAST scenario using no integrated BMPs was run. The purpose was to create a model that generates the equivalent of post-development nutrient loads prior to BMP integration. EOS loading rates were used in the VRRM spreadsheet since these most closely replicate those found on site. See Appendix E for description of methodology used to assign loading rates based on values from CAST scenario runs.

#### Key Features of New Development and Redevelopment VRRM spreadsheets:

- Provide a summary of land cover statistics that includes a composite runoff coefficient for the entire site area in post-development condition, the pollutant load (Total Phosphorus [TP] and Total Nitrogen [TN]), and the corresponding Treatment Volume (Tv).
- Allow the designer to evaluate the effectiveness of different BMPs and BMP combinations with respect to water quality compliance using up to five different drainage area tabs within a site.
- Provide a summary for each drainage area tab that includes the land cover, runoff volume and pollutant load generated from development, the BMPs selected, and the runoff volume and pollutant load reduced by the selected BMPs.
- Track the volume reduction that can be counted towards compliance with water quantity control requirements in each drainage area tab.
- Provide an overall compliance summary report that itemizes BMP implementation in each drainage area tab, as well as overall site compliance.

# 2.0 BASICS FOR USING SPREADSHEET AND GUIDANCE

# 2.1. SPREADSHEET BASICS

The VRRM New Development and Redevelopment Compliance Spreadsheets are each composed of seven different types of tabs. The Site tab calculates a nutrient load for the site and runoff treatment volume based on the proposed land cover characteristics. The five Drainage Area tabs (A through E), which are identical, can represent different drainage areas discharging to different outfalls located within the site, or BMPs can be grouped together on a single Drainage Area tab for more complex designs. BMPs applied to the site within user defined drainage areas for water quality compliance are selected within the Drainage Area tabs. The Water Quality Compliance tab provides the site treatment volume, drainage area nutrient loads and runoff reduction volumes, and a summary of nutrient loads achieved and remaining reduction required. This tab's primary function is to indicate whether compliance with phosphorus load limitations has been achieved. The Runoff Volume and CN tab provides the user with volume tracking information, runoff depth, and Curve Number (CN) adjustments associated with runoff volume-reducing BMPs. The Summary tab organizes and displays the information entered and calculated on the other tabs. The Constants tab provides the constants, runoff coefficients and curve numbers, as well as phosphorus and nitrogen loading rates for the equations used in the spreadsheet. The final tab, the Notes tab, is a running list of the changes associated with the different released versions of the spreadsheet including changes and corrections made in Version 4.1.

The Redevelopment Spreadsheet and the New Development Spreadsheet are essentially the same with a few exceptions reflected in two of the spreadsheet tabs. The **Site tabs** look dissimilar due to differences in data inputs and compliance requirements. Similarly, the **Summary tab** differs given the respective reporting of each spreadsheet's data inputs and calculated results. The **Site tab** for the Redevelopment Spreadsheet requires data input on the existing site and proposed site conditions and incorporates different compliance computations in accordance with the regulations, *9VAC25-875-580 A 2*. The nutrient loads and volumes are calculated for both the existing site and the proposed site, and the regulatory phosphorus load reduction requirement for the site is comprised of both a reduction to the existing nutrient load and meeting the new development compliance phosphorus limitation for new net impervious areas. Once the load reduction requirements are determined, the procedures for selecting the BMPs and verifying compliance are the same as those for new development. Instructions on using the **Site tab** for redevelopment (including site area versus disturbed area) are included in Section 4.

Both spreadsheets use the Design Specifications provided in the Virginia Stormwater Management Handbook.

# Using the VRRM spreadsheet Functional spreadsheet cells are color-coded as follows: Green Cells (unlocked) are for user data entries. Yellow Cells (locked) are fixed constant values. Grey Cells (locked) are calculated values. Indigo Cells (locked) are final results (Total Phosphorus reduction requirement).

# 2.2. SOFTWARE SPECIFICATIONS

The spreadsheet files (Version 4.1) are Excel documents and were developed using Microsoft Excel 365 in a macro-enabled workbook format (.xlsm). <u>Users must enable macros</u> in order for the spreadsheets to function properly (Fig. 1)



Figure 1. Enabling Macros

# 2.3. GUIDANCE BASICS

The basic components and terms of the VRRM compliance spreadsheets are discussed in the sections below and presented along with step-by-step user instructions. This guidance applies to Version 4.1 of the spreadsheets. Version 4.1 provides a new methodology for computation of nutrient loads that is based on data from the Chesapeake Assessment and Scenario Tool (CAST). In addition, a new land cover type, 'Mixed Open' is present in Version 4.1, to distinguish between forest cover and infrequently maintained open space areas.

# 3.0 SITE TAB (NEW DEVELOPMENT)

The **Site tab** requires site data inputs and provides overall site information. The main purpose of this tab is to compute the required TP reduction for the site based on the proposed site land cover characteristics. Using the land cover data entered by the user and the fixed constant values, the site TP loading is calculated using the CAST loading rates (Eq. 4). The required load reduction is based on the difference between the post-development site load and the load limit of 0.26 pounds TP per acre per year (see Appendix F). The calculated values used to derive the required site load reduction can be found on the **Constants tab** in the table labeled *Constants*. The data input cells used in the nutrient load calculations are described below and shown on the **Site tab** screenshot in Fig. 2.



Figure 2. VRRM New Development Compliance Spreadsheet – Site Tab with No User Inputs

Figure 3 shows a screenshot of the **Site tab** when land cover data has been entered.

	٨	P			D		F	C	Ц		
1	A	DEO Virginia Pur	off Peducti	on Method	New Develop	nent Compliance Sr	r preadsheet - Ver	sion 4.1	п		_
1		JEQ VII GIIIIU KUI	iojj keuucci	on methou	New Developi	nem compliance op	ver:	5011-4.1			
2										data input cells	
3	Project Name:									constant values	
4	Date:							(Ctri+Snijt+K)			-
5	BMP Design Specifications List:	2024 Stds & Sp	oecs							calculation cells	
6	Site Information									final results	
7											
	Post Dovelonment Project (	Troatmont	Volum	o and I	oade)						
8	Post-Development Project (	reatment	Volum	e anu i	Joausj						
9											
10	Land Cover (acres)										
11		A Soils	B So	ils	C Soils	D Soils	Totals				
	Forest (acres) undisturbed, protected forest or				4.00		1.00	*			
12	reforested land Mixed Open (acroc) – undisturbed (infrasurativ				1.00						
13	maintained grass or shrub land				1.00		1.00				
	Managed Turf (acres) – disturbed, graded for yards						1.00				
14	or other turf to be mowed/managed				1.00		1.00				
15	Impervious Cover (acres)				1.00		1.00				
16	* Forest and Mixed Open areas must be protected	in accordance with	h the Virginia	Runoff Reduc	tion Method		4 00				
17							4.00				
18											
19		Post-Dev	elonme	nt Pequi	irement fo	r Site Area					
20		POSI-DEI	elopine	ni kequ	rement to	i Site Area					
21		TP Load	Reduction	Required	(lb/yr)	1.03					
22											
23											
24											
25			LAND C	OVER SU	MMARY F	POST DEVELOP	MENT				
26	Land Cover Summary					Treatment	Volume and Nutri	ent Loads			
27	Forest Cover (acres)	1.00				Treatment Volu	me (acre-ft)		0.1117		
28	Weighted Rv (forest)	0.04				Treatment Volur	me (cubic feet)		4,864		
29	% Forest	25%				TP Load	(lb/yr)		2.07		
30	Mixed Open (acres)	1.00				TN Load	(lb/yr)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	23.43		
31	Weighted Rv (mixed open)	0.13									
32	% Mixed Open	25%									
33	Managed Turf Cover (acres)	1.00									
34	Weighted Rv (turf)	0.22									
35	% Managed Turf	25%	-								
36	Impervious Cover (acres)	1.00	-								
37	Rv (impervious)	0.95	-								
28 39	% impervious Site Area (acres)	4.00									
40	Site Rv	0.34									
40	SILE NY	0.34									
+1											
	Site D.A. A D.A.	B D.A. C	D.A. D	D.A. E	Water Ou	ality Compliance	Runoff Vol	ume and CN	Summary	Constants	Notes

Figure 3. VRRM New Development Compliance Spreadsheet – Site Tab with User Land Cover Inputs

#### Using the VRRM spreadsheet (Site tab)

- 1. Enable macros to allow access between worksheets (tabs).
- 2. Use the **CLEAR ALL** button to delete all prior used data inputs from entire spreadsheet.
- 3. Enter project name (cell B3) and date (cell B4) in the provided spaces.

# 3.1. SITE TAB – LAND COVER

The **green cells** in the *Land Cover* table of the **Site tab** are where the user enters the land cover type by hydrologic soil group (HSG) for the entire site area (in acres) for the post-developed condition. There are four categories of developed land: *Forest (F), Mixed Open (MO), Managed Turf (MT)*, and *Impervious Cover (IC)*. Definitions of the four categories of land cover and the basic qualifications for each are provided in Table 1. The Site area acreages, including drainage area tabs, are limited to areas where the operator and/or owner are legally able to make changes to the land cover. Offsite run-on from adjacent parcels outside of operational control should not be used for quality reduction. HSG determinations can be made using the National Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) web soil surveys or site soil investigations (See the Virginia Stormwater Management Handbook and/or Chapter 7 of the National Engineering Handbook, Part 630 for additional information). Runoff coefficients (Rv) by land cover and HSGs can be found in the *Runoff Coefficients* table of the **Constants tab** as fixed constants in **yellow cells**. A composite runoff coefficient for the site is then computed from the user acreage inputs and the fixed Rv.

#### Table 1. Land Cover Guidance for VRRM Compliance Spreadsheets

#### FOREST

#### Land that will remain undisturbed<sup>1</sup>:

- Portions of the site that will NOT be disturbed during construction.
- Portions of residential lots that will NOT be disturbed during construction, as approved by the VESMP authority.
- Surface area of stormwater BMPs that are NOT wet ponds, have some type of vegetative cover, and that do not replace an otherwise impervious surface<sup>2</sup>.
  - BMPs in this category include bioretention, dry swale, grass channel, stormwater wetland, soil amended areas that are vegetated, and infiltration practices that have a vegetated cover.
- Other areas of existing forest, including wetlands, that will be protected during construction and that will remain undisturbed.
- Non-disturbed portions of the site that will be afforested (minimum of 400 woody stems per acre).

#### **Operational & management conditions for land cover in the Forest category:**

- Areas that will be considered as forest must be shown outside the limits of disturbance (LOD) on an approved erosion and sediment control plan AND clearly demarcated in the field (e.g., fencing and/or posted signage) prior to commencement of construction.
- All areas that will be considered forest for stormwater purposes must have documentation which prescribes that the area will remain in a natural, vegetated state in perpetuity via the use of one of the following:
  - Appropriate documentation includes subdivision covenants and restrictions; deeded operation and maintenance agreements and plans; parcel of common ownership with maintenance plan; third-party protective easement; or other documentation approved by the VESMP authority.
- Although the goal is to have forest areas remain undisturbed, some activities may be prescribed in the appropriate documentation, as approved by the VESMP authority:
  - Forest management (harvesting of trees through the Virginia Department of Forestry with the intent of regenerating another crop of trees, either naturally or artificially in the future), control of invasive species, replanting and revegetating, passive recreation (e.g., trails), etc.

#### MIXED OPEN

#### [Table 1...continued]

Land that will remain undisturbed OR will be left in a natural vegetated state (bush hogged no more than four times per year):

 Portions of a development (residential lots, roadway rights-of-way, community open spaces areas, etc.) that were disturbed during construction and will not be mowed routinely but left in a natural vegetated state (can include areas bush hogged no more than four times per year or rotationally grazed) as approved by the VESMP authority.

- Community open space areas that will not be mowed routinely but left in a natural vegetated state (can include areas bush hogged no more than four times per year or rotationally grazed).
- Utility rights-of-way that will be left in a natural vegetated state (can include areas bush hogged no more than four times per year or rotationally grazed).
- Surface area of extended detention (ED) pond that is not mowed routinely.
- Other areas of existing mixed open that will be protected during construction and that will remain undisturbed.

#### **Operational & management conditions for land cover in the Mixed Open category:**

- Portions of mixed open space areas that will be disturbed during construction must follow the most recent design specifications for soil restoration or placement of engineered soil mix as per design specifications, as well as other relevant specifications if the area will be used as a filter strip, grass channel, bioretention, or other BMP.
- Portions of roadway rights-of-way that will count as mixed open are assumed to be disturbed during construction, and must follow the most recent design specifications for soil restoration or placement of engineered soil mix as per design specifications, as well as other relevant specifications if the area will be used as a filter strip, grass channel, bioretention, or other BMP.
- All areas that will be considered mixed open for stormwater purposes must have documentation that prescribes that the area will remain in a natural, vegetated state via the use of one of the following:
  - Appropriate documentation includes subdivision covenants and restrictions; operation and maintenance plans; parcel of common ownership with maintenance plan; third-party protective easement; within public right-of-way or easement with maintenance plan; or other documentation approved by the local VESMP.
  - For utility rights-of-way that will be left in a natural vegetated state, a decompaction plan or narrative in the stormwater management plan detailing how pre-development soil hydrologic characteristics will be protected during construction (i.e., no grading proposed, no vehicular traffic to occur, etc.). In addition, a planting plan should be provided indicating no areas will be bush hogged more than four times per year.
- Although the goal is to have mixed open areas remain undisturbed, some activities may be prescribed in the appropriate documentation, as approved by the local program authority:
  - Control of invasive species, replanting and revegetating, passive recreation (e.g., trails), limited bush hogging to maintain desired vegetative community, etc.

#### MANAGED TURF

#### Land disturbed and/or graded for eventual use as managed turf:

- Portions of residential yards that are graded or disturbed, including yard areas, septic fields, residential utility connections, etc.
- Roadway rights-of-way that will be mowed and maintained as turf.
- Turf areas intended to be mowed and maintained as turf within residential, commercial, industrial, and institutional settings.

#### [Table 1....continued]

#### **IMPERVIOUS COVER**

- Roadways, driveways, rooftops, parking lots, sidewalks, and other impervious areas.
- This category also includes the surface area of stormwater BMPs that: (1) are wet ponds OR (2) replace an otherwise impervious surface (e.g., green roof, pervious parking) <sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Pre-redevelopment areas that are undisturbed and naturally vegetated are considered forest in the redevelopment spreadsheet.

<sup>2</sup>Certain stormwater BMPs are considered impervious with regard to the land cover computations. These BMPs are still assigned Runoff Reduction and/or Pollutant Removal rates within the spreadsheet, so their "values" for stormwater management are still accounted for. The reason they are considered impervious is that they either do not reduce runoff volumes (e.g., wet ponds) OR their Runoff Reduction rates are based on comparison to a more conventional land cover type (e.g., green roofs, pervious parking).

Whenever post-development land cover is entered in the *Forest* or *Mixed Open* rows of the *Land Cover* table, a notification will appear below the table reminding the user that Forest and Mixed Open areas must be protected in accordance with the Virginia Runoff Reduction Method (see Fig. 3). Users should review the Forest and Mixed Open definitions and be familiar with protection and maintenance requirements if these land cover types are proposed (see Table 1).

#### Using the VRRM spreadsheet (Site tab)

5. Enter the post-development acreages of each land cover type by soil group (cells B12 to E15).

Emphasis should be placed on environmental design principles during the early phases of project development. If Forest or Mixed Open is used, take note of pop-up message in **cell A16**.

# 3.2. SITE TAB – COMPUTATIONS

This section addresses the intermediate and final calculated results related to the site nutrient loads (**grey cells** and **indigo cells**, respectively). These include the post-development site land cover summary, as well as the treatment volume, TP and TN loads, and TP load reduction requirement for the site (Table 2).

#### Table 2. Site Tab Computations (New Development)

Site Tab Computations	Cell Reference
Land Cover Summary Totals of user inputs for each land cover type	Grey Cells
Totals: Forest, Mixed Open, Managed Turf, Impervious Cover (acres) Sums of each land cover type area across HSGs	F12 & B27 (F) F13 & B30 (MO) F14 & B33 (MT) F15 & B36 (IC)
Weighted Rv for Forest, Mixed Open, Managed Turf, Impervious Cover* Runoff coefficient weighted across land cover types and HSGs *Runoff coefficient for impervious cover is independent of HSGs Equation 1.1a, 1.2a, 1.3a	B28 (F) B31 (MO) B34 (MT) B37 (IC)
<b>%Forest, %Mixed Open, %Managed Turf, %Impervious Cover</b> Percentage coverage for each land cover type across HSGs <i>Equation 1.1b,1.2b,1.3b</i>	B29 (F) B32 (MO) B35 (MT) B38 (IC)
Total Site Area (acres) Area summed across land cover types and HSGs	F16 & B39
<b>Site Rv</b> Composite runoff coefficient across land cover types and HSGs <i>Equation 2</i>	B40
<b>Treatment Volume</b> Post-development treatment volumes in acre-feet and cubic feet calculated using the target rainfall event, site Rv, and site area <i>Equation 3</i>	H27 (acre-ft) H28 (cf)
Site Loads (lb/yr) Site post-development TP load and TN load (informational only). Loads are computed using the <i>Land Cover</i> table (cells B12-E15) and the <i>Phosphorus</i> and <i>Nitrogen Loading Rate</i> tables in the Constants tab. <i>Equation 4</i>	H29 (TP load) H30 (TN load)
<b>Post-development requirement for Total Site Area</b> (lb/yr) The total TP load reduction required for compliance for the total site. This is the difference between the post-development TP load and the site-based load limit of 0.26 lb TP/ac/yr established by the VESMR regulations ( <i>9VAC25-875-580</i> ). <i>Equation 5</i>	Indigo Cells E21 Land cover data must be entered for result to calculate

#### Using the VRRM spreadsheet (Site tab)

- 6. Take note of the post-development TP reduction requirement in cell E21.
  - a. This requirement is a site-based water quality requirement and unlike water quantity control requirements, which must be met at each of the site's stormwater discharge points (per 9VAC25-875-600), the TP load reduction requirement may be met using various **Drainage Area tab** load reduction combinations, unless restricted as per 9VAC25-875-590 E.
  - b. Depending on the post-development land cover type and HSGs, the site may or may not require further TP load reduction to meet the water quality compliance requirements.

If the computed TP load reduction requirement (cell E21) is:

- Less than or equal to zero (site is at or below the 0.26 lb/ac/yr load limit), the site's TP load meets water quality compliance requirements. A message will appear in cell G21: TP load reduction is not required;
- ii. Greater than zero (site is above the 0.26 lb/ac/yr load limit), cell E21 will reflect the TP load reduction required for site compliance.
- 7. If cell E21 is greater than zero, proceed to Drainage Area tab.

# 4.0 SITE TAB (REDEVELOPMENT)

The VESM Regulation refers to redevelopment as development on prior developed lands. In accordance with *9VAC25-875-10*:

"Prior developed lands" means land that has been previously utilized for residential, commercial, industrial, institutional, recreation, transportation, or utility facilities or structures, and that will have the impervious areas associated with those uses altered during a land-disturbing activity."

The water quality requirements for redevelopment (9VAC25-875-580 A 2) apply to those projects where impervious areas, as part of the existing conditions, are altered during the course of construction, as applicable to regulated land-disturbing activities.

The basic structure of the VRRM Redevelopment Compliance Spreadsheet and the VRRM New Development Compliance Spreadsheet are similar. One of the main differences between the two spreadsheets is the computation of the site TP load reduction requirement on the **Site tab**, which for redevelopment projects, other than linear projects, is determined by whether there is an increase in net impervious cover.

When there is *no net increase* in impervious cover from the predevelopment condition, the postdevelopment TP load must simply be reduced to below the predevelopment TP load by either 10 or 20% depending on the total area of land disturbance in accordance with *9VAC25-* 875-580 A 2 *a and* A 2 *b*.

When there is a *net increase* in impervious cover from the predevelopment condition, the new net impervious area must meet the TP new development load limitation of 0.26 lb/ac/yr in accordance with 9VAC25-875-580 A 2 c, and the post-development TP load for the remaining area of the site must be reduced to below the predevelopment TP load of the same area by either 10 or 20% depending on the total area of land disturbance (per 9VAC25-875-580 A 2 a and A 2 b).

When the redevelopment project is a linear project, the post-development TP load must be reduced to 20% below the predevelopment TP load irrespective of any increase in impervious cover per 9VAC25-875-580 A 2 d. It should be noted that impervious areas included within the site of a linear redevelopment project must be undergoing alteration during the land disturbing activity as per the definition of "prior developed lands" (9VAC25-875-10) provided above. Users should also note the definition of routine maintenance, which is not considered redevelopment in § 62.1-44.15:34.G.2 for the purposes of compliance with the water quantity and water quality technical criteria:

"Routine maintenance that is performed to maintain the original line and grade, hydraulic capacity, or original construction of the project. The paving of an existing road with a

#### Virginia Runoff Reduction Method Compliance Spreadsheet

compacted or impervious surface and reestablishment of existing associated ditches and shoulders shall be deemed routine maintenance if performed in accordance with this subsection;[...]"

#### Summary

- Any new net impervious areas must meet 0.26 lb TP/acre/year
- Total disturbed area < 1 acre, 10% TP load reduction required for remainder of site
- Total disturbed area  $\geq$  1 acre, 20% TP load reduction required for remainder of site
- Linear projects, 20% TP load reduction required for site

Compared to the New Development Site tab, the TP load computations for the Redevelopment **Site tab** require additional user inputs and result in a more complex look for the tab. The Redevelopment **Site tab** also has different cell references (locations of cells or cell ranges within sheet tabs) for similar elements. This section highlights and describes the similarities and differences between the two spreadsheets.

Once the load reduction requirement for the redevelopment site has been determined, the procedures for using the spreadsheet (**Drainage Area tabs**, **Water Quality Compliance tab**, **Runoff Volume and CN tab**, **Summary tab**, **Constants tab**, **and Notes tab**) are the same as those of for the New Development Compliance Spreadsheet described in this document. Any **Summary tab** dissimilarities reflect the distinct regulatory requirements and computations between new development and redevelopment.

# 4.1. WHAT'S SIMILAR?

The land cover categories of *Forest, Mixed Open, Managed Turf,* and *Impervious Cover* and the corresponding definitions are the same as those for the new development computations (see Table 1 in Section 3.1).

The constants, **yellow cells**, as found on the 'Constants' tab are similarly used and defined in both spreadsheets. For the full list of constants and their cell references in both the New Development and Redevelopment spreadsheets, see Section 9.0.

# 4.2. WHAT'S DIFFERENT?

#### 4.2.1. SITE TAB (REDEVELOPMENT) - LAND COVER AREA INPUTS

Whereas the New Development Spreadsheet requires the user to input post-development land cover areas, the Redevelopment Spreadsheet requires the user to input existing (*prior to redevelopment*) and post-development (*following redevelopment*) land cover areas, as well as the total disturbed area (Table 3). Consequently, area checks are included in the **Site tab** along with an error notification summary to the right of the *Land Cover* tables for user inputs that are missing or in error. Furthermore, spreadsheet calculated results will not populate until all user errors are reconciled (See Figs. 4 to 7).

As noted in Section 4.0, the redevelopment water quality criteria include a percent reduction requirement (for existing site areas not replaced by new net impervious cover), which is dependent on the total land disturbance acreage being either (i) less than or (ii) greater than or equal to one acre.

It is important for the user to understand that both spreadsheets (Redevelopment and New Development) use the site area for load calculations. In the case of redevelopment, the site area may or may not be the same as the total disturbed area. For example, if post-development areas are being preserved as forest or mixed open, then the site area will be greater than the disturbed acreage. The user should check with the VESMP authority for any applicable restrictions that could limit available options.

One feature included in the Redevelopment Spreadsheet is the option to indicate a linear development project by selecting "Yes" from the dropdown menu directly below the *Date* field of the **Site tab**. This will set the TP percent load reduction requirement at 20% (final TP load must be at least 20% below the pre-redevelopment TP load). (See Figs. 8 and 9). A separate section for linear project water quality compliance results will appear in both the **Water Quality Compliance tab** and the **Summary tab** when a linear project is indicated on the **Site tab** (See related figures in Sections 6 and 8).

#### Table 3. Redevelopment Spreadsheet – Site Tab, Land Cover Areas

Redevelopment Land Cover Areas	Cell Reference
Total Disturbed Acreage User input for the redevelopment percent reduction standard (< 1 acre requires 10%, ≥1 acre requires 20% reduction). Acreage entered can be limited to land disturbance or extended to a larger site area. Results will not calculate (rows 44-67) and message prompts appear in cell E65 and row 10 if disturbed acreage not entered (Fig. 7).	Green Cells F10
Final results/compliance information per 9VAC25-875-580 A 2. Maximum reduction required (10 or 20%) Site's net increase in impervious cover (acres) Post-Development TP Load Reduction for Site (lb/yr)	Indigo Cells F12 F13 F14
Pre-Redevelopment Land Cover (acres)User inputs for existing conditions (prior developed lands):Forest, Mixed Open, Managed Turf, Impervious Cover (acres)Entries of each existing land cover type area across HSGs	Green Cells B17 - E17 (F) B18 - E18 (MO) B19 - E19 (MT) B20 – E20 (IC)
<b>Pre-Redevelopment Land Cover Totals (acres)</b> Sums of each land cover type area across HSGs	Grey Cells F17 (F), F18 (MO) F19 (MT), F20 (IC) F21 (Total)
Post-Development Land Cover (acres)	Green Cells
User inputs for post-development conditions: Forest, Mixed Open, Managed Turf, Impervious Cover (acres) Entries of each proposed land cover type area across HSGs	B25 - E25 (F) B26 - E26 (MO) B27 - E27 (MT) B28 - E28 (IC)
<b>Post-Development Land Cover Totals (acres)</b> Sums of each land cover type area across HSGs	Grey Cells F25 (F), F26 (MO) F27 (MT), F28 (IC) F29 (Total)
AREA CHECK	
<ul> <li>Pre-Redevelopment and Post-Development land cover areas must equal for each HSG (soil type does not change).</li> <li>OK. message when area totals are equal.</li> <li>Check Areas! message when area totals are not equal. Results (rows 44 to 67) will not appear until all area errors resolved.</li> <li>Cells H10-K14 provide summary of user input errors</li> </ul>	<b>Grey Cells</b> <b>B29</b> (A Soils) <b>C29</b> (B Soils) <b>D29</b> (C Soils) <b>E29</b> (D Soils)





Figure 4. VRRM Redevelopment Compliance Spreadsheet – Site Tab (upper cells).

	A	В	С	D		E	F	G	Н		J	К	L	M
32				F	Post-Develo	opment Rec	uirement for S	ite Area						
33				TP	Load Redu	ction Reau	ired (lb/vr)							
34														
35													i i	
36					N	litrogen Load	ls (Informational	Purposes Only	)					
37		Pre-ReDevelopme	ent TN Load (Ib/yr)						Final Post-Devel	lopment TN Load				
38	-													
40	LAND COVER SUMMARY	PRE-REDEVE	LOPMENT					LAND	COVER SUMMARY	POST DE	VELOPMENT	T		
41								211110					,	
42	Land Cover	Summary-Pre				and Cover S	ummare-Post	-	Land Cover S	iummary-Pos	<i>(</i>	Land Cover S	ummarg-Post	
43	Pre-ReDevelopment	Listed	Adjusted <sup>1</sup>	-	Р	ost ReDer. &	New Impervious	-	Post-ReDe	evelopment	_	Post-Developmen	t New Impervious	
44	Forest Cover (acres)				Fore	st Cover (acres)			Forest Cover (acres)					
45	Weighted Rv(forest)				Weig	phted Rv(forest)			Weighted Rv(forest)					
46	Weighted Loading Rate(forest)			_	Wgt.	Ld. Rate(forest)			Wgt. Ld. Rate(forest)					
47	% Forest			_	-	% Forest		-	* Forest					
48	Mixed Open Cover (acres)				1711X	(acres)			(acres)					
49	Weighted Rv(mixed)				Weig	ghted Bv(mixed)			Weighted Rv(mixed)					
50	Weighted Loading Rate(mixed)				Wgt.	Ld. Rate(mixed)			Wgt. Ld. Rate(mixed)					
51	% Mixed Open			_	*	Mixed Open			% Mixed Open					
52	Managed Turf Cover (acres)				(Vian:	aged Furt Cover (acres)			(acres)					
53	Weighted Bv(turf)				We	ighted Rv (turf)			Weighted Rv (turf)					
54	Weighted Loading Rate(turf)				₩g	t. Ld. Rate(turf)			Wgt. Ld. Rate(turf)					
55	% Managed Turf			_	2	Managed Turf			* Managed Turf					
56	Impervious Cover (acres)				Imp	(acres)			ReDev. Impervious Cover (acres)			New Impervious Cover (acres)	0.00	
57	Rv(impervious)				R	v(impervious)			Rv(impervious)			Rv(impervious)		
58	Weighted Loading Rate(impervious)					wge. La. Zatolimnom J			W gt. Ld. Pata(impare)					
59	2 Impervious			_	2	k Impervious			% Impervious					
60	Total Site Area (acres)				1.1	al Site Area (acres)			Site Area (acres)					
	Site Ry				Fis	al Post Dev			ReDev Site Rv					
61	Treatment Volume	and Nutrient Le	ad	_		Site R <del>v</del>			Trostmont Volumo	and Nutrion	t Lood	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	.00000000000000000000000000000000000000	
02	Pro-Po-Po-Po-Po-Po-Po-Po-Po-Po-Po-Po-Po-Po		au		F	inal Post-		ר	Post-	and nutren	Load	Post-		l I
	Volume				D	evelopment			ReDevelopment			Development		
63	(acre-ft)					Volume			Volume			Volume		
	Pre-ReDevelopment Treatment				E State	inal Post-		1	Post-			Post-		
	Volume					Freatment			Treatment			Treatment		
64	(Cabic reet)				۷o	lume (cubic		-	Volume			Volume (cubic		
	Pre-BeDevelopment TP I gad				Der	inal Post-			Post- BeDevelopment			Post-		
	(lb/yr)					Load			Load (TP)			Development TP		
65				-		(lb/gr)		4	(ib/er)*					J
	Pre-ReDevelopment TP Load per acre	·			De	relayment TP			ReDevelopment TP					
88	(Ib/acre/yr)				- L.	led par ecra blecratyr)			(lbfacratyr)					
									Max. Reduction					
	Baseline TP Load [lb: (0.26 lb:facrofy: applied to pro-rod	lyrj ovolapmont eroe							Bequired (Belau Pre-					
67	excluding perviour land propared for as	u impervieur cever)							ReDevelopment Lord)					
68														
	<sup>7</sup> Adjusted Land Cover Summary:											TP Load		]
	Pre ReDevelopment land cover minus pervious	land cover (forest, mixed	lopen or managed						TP Load Reduction			Reduction		
	furt/acroage proposed for new imperivous co	nor.			ENI	ER ALL AREA	A INPUTS ABOVE		Required for			Required for		
	Adjusted total acreage is consistent with Post	-ReDevelopment acreage	(minus acreage of			FOR R	ESULIS		Redeveloped			Impervious		
69	new imperivous cover/.								Area (lb/yr)			Area (Ib/yr)		
	Column I shows load reduction requirement for	r new impervious cover (B	ased on new		-			-	e					9
10	development load limit, 0.26 lbs/acre/year).			_				_					_	
	< > Site D.A	A. A 🛛 D.A. B	D.A. C	D.A. D	D.A. E	Water	Quality Com	oliance	Runoff Volume a	nd CN	Summary	Constants No	ites +	-
				_	-									

Figure 5. VRRM Redevelopment Compliance Spreadsheet – Site Tab (lower cells) – Land Cover Areas and Total Disturbed Acreage not entered.





Figure 6. VRRM Redevelopment Site Tab (lower cells) – *Land Cover Areas and Total Disturbed Acreage entered.* 



Figure 7. VRRM Redevelopment Site Tab (lower cells) - Land Cover Areas entered; Total Disturbed Acreage not entered.

1 2

4 5



Figure 8. VRRM Redevelopment Site Tab (upper cells) – Linear Development Project selected.



Figure 9. VRRM Redevelopment Site Tab (lower cells) – Linear Development Project selected.

# 4.2.2. SITE TAB (REDEVELOPMENT) – LAND COVER SUMMARIES

The *Redevelopment Spreadsheet* differs from the New Development Spreadsheet in that the Land Cover Summaries, found at the lower portion of the **Site tab**, are organized into land cover summary information for the pre-redevelopment condition (*Land Cover Summary-Pre*) and the post-redevelopment condition (*Land Cover Summary-Post*) (Figs. 6 and 7). In addition, both pre-redevelopment and post-development are further subdivided as follows:

#### Land Cover Summary-Pre

- <u>*Pre-Redevelopment Listed:*</u> Cells B44-B61 represent information derived directly from the pre-redevelopment land cover areas entered in the *Pre-Redevelopment Land Cover* table. See Table 4 for computations and cell references.
- <u>Pre-Redevelopment Adjusted</u>: Cells C44-C61 reflect the pre-redevelopment land cover areas after excluding pervious areas (Forest, Mixed Open, and/or Managed Turf) that will be converted to new net impervious cover (Table 5). If there is no post-development increase in net impervious cover, then there is no adjustment to the land cover areas entered by the user for pre-redevelopment, and the adjusted cells are the same as the listed cells.

The Adjusted Pre-Redevelopment data are needed to compute the site's baseline TP load (cell C67, based on 0.26 lb/acre/year applied to the pre-redevelopment area excluding pervious land proposed for new impervious cover).

#### Land Cover Summary-Post

- (*Final*) *Post-Redevelopment & New Impervious:* Cells F44-F61 represent the entire post-development site, which is the combination of the post-redevelopment land cover areas and any new net impervious cover area (Table 6).
- <u>*Post-Redevelopment:*</u> Cells I44-I61 represent the post-development land cover areas entered in the *Post-Development Land Cover* table, excluding any new net impervious cover area (Table 7).
- *Post-Development New Impervious:* Cells L56-L57 represent the post-development new net impervious cover (Table 8).

The *Listed* and *Adjusted Pre-Redevelopment* computed site data in the *Land Cover Summary-Pre* section of the **Site tab** is needed to facilitate the computation of distinct water quality criteria applicable to different portions of the site in a single spreadsheet, without overlap.

Tables 4 to 8 list the computational areas of the *Redevelopment* Site tab with cell references. Brief descriptions are included where differences exist with the *New Development* Site tab.

Virginia Runoff Reduction Method Compliance Spreadsheet

July 2024

Table 4. Site Tab Computations for Land Cover Summary (Pre-Redevelopment, Listed)

Site Tab Computations	Cell Reference		
Land Cover Summary – Pre Redevelopment (Listed)	Column B		

The land cover summary for the existing conditions (prior developed lands):

	<b>B44</b> (F)
Totals: Forest, Mixed Open, Managed Turf, Impervious Cover (acres)	<b>B48</b> (MO)
	<b>B52</b> (MT)
Weighted Rv for Forest, Mixed Open, Managed Turf, Impervious Cover	<b>B56</b> (IC)
	<b>B45</b> (F)
	<b>B49</b> (MO)
	<b>B53</b> (MT)
	<b>B57</b> (IC)
	<b>B46</b> (F)
Weighted Nutrient Loading Rate for Forest, Mixed Open, Managed Turf,	<b>B50</b> (MO)
Impervious Cover	<b>B54</b> (MT)
	<b>B58</b> (IC)
	<b>B47</b> (F)
% Forest %/ Mixed Open %/ Managed Turf %/ Imperiate Cover	<b>B51</b> (MO)
%Forest, %Mixed Open, %Managed Turf, %Impervious Cover	<b>B55</b> (MT)
	<b>B59</b> (IC)
Total Site Area (acres)	B60
Site Rv	B61
Treatment Volume	<b>B63</b> (acre-ft) <b>B64</b> (cf)
Pre-Redevelopment TP Load	
Site pre-redevelopment TP load computed using land cover areas and the <b>Phosphorus Loading Rates</b> table in the <b>Constants tab</b>	<b>B65</b> (lb/yr)
The lb/acre/yr TP loading rate is also included for comparison purposes.	B66 (lb/acre/yr)

July 2024

 Table 5. Site Tab Computations for Land Cover Summary (Pre-Redevelopment, Adjusted)

Site Tab Computations	Cell Reference
Land Cover Summary – Pre Redevelopment (Adjusted)	Column C

Where redevelopment results in a net increase in impervious cover, the existing land cover data is adjusted by subtracting out any pervious acreage proposed for new net impervious cover, if applicable. For redevelopment that does *not* result in a net increase in impervious cover, the Adjusted and Listed Land Cover Summaries are the same.

	<b>C44</b> (F)
Totale: Farest Mixed Open Managed Turf Impensious Cover (seres)	<b>C48</b> (MO)
Totals. Polest, mixed Open, managed Turi, impervious Cover (acres)	<b>C52</b> (MT)
	<b>C56</b> (IC)
	<b>C45</b> (F)
Weighted By for Forest Mixed Open Managed Turf Impervious Cover	<b>C49</b> (MO)
Weighted IV for Forest, winted Open, wanaged Furl, impervious Cover	<b>C53</b> (MT)
	<b>C57</b> (IC)
	<b>C46</b> (F)
Weighted Nutrient Loading Rate for Forest, Mixed Open, Managed Turf,	<b>C50</b> (MO)
Impervious Cover	<b>C54</b> (MT)
	<b>C58</b> (IC)
	<b>C47</b> (F)
%Forest %Mixed Open %Managed Turf %Impervious Cover	<b>C51</b> (MO)
/or orest, /ownked Open, /owanaged run, /ompervious cover	<b>C55</b> (MT)
	<b>C59</b> (IC)
Total Site Area (acres)	C60
Site Rv	C61
	C63 (acre-ft)
Treatment Volume	<b>C64</b> (cf)
Pro-Padavalanmant TP   aad	
Adjusted site pre-redevelopment TP load using the adjusted land cover areas.	C65 (lb/yr)
The lb/acre/yr TP loading rate is also included for comparison purposes.	C66 (lb/acre/yr)
Baseline TP Load (lb/yr)	
The new development TP standard of 0.26 lb/acre/yr is applied to the adjusted pre-redevelopment total site area (existing area excluding pervious areas proposed as new net impervious cover). This is also the baseline TP load for the post-redevelopment area excluding new net impervious cover.	C67

Virginia Runoff Reduction Method Compliance Spreadsheet

July 2024

#### Table 6. Site Tab Computations for Land Cover Summary (Final Post-Development)

Site Tab Computations	Cell Reference
Land Cover Summary – Post (Final)	Column F

The final post-development land cover summary represents the total site area (all land cover areas including new net impervious cover).

	<b>F44</b> (F)
Totals: Forest, Mixed Open, Managed Turf, Impervious Cover (acres)	<b>F48</b> (MO)
	<b>F52</b> (MT)
	<b>F56</b> (IC)
Weighted Rv for Forest, Mixed Open, Managed Turf, Impervious Cover	<b>F45</b> (F)
	<b>F49</b> (MO)
	F53 (MT)
	<b>F57</b> (IC)
	<b>F46</b> (F)
Weighted Nutrient Loading Rate for Forest, Mixed Open, Managed Turf,	<b>F50</b> (MO)
Impervious Cover	F54 (MT)
	F58 (IC)
	<b>F47</b> (F)
%Forest, %Mixed Open, %Managed Turf, %Impervious Cover	<b>F51</b> (MO)
	F55 (MT)
	<b>F59</b> (IC)
Final Site Area (acres)	F60
Final Post Dev Site Rv	F61
Final Post-Development Treatment Volume	<b>F63</b> (acre-ft) <b>F64</b> (cf)
Final Post-Development TP Load	<b>F65</b> (lb/yr)
Site post-redevelopment TP load (excluding new net impervious cover). The TP loading rate (lb/acre/yr) is also included for comparison purposes.	F66 (lb/acre/yr)

Virginia Runoff Reduction Method Compliance Spreadsheet

July 2024

#### Table 7. Site Tab Computations for Land Cover Summary (Post-Redevelopment)

Site Tab Computations	Cell Reference
Land Cover Summary – Post-Redevelopment	Column I

The post-redevelopment land cover summary represents land cover area entered by the user as post-redevelopment, not including new net impervious cover.

	<b>I44</b> (F) <b>I48</b> (MO)
Totals: Forest, Mixed Open, Managed Turf, Impervious Cover (acres)	152 (MT)
	<b>156</b> (IC)
	145 (F)
	<b>I49</b> (MO)
Weighted Rv for Forest, Mixed Open, Managed Turf, Impervious Cover	153 (MT)
	<b>I57</b> (IC)
	<b>I46</b> (F)
Weighted Nutrient Loading Rate for Forest, Mixed Open, Managed	<b>I50</b> (MO)
Turf, Impervious Cover	<b>154</b> (MT)
	<b>I58</b> (IC)
	<b>I47</b> (F)
	<b>I51</b> (MO)
%Forest, %Mixed Open, %Managed Turf, %Impervious Cover	155 (MT)
	<b>I59</b> (IC)
Total ReDev Site Area (acres)	156
ReDev Site Rv	157
Post-Redevelopment Treatment Volume	<b>I60</b> (acre-ft)
Final Post-Development TP Load	
Site post-redevelopment TP load (excluding new net impervious cover). The	<b>I65</b> (lb/yr)
TP loading rate (lb/acre/yr) is also included for comparison purposes.	I66 (lb/acre/yr)
Max. Reduction Required (Below Pre-Redevelopment Load)	
The applicable percent reduction standard (based on the Total Disturbed	167
Acreage (cell F10) entered by the user).	(10 or 20%)
TP Load Reduction Required for Redeveloped Area (lb/yr)	
The TP load reduction requirement for the redeveloped area (proposed site	
If the adjusted are redevelopment TP lead after application of the	169
required percent reduction is below the baseline load for the adjusted	105
pre-redevelopment area, then:	
- The required post-development load cannot be less than the baseline	Fauations 22a &
load unless a more stringent standard has been established by a local	224 Q
VESMP authority.	220
- A notification just below cell 165 will appear:	
*Reduction below baseline TP Load (0.26 lb/acres) not required	
Table 8. Site Tab Computations for Land Cover Summary (Post-Redevelopment, New Impervious)

Site Tab Computations	Cell Reference
Land Cover Summary – Post Redevelopment New	Column L
Impervious	

The post-redevelopment land cover summary for any new net impervious cover (populates only when there is an increase in net impervious cover).

Totals: New Impervious Cover (acres)	L56
Rv for Impervious Cover	L57
Post-Development Treatment Volume	L63 (acre-ft) L64 (cf)
Post-Development TP Load Post-development TP load for new net impervious cover.	<b>L65</b> (lb/yr)
<b>TP Load Reduction for New Impervious Area (lb/yr)</b> The required TP load reduction for new net impervious area based on the new development TP load limit of 0.26 lb/acre/yr ( <i>9VAC25-875-580 A 2 c</i> ).	L69

## 4.2.3. SITE TAB (REDEVELOPMENT) – WATER QUALITY REQUIREMENTS

There are two water quality criteria for redevelopment projects under the VESM Regulation (9VAC25-875-580 A 2 a to c). Compliance is achieved as follows:

- For new net impervious cover (cell L56), the total phosphorus load must be reduced to meet the new development criteria of 0.26 lb TP/acre/yr, and
- For the remaining site area (not including any new net impervious cover), the total phosphorus load must be reduced to either 10 or 20% below the pre-redevelopment total phosphorus load, depending on the project's total disturbed acreage (cell F10). The reduction requirement is either:
  - $\circ$  10% if the total disturbed acreage is less than 1 acre, or
  - $\circ$  20% if the total disturbed acreage is greater than or equal to 1 acre.
  - Both of these reduction requirements are limited, in that a reduction to below the applicable standard for new development (0.26 lb TP/acre/yr) cannot be required unless a more stringent standard is established by a local VESMP authority (9VAC25-875-580 A 2 e).

The *Redevelopment spreadsheet* automatically calculates the required reductions as soon as the total disturbed area and land cover areas are entered with no errors (See Table 9).

The rest of this section further explains and highlights the logic used for the redevelopment load computations. The area and load equations (Eq. 19-23), and a decision flowchart for the spreadsheet computations (Fig. 28) are provided in Section 9.4.

#### Table 9. Site Tab Computations for Total Site Area Post-Development

Site Tab Computations	Cell Reference
Post-Development Requirement for Total Site Area	Indigo Cells
TP Load Reduction Required (lb/yr)	
The total phosphorus load reduction that must be met to comply with the water quality requirements for redevelopment:	
The post-development load reduction requirement equals the reduction required for the redeveloped area (excluding pervious area conversions to net impervious cover) listed in <b>cell I65</b> plus the reduction required to meet the new development standard for any new net impervious cover listed in <b>cell L65</b> .	622
	G33
The required post-development load cannot be less than the post- development baseline load (0.26 lb TP/acre/yr) unless a more stringent standard has been established by a local VESMP authority.	G34 (linear)
- A notification in <b>cells H33-I33</b> or <b>H34-I34 (</b> for linear projects) will appear when:	
** TP LOAD REDUCTION NOT REQUIRED	
Equation 23	
Nitrogen Summary (Informational Purposes) (Ib/yr)	
TN loads provided for informational purposes only:	
Pre-Redevelopment TN load	D37
Post-Redevelopment + Post-Development (New Impervious) TN load	J37

In order to calculate the TP load requirement for the redevelopment project, it is necessary to establish:

- 1) The increase in net impervious cover proposed (if applicable).
- 2) The pervious land cover areas (Forest, Mixed Open, and/or Managed Turf) that will be converted to new net impervious cover (if any), as applicable.
- 3) The minimum regulatory (or baseline) TP load for the adjusted total site area (preredevelopment site area not including pervious areas converted to impervious cover).
- 4) The least stringent TP load requirement for the post-redevelopment site area (not including any new net impervious area, if applicable).

These three necessary steps for the computation of the TP load requirement are explained in detail below:

- The increase in net impervious cover proposed (if applicable) (cell L56) is determined in order to apply the new development 0.26 lb/acre/yr TP load limitation to any new net increases in impervious cover as per 9VAC25-875-580 A 2 c. (see also Eq. 19 in Section 9.4).
- 2) The pervious land cover areas (Forest, Mixed Open, and/or Managed Turf) that will be converted to new net impervious cover (if applicable) are determined for exclusion from the pre-redevelopment TP load calculation (adjusted pre-redevelopment) to ensure that both water quality criteria are not simultaneously applied to new net impervious cover areas.

The net decrease in pervious land cover areas occurs as a result of the net increase in impervious cover (Fig. 10). <u>The total pre-redevelopment and post-development site</u> acreages (cell F21 and F29), which sum the user input pre- and post-redevelopment land cover areas (rows 17-20 and 25-28, respectively), must be equal in order to enable the spreadsheet computation of the appropriate load requirements.



Figure 10. User listed pre-development and post-development land cover areas.

#### Virginia Runoff Reduction Method Compliance Spreadsheet

The pervious areas that are converted to impervious cover are excluded from the adjusted preredevelopment areas (cells C44, C48, and C52) and the pre-redevelopment TP load (Fig. 11). The equation used to determine the net decrease in pervious areas (Eq. 21) and decision flowchart (Fig. 28) for the spreadsheet computation are presented in Section 9.4.1.



Figure 11. Pre-redevelopment land cover areas showing changes from *Listed* to *Adjusted* spreadsheet land cover areas and applicable TP load reduction requirements.

- 3) *The minimum regulatory (or baseline) TP load for the adjusted pre-redevelopment area* is the new development standard of 0.26 lb TP/acre/yr multiplied by the adjusted total site acreage, cell C67. The adjusted pre-redevelopment area equals the post-redevelopment area minus any new net impervious area, if applicable. This baseline TP load is the most stringent load that can be required for water quality compliance of the post-redevelopment area (not including any new net impervious area, if applicable).
- 4) The target TP load of the pre-redevelopment adjusted area (*the TP load after 10 or 20% reduction, i.e., 80 or 90% of the TP load for the pre-redevelopment adjusted area, respectively*), is compared to the baseline TP load, and the least stringent becomes the required compliance load for the post-redevelopment area, not including any new net impervious area, if applicable.

The regulations do not require a post-development TP load below the new development standard of 0.26 lb TP/acre/yr for any part of the site, unless a more stringent standard has been established by the VESMP authority.

Two examples are graphically represented in Fig. 12 to illustrate when each of the two different load reduction requirements apply. Both examples show TP loads for redevelopment sites with more than one acre of disturbance. Example 1 in Fig. 12 shows a site where the adjusted pre-redevelopment TP load reduced by 20% (orange, short-dashed line) is the required post-development compliance load (with no net increases in impervious cover). Example 2 in Fig. 12 shows a site where the new development criteria of 0.26lb TP/acre/yr (black, long-dashed line) applied to the pre-redevelopment adjusted site area is the required post-development compliance load (with no net increases in impervious cover). See also Eqns. 22a and 22b in Section 9.4.1.



Figure 12. Reduction requirements for redevelopment projects (no new net impervious cover).

#### Using the VRRM spreadsheet (Redevelopment Site tab)

- RD1. Enter Total Disturbed Acreage (cell F10)
- RD2. Enter Pre-Redevelopment land cover based on existing conditions (conditions at the time an application is submitted).
- RD3. Enter Post-Redevelopment Land Cover based on proposed conditions.
- RD4. Any error notifications (cells H10-K14) or Check Areas! messages (row 29) must be resolved before proceeding.
- RD5. Take note of the Indigo Cells indicating maximum reduction required (cell F12), the site's net increase in impervious cover (cell F13), the post-development TP reduction requirement in cell F14, and also G33 within the indigo results section (rows 32-35).
  - a. As noted in Step 6 for New Development, the post-development TP reduction requirement is a site-based water quality requirement and can be met using various **Drainage Area tab** load reduction combinations.
  - b. Depending on the post-development and pre-redevelopment land cover areas, TP load reduction within the **Drainage Area tabs** may or may not be needed to meet water quality compliance requirements.

If the post-redevelopment TP load, **cell I65**, (which excludes new net impervious cover) is less than the target pre-redevelopment TP load or the TP baseline (see Equations 22a and 22b), then no further TP load reduction is required for the redevelopment portion of the site, and:

- i. A TP load credit will appear as a negative value in **cell 169** (see Section 9.4 for more information).
- ii. If sufficient, such load credit will be applied to offset TP reduction requirements for any new net impervious cover (cell L69), which will reduce the TP load reduction requirement for the total site (cell G33).

# 5.0 DRAINAGE AREA (D.A.) TABS

The VRRM spreadsheet contains five **Drainage Area tabs** (**D.A. A to E**) that allow the user to enter land cover data (land cover type by HSGs) for separate **Drainage Area tabs** (**D.A. tabs**) and to select stormwater BMPs for water quality compliance via runoff volume reduction, pollutant removal, or both (Fig. 13). The **D.A. tabs** do not reference each other, but the information and computations within each **Drainage Area tab** are combined in the **Water Quality Compliance tab**, the **Runoff Volume and CN tab**, and the **Summary tab**.

#### Using the VRRM spreadsheet (Drainage Area tab)

- 8. Determine how many **Drainage Area tabs** are to be used to evaluate site water quality compliance. Site compliance may be achieved within one drainage area, a portion of a drainage area, or in multiple drainage areas (limited by the TP load available per drainage area):
  - a. Each **Drainage Area tab** can reflect a site drainage area with a distinct discharge point from the site, or
  - b. Similar BMP credit areas for the same type of practice(s) (areas of the site draining to specific BMPs or BMP treatment trains) can be grouped together on one **Drainage Area tab** even if they exist within different site drainage areas as long as:
    - i. Treatment volumes for each individual BMP (TV\_{BMP}) are calculated separately; and
    - ii. Any managed turf areas draining to separate BMPs within a grouping must have the same runoff coefficient, i.e., different managed turf areas must all have the same HSG configurations (total areas per HSG) in order to be grouped together.

(only applied when managed turf areas are draining to more than one practice in the grouping).

- c. Nutrient removal within each **Drainage Area tab** is limited by the nutrient available for removal within that **Drainage Area tab** (varies with load generated for that **Drainage Area tab**, which is based on user inputs for **D.A. tab** land cover types by HSGs). TP available for removal is computed in **cell N8** within each **Drainage Area tab**.
- d. Discretion should be exercised if drainage areas or sub-drainage areas with different flow path times of concentration (Tc) are grouped together in a single **D.A. tab.**



Figure 13. Drainage Area Tab (sections).

# 5.1. DRAINAGE AREA TAB LAND COVER AREAS

The land cover data at the top of the **Drainage Area tab** is similar to that on the **Site tab** in that acreages are entered for each land cover type by HSGs (Fig. 14). Land cover area input should be made using HSG determinations reflecting the ultimate post-development soil conditions (i.e. soil types adjusted for compaction and/or soil importing). If only one **Drainage Area tab** is used to evaluate water quality compliance for the entire site, then the land cover data on the **Drainage Area tab** should match the land cover data on the **Site tab**, with the exception of the HSG which should be adjusted as previously indicated, if applicable. Alternatively, the sum of the total land cover data entered on multiple **Drainage Area tabs** should equal the total land cover data entered on the **Site tab**. For the Redevelopment Spreadsheet, the total land cover data entered on the **Site tab**.

6	A	8	С	D	E	F	G	Н	 J	K	L	M	
Dra	inage Area A												
Drain	age Area A Land Cover (acres)												
		A Soils	B Soils	C Soils	D Soils	Totals	Land Cover Rv	Composite Loading P		CLEAR BMP A	REAS		
	Forest (acres)					0.00	0.00	0.00					
	Mixed Open					0.00	0.00	0.000					
	Managed Turf (acres)					0.00	0.00	0.000					
	Impervious Cover (acres)					0.00	0.00	0.000		Total Phosphoru	s Available for Ren	oval in D.A. A (lb/y	7)
					Total	0.00				Post Develo	oment Treatment	/olume in D.A. A (ft	Ъ

Figure 14. Drainage Area Tab, Land Cover Areas.



# 5.2. DRAINAGE AREA LAND COVER SUMMARY

The computations at the top of the **Drainage Area tab** (Fig. 14 and Table 10) provide land cover summary information, treatment volume, and total phosphorus loading for the overall **Drainage Area tab**.

Drainage Area Tab Computations – Land Cover Summary	Cell Reference Grey Cells
Forest/Open Space, Managed Turf, Impervious Cover (acres) Sums of each land cover type area across hydrologic soil groups	F5 (F) F6 (MO) F7 (MT) F8 (IC)
Total Land Cover Area (acres) Area summed across land cover types and HSGs	F9
Weighted Rv for Forest, Mixed Open, Managed Turf, Impervious Cover* Runoff coefficient for each land cover type weighted across HSGs *Runoff coefficient for impervious cover is independent of HSGs	G5 (F) G6 (MO) G7 (MT) G8 (IC)
Composite Nutrient Loadings for Forest, Mixed Open, Managed Turf, Impervious Cover* Composite nutrient loading for each land cover type weighted across HSGs *Composite Loading for impervious cover is independent of HSGs	TP         N           H5 (F)         R5 (F)           H6 (MO)         R6 (MO)           H7 (MT)         R7 (MT)           H8 (IC)         R8 (IC)
<b>Total Phosphorus Available for Removal in DA (lb/yr)</b> The post-development TP load generated from MT and IC areas and, thus available for removal from the Drainage Area tab.	
Similar to loads calculated on the Site tab, D.A. loads are computed using the CAST loading rates. This D.A. TP load available for removal does not include loads generated from Forest areas.	N8
(Note: It is unlikely that TP releases from Forest areas are in a form that can be practically removed via current BMPs; computed TP loads from Forest areas are approximately 0.01 lb TP/acre/yr and far below the new development load limit of 0.26 lb TP/acre/yr).	
Post-Development Treatment Volume from DA (ft <sup>3</sup> ) Post-development treatment volume available for runoff reduction within the D.A. tab land cover area (MO, MT and IC areas only <sup>**</sup> ). Equation 6 **Forest areas used for curve number calculations computed on the Runoff Vol CN tab.	<b>N9</b> (cf)

#### Table 10. Drainage Area Tab Computations for Land Cover Summary

# 5.3. DRAINAGE AREA TAB STORMWATER BMPS

Whereas ESD principles can be applied to a site, in terms of proposed land cover types (i.e., maximizing forest, mixed open and permeable soils, and minimizing managed turf and impervious cover over impacted soils), often additional measures are required to meet water quality compliance. All or a portion of a site or site's drainage area can be treated using non-proprietary or proprietary stormwater management BMPs approved by DEQ for use in Virginia. Stormwater management BMP's are listed along with their approved runoff removal credits, treatment efficiencies and design specifications in the Virginia Stormwater Management Handbook (see Section 1.0).

The approved BMPs are listed in two groups: I) Stormwater BMPs with Runoff Reduction (RR) (see 5.3.2, Table 11), and II) Stormwater BMPs with No Runoff Reduction (No RR) (see 5.3.3, Table 12). Group I practices reduce the pollutant load through volume reduction of the site's runoff or treatment volume, and possibly also through a reduction of the runoff pollutant concentration via non-volumetric pollutant removal treatment (e.g., settling or filtration). Group II practices are limited to non-volumetric pollutant removal treatment for pollutant load reductions. The BMPs are thus grouped to highlight those practices that provide runoff volume reduction (first half) and those that do not. This grouping is used in the BMP list provided in Tables 11 and 12, reflected in both VRRM spreadsheets.

## 5.3.1. BMP DESIGN LEVELS

Several of the BMPs can be constructed to two different design level standards, typically with differing runoff removal credits and/or treatment removal efficiencies. The Level 1 and Level 2 design standards are included in the BMP design specifications and are individually indicated after the BMP name where they apply as #1 (Level 1) and #2 (Level 2) in column A of the **Drainage Area tab**. Each BMP's approved runoff removal credit is listed in column B. The approved treatment removal efficiencies are listed in column J (Total Phosphorus) and column R (Total Nitrogen).

It is important to review and understand the design criteria associated with the Level 1 and Level 2 designations. Level 2 practices will generally provide greater load reductions; they may also require a larger footprint, specific soil conditions, greater engineering effort, and other factors for correct implementation. BMP selection and design guidance, including designated Level 1 and Level 2 criteria, can be found in the BMP Specifications.

## 5.3.2. RUNOFF REDUCTION BMPS

#### Table 11. Stormwater Best Management Practices – with Runoff Reduction

I. Stormwater BMPs with Runoff Reduction (Pollutant removal via runoff reduction w/wo non-volumetric treatme	BMP Design Spec. (DA Tab Cell Ref.)
<ul> <li>1. Vegetated Roof         <ul> <li>a. Vegetated Roof #1</li> <li>b. Vegetated Roof #2</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Spec. P-FIL-02 (rows 14-15)
<ul> <li>2. Rooftop Disconnection <ul> <li>a. Simple Disconnection to A/B Soils</li> <li>b. Simple Disconnection to C/D Soils</li> <li>c. Alternative Practice Disconnection to C/D soils with Soil Amene Filter Path</li> <li>d. Alternative Practice Disconnection to Dry Well/French Drain #1</li> <li>e. Alternative Practice Disconnection to Dry Well/French Drain #2</li> <li>f. Alternative Practice Disconnection to Rain Garden #1</li> <li>g. Alternative Practice Disconnection to Rain Garden #2</li> <li>h. Alternative Practice Disconnection to Rainwater Harvesting*</li> <li>i. Alternative Practice Disconnection to Stormwater Planter</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	ded Spec. P-FIL-01 (rows 18-26)
<ul> <li><b>3. Permeable pavement</b></li> <li>a. Permeable pavement #1</li> <li>b. Permeable pavement #2</li> </ul>	Spec. P-FIL-03 (rows 29-30)
<ul> <li><b>4. Grass channel</b></li> <li>a. Grass Channel A/B Soils</li> <li>b. Grass Channel C/D Soils</li> <li>c. Grass Channel with Compost Amended Soils</li> </ul>	Spec. P-CNV-01 (rows 33-35)
<ul> <li>5. Dry swale</li> <li>a. Dry swale #1</li> <li>b. Dry swale #2</li> </ul>	Spec. P-CNV-02 (rows 38-39)
<ul> <li>6. Bioretention         <ul> <li>a. Bioretention #1 or Micro-Bioretention #1 or Urban Bioretention</li> <li>b. Bioretention #2 or Micro-Bioretention #2</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Spec. P-FIL-05 (rows 42-43)
<ul> <li>7. Infiltration         <ul> <li>a. Infiltration #1</li> <li>b. Infiltration #2</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Spec. P-FIL-04 (rows 46-47)
8. Extended detention pond a. ED #1 b. ED #2	Spec. P-BAS-03 (rows 50-51)
<ul> <li>9. Sheetflow to Filter/Open Space <ul> <li>a. Sheetflow to Conservation Area with A/B Soils</li> <li>b. Sheetflow to Conservation Area with C/D Soils</li> <li>c. Sheetflow to Vegetated Filter Strip in A Soils or Compost Amer B/C/D Soils (and Spec. No. 4)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	nded Spec. P-FIL-07 (rows 54-56)

<ul> <li>10. Regenerative Stormwater Conveyance         <ul> <li>a. Regenerative Stormwater Conveyance #1</li> <li>b. Regenerative Stormwater Conveyance #2</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Spec. P-CNV-04 (rows 59-60)
<b>11.Trees</b> a. Trees #1 b. Trees #2	Spec. P-FIL-09 (rows 63-64)

\* Rainwater Harvesting BMP (Spec.6) restricted to rooftop disconnection option (see 2h above & VRRM spreadsheets).

# 5.3.3. NON-RUNOFF REDUCTION BMPS

#### Table 12. Stormwater Best Management Practices – No Runoff Reduction

II. Stormwater BMPs with No Runoff Reduction (Pollutant removal through non-volumetric treatment)	BMP Design Spec. (DA Tab Cell Ref.)
<b>12.Wet Swale</b> a. Wet Swale #1 b. Wet Swale #2	Spec. P-CNV-03 (rows 82-83)
<b>13. Filtering Practices</b> a. Filtering Practice #1 b. Filtering Practice #2	Spec. P-FIL-06 (rows 86-87)
<ul> <li>14. Constructed Wetland         <ul> <li>a. Constructed Wetland #1</li> <li>b. Constructed Wetland #2</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Spec. P-BAS-01 (rows 90-91)
<ul> <li>15. Wet Ponds <ul> <li>a. Wet Pond #1</li> <li>b. Wet Pond #1 (Coastal Plain)</li> <li>c. Wet Pond #2</li> <li>d. Wet Pond #2 (Coastal Plain)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Spec. P-BAS-02 (rows 94-97)
<ul> <li>16. Manufactured Treatment Devices (MTDs) <ul> <li>a. Manufactured Treatment Device-Hydrodynamic</li> <li>b. Manufactured Treatment Device-Filtering</li> <li>c. Manufactured Treatment Device-Generic</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Links to individual MTD specifications available on: VA SWM BMP Clearinghouse (rows 100-102)

#### Using the VRRM spreadsheet (Drainage Area tab – BMP selection)

11.Select runoff reduction BMPs (top half of Drainage Area tab) and then, if necessary, non-runoff reduction pollutant removal BMPs (bottom half of Drainage Area tab), which can include proprietary BMPs listed as Manufactured Treatment Devices.

Starting the BMP selection process with runoff reducing BMPs followed by non-runoff reducing pollutant removal BMPs (and then utilizing a stepwise iterative process back to ESD principles) is consistent with the VRRM.

- 12. Find the appropriate rows for the selected BMP(s) and enter the contributing drainage areas in acres to each of the selected BMP(s) in the Credit Area cells (column C for Mixed Open, column D for Managed Turf, and column E for Impervious Cover). Mixed Open, Managed Turf, and Impervious Cover areas must be entered separately for each BMP:
  - a. Forest is not treated by BMPs in accordance with the VRRM, but surface areas of certain BMPs can qualify as Forest per the VRRM land cover type definitions (Table 1), and should be entered accordingly in the Land Cover Area sections within both the Drainage Area tab and the Site tab.
    - Natural undisturbed vegetated areas can be preserved and protected for certain BMP installations in accordance with design specifications (e.g., sheetflow to Forest, BMP Design Spec. 2); or
    - ii. Land cover conversions can be performed to transform areas to one of the non-proprietary BMPs (e.g., existing buffer areas can be reforested as conservation areas or vegetated filter strips, existing mixed open or managed turf areas can be transitioned to forest via soil amendments and natural vegetation; see VRRM Land Cover Definitions and BMP Specifications for additional details).
    - iii. Increasing Forest results in a reduction of generated runoff volume and consequently nutrient load for the individual
       Drainage Area tab(s) and Site tab.
  - b. Vegetated Roof, Rooftop Disconnection, and Permeable Pavement allow credit area entries for only impervious areas.

Continued on next page...

#### Using the VRRM spreadsheet (Drainage Area tab – BMP selection)

- c. Rooftop Disconnection to Rainwater Harvesting (2.h., Spec. No. 1) requires the user to enter a runoff volume reduction credit (**cell B25**), which is determined separately using the Cistern Design Spreadsheet (see Virginia Stormwater Handbook) or a similar method that configures storage sizing with water budget development.
- d. Manufactured Treatment Devices (MTDs) (**rows 100-102**) require user entries for phosphorus removal efficiencies. The efficiencies entered for specific MTD(s) planned for use should match the DEQ approved efficiencies published on the Virginia Stormwater BMP Clearinghouse (under Proprietary BMPs section):
- **13.** If BMPs are to be used in series (e.g., treatment trains):
  - a. The credit area draining directly to a BMP should be entered only once. The next BMP in the treatment train is selected in the same **row** as the previous receiving practice from the pull-down menu of the Downstream Practice (**columns 0-P**).

A down arrow will appear at the bottom right of the **cell** Downstream Practice to be Employed once that **cell** is selected. Clicking the arrow will allow the user to scroll and select from the menu of practice options applicable to the upstream BMP.

b. The previous step can be repeated if additional BMPs are used in the treatment train.

### 5.3.4. DRAINAGE AREA TAB BMP COMPUTATIONS

As the user inputs BMP Credit Areas (columns C through E), volume and loading information for the selected BMP auto-populate in the **grey cells** of the same worksheet row (Tables 13-15). Runoff/treatment volumes and pollutant loads generated by contributing drainage area to each practice are calculated using weighted land cover coefficients for Managed Turf, Mixed Open, and Impervious Cover only. Under VRRM, BMPs do not receive credit for treating Forest areas.

#### Table 13. Drainage Area Tab Volume Computations per BMP

Drainage Area Volume Computations for each selected BMP	Cell References Grey Cells
Volume from Upstream RR Practice (ft <sup>3</sup> )	
The runoff volume entering the selected BMP from an upstream practice (provided only when BMPs used in series).	
If upstream practice used: Equals remaining runoff volume (column H) from	Column F
applicable upstream practice (auto-populates).	
If <b>no</b> upstream practice used: Equals zero.	
Runoff Reduction (ft <sup>3</sup> )	
The runoff volume reduction achieved by the selected BMP.	
Equals the volume entering the practice multiplied by the runoff reduction credit.	Column G
Equation 9	
Remaining Runoff Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	
The runoff volume exiting the selected BMP (for non-RR BMPS, this is the same as the runoff volume entering practice).	
Equals the volume entering the practice multiplied by the proportion of runoff not being reduced (1 – runoff reduction credit).	Column H
Equation 10	
Total BMP Treatment Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	
The total runoff volume entering the practice (runoff generated by the contributing drainage area [managed turf, mixed open, and impervious areas only] to the selected BMP plus the runoff volume being received by an upstream practice [if any]).	Column I
Water quantity considerations will also need to be considered.**	
Equation 11	

\*\*Rainwater Harvesting cistern sizing is in accordance with the Cistern Design Spreadsheet or a similar methodology rather than based on BMP Treatment Volume.

Table 14. Drainage Area Tab TP Load Computations per BMP

Drainage Area TP Load Computations for each selected BMP	Cell References
<ul> <li>Phosphorus load from upstream practice(s) (lb)</li> <li>The total phosphorus load entering the selected BMP from an upstream practice (if BMPs used in series).</li> <li>If upstream practice used: Equals remaining phosphorus load (column N) from applicable upstream practice (auto-populates).</li> <li>If no upstream practice used: Equals zero.</li> </ul>	Column K
Untreated phosphorus load to practice (lb) The total phosphorus load generated by the contributing drainage area (or BMP credit area). The load calculated using the Phosphorus Loading Rates table in the Constants tab, as derived from Loading Rates from CAST. Equation 12	Column L
<ul> <li>Phosphorus Removed By Practice (lb)</li> <li>The total phosphorus load removed by the practice through any combination of runoff reduction and pollutant (treatment) reduction applicable to the selected BMP.</li> <li>The TP removal treatment efficiency (column J) is used to compute the proportion of phosphorus removed from the total phosphorus entering the practice.</li> <li>The TP entering the practice is the sum of both the phosphorus load generated directly by the contributing drainage area (or BMP credit area) to the practice (column L) and TP contributions (or remaining phosphorus load) from any upstream practice(s) (column K).</li> <li>Equation 13</li> </ul>	Column M
Remaining Phosphorus Load (lb) The total phosphorus load that exits the practice. The total phosphorus load entering the practice (from column K and column L) minus the total phosphorus load removed by the practice (from column M). Equation 14	Column N

#### Table 15. Drainage Area Tab TN Load Computations per BMP

Drainage Area TN Load Computations for each selected BMP	Cell References
Nitrogen load from upstream practice(s) (lb) The total nitrogen load entering the selected BMP from an upstream practice (if BMPs used in series). If upstream practice used: Equals remaining nitrogen load (column V) from	Column S
applicable upstream practice (auto-populates). If <b>no</b> upstream practice used: Equals zero.	
<ul> <li>Untreated nitrogen load to practice (lb)</li> <li>The total nitrogen load generated by the contributing drainage area (or BMP credit area).</li> <li>The load is calculated using the Nitrogen Loading Rates table in the Constants tab, as derived from Loading Rates from CAST.</li> </ul>	Column T
Nitrogen Removed By Practice (lb)The total nitrogen load removed by the practice through any combination of runoff reduction and pollutant (treatment) reduction applicable to the selected BMP.The TN removal treatment efficiency (column R) is used to compute the proportion of nitrogen removed from the total nitrogen entering the practice.The TN entering the practice is the sum of both the nitrogen load generated directly by the contributing drainage area (or BMP credit area) to the practice (column T) and TN contributions (or remaining nitrogen load) from any upstream practice(s) (column S).Equation 13	Column U
Remaining Nitrogen Load (lb) The total nitrogen load that exits the practice. The total nitrogen load entering the practice (from column S and column T) minus the total nitrogen load removed by the practice (from column U). Equation 14	Column V

## 5.3.5. DRAINAGE AREA TAB COMPUTATION SUMMARIES (RUNOFF REDUCTION BMPS AND ALL BMPS)

There are two locations within each **Drainage Area tab** where summary information on treated areas and nutrient loads is provided: one summary for the Runoff Reduction (RR) BMPs only (rows 58-69); and one general summary for all BMPs (rows 97-115). These summaries are described in Tables 16 and 17 and shown in Figs. 15 and 16.

Table 16. Drainage Area Tab Runoff Reduction BMP Summary

Drainage Area Tab – <i>Runoff Reduction (RR)</i> BMP Summary	Cell Reference
<b>Total Impervious Cover Treated (acres)</b> The total impervious areas in the D.A. tab included within all selected Runoff Reduction (RR) BMP contributing areas (or BMP credit areas)	F67
Area Check indicates (OK. or AREA EXCEEDED!) to notify if sum of all RR BMP impervious credit areas (F59) exceeds D.A. tab land cover inputs (F8)	H67
Total Mixed Open Area Treated (acres)	
The total mixed open areas in the D.A. tab included within all selected RR BMP contributing areas (or BMP credit areas)	F68
open credit areas (F60) exceeds D.A. tab land cover inputs (F6)	H68
Total Managed Turf Area Treated (acres)	
The total managed turf areas in the D.A. tab included within all selected RR BMP contributing areas (or BMP credit areas)	F69
Area Check indicates (OK. or AREA EXCEEDED!) to notify if sum of all RR BMP turf credit areas (F61) exceeds D.A. tab land cover inputs (F7)	H69
Total Runoff Reduction (ft <sup>3</sup> )	
The total runoff reduction volume achieved within D.A. tab by all selected RR BMPs.	F70
Total Phosphorus Available for Removal (lb/yr)	
The post-development total phosphorus load generated from MO, MT, and IC areas and available for removal within D.A. tab.	172
Repeated from cell N8 and explained in more detail in Section 5.2.	
Total Phosphorus Removed With Runoff Reduction Practices (Ib/yr) The total phosphorus load removed through RR BMPs within D.A. tab.	173
Total Phosphorus Remaining After Applying Runoff Reduction Practices	
(lb/yr)	174
The total phosphorus load that still remains after user selection and application of RR BMPs in D.A. tab.	
Nitrogen Summary for Runoff Reduction in D.A. Tab	14/70
Total Runoff Reduction in D.A. (ft <sup>3</sup> ) (from F62)	VV / Z
Nitrogen Removed With Runoff Reduction Practices (lb/yr) (similar to TP removed with RR BMPs above)	W73

Table 17. Drainage Area Tab Total BMP Summary

Drainage Area Tab – <i>Total</i> BMP Summary	Cell Reference
<b>Total Impervious Cover Treated (acres)</b> The total impervious areas in the D.A. tab included within all selected (RR and non-RR) BMP contributing areas (or BMP credit areas)	F106
Area Check indicates (OK. or AREA EXCEEDED!) to notify if sum of all (RR and non-RR) BMP impervious credit areas (F98) exceeds D.A. tab land cover inputs (F8)	H106
Total Mixed Open Area Treated (acres)	
The total mixed open areas in the D.A. tab included within all selected (RR and non-RR) BMP contributing areas (or BMP credit areas)	F107
Area Check indicates (OK. or AREA EXCEEDED!) to notify if sum of all (RR and non-RR) BMP mixed open credit areas (F99) exceeds D.A. tab land cover inputs (F6)	H107
Total Managed Turf Area Treated (acres)	
The total turf areas in the D.A. tab included within all selected (RR and non-RR) BMP contributing areas (or BMP credit areas)	F108
<b>Area Check</b> indicates ( <b>OK.</b> or <b>AREA EXCEEDED</b> !) to notify if sum of all (RR and non-RR) BMP turf credit areas (F100) exceeds D.A. tab land cover inputs (F7)	H108
Total Phosphorus Removal Required on Site (lb/yr)	
The total phosphorus removal requirement for entire site (from Site tab G33).	1110
Total Phosphorus Available for Removal in D.A. Tab (lb/yr)	
The post-development total phosphorus load generated from MO, MT and IC areas and available for removal within D.A. tab.	1112
Repeated from cell N8 (and cell I64) and explained in more detail in Section 5.2.	
<b>Total Phosphorus Removed Without Runoff Reduction Practices (lb/yr)</b> The total phosphorus load removed through non-RR BMPs within D.A. tab.	1113
<b>Total Phosphorus Removed With Runoff Reduction Practices (lb/yr)</b> The total phosphorus load removed through RR BMPs within D.A. tab. (165)	1114
Total Phosphorus Load Reduction Achieved (lb/yr)	
The total phosphorus load removed through all BMPs (RR and non-RR) within the D.A. tab. (Sum of RR and non-RR BMP TP load reductions, I105+I106)	1115
Total Phosphorus Remaining After Applying BMP Load Reductions (lb/yr)	
The total phosphorus load remaining after application of all selected BMPs in D.A. tab.	1116
Nitrogen Removal Summary for Runoff Reduction in D.A. Tab (lb/yr):	14.00
Nitrogen Removed With Runoff Reduction Practices (from cell W65)	1120
Nitrogen Removed without Runoff Reduction Practices	1121

July 2024



#### Figure 15. Drainage Area Tab, RR BMP Summary



Figure 16. Drainage Area Tab, BMP Summary (All BMPs).



# 6.0 WATER QUALITY COMPLIANCE TAB

The **Water Quality Compliance tab** provides the user with water quality site results and area checks drawn from user inputs on the **Site tab** and **Drainage Area tabs**. The information is presented in four sections: Area Checks (rows 3-10), Runoff Reduction Volume and TP (and TN) by Drainage Area (rows 16-21), Total Phosphorus (rows 24-28), and Total Nitrogen (rows 31-33). These main sections are described along with the related user instructions in the following tables (Tables 18-20).

Site Results (Water Quality Compliance), Area Checks	Cell Reference
Forest (acres)	B3-F3
Forest areas totaled across HSGs for each Drainage Area tab	D.A. Tab F5
Mixed Open Area (acres)	B4-F4
Mixed open cover areas totaled across HSGs for each Drainage Area tab	D.A. Tab F6
Mixed Open Area Treated (acres)	B5-F5
Total treated mixed open cover (BMP Credit Areas) for each Drainage Area tab	D.A. Tab F99
Impervious Cover (acres)	B6-F6
Impervious cover areas totaled across HSGs for each Drainage Area tab	D.A. Tab F8
Impervious Cover Treated (acres)	B7-F7
Total treated impervious cover (BMP Credit Areas) for each Drainage Area tab	D.A. Tab F98
Managed Turf Area (acres)	B8-F8
Turf cover areas totaled across HSGs for each Drainage Area tab	D.A. Tab F7
Managed Turf Area Treated (acres)	B9-F9
Total treated turf cover (BMP Credit Areas) for each Drainage Area tab	D.A. Tab F100
Area Check per D.A. Tabs Checks to ensure treated impervious cover, mixed open, and managed turf areas do not exceed available impervious cover, mixed open, and managed turf areas, respectively, within each Drainage Area tab. Indicates (OK. or AREA EXCEEDED!)	B10-F10
Area Check per Site Tab	<b>G3</b> (F)
Checks to ensure each land cover area totaled across Drainage Area tabs do not exceed land cover areas entered on <b>Site tab</b> . Indicates ( <b>OK.</b> or <b>AREA EXCEEDED</b> !)	G4 (MO) H6 (IC) H8 (MT)
Area Check for Treated Impervious Cover, Treated Mixed Open, and Treated Managed Turf	<b>C7</b> (IC)
Checks to ensure treated impervious cover, treated mixed open, and treated managed turf areas totaled across Drainage Area tabs do not exceed available impervious cover, mixed open, and managed turf areas totaled across Drainage Area tabs. Indicates (OK. or AREA EXCEEDED!)	G7 (IC) G5 (MO) H9 (MT)
Site Treatment Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	
Total Treatment Volume for the post-development site area	B12

#### Table 18. Water Quality Compliance Tab Site Results (Areas and Checks)

# Using the VRRM spreadsheet (Water Quality Compliance Site Results) – Area Checks

- 17. Check the Water Quality Compliance Area Checks (B10-F10 and G3-G9) to ensure:
  - a. Treated land cover areas do not exceed land cover areas available within each **Drainage Area tab**, and
  - b. Areas entered on **Drainage Area tabs** do not exceed areas entered on **Site tab**.
- 18.If AREA EXCEEDED! messages appear, check information entered on Drainage Area tabs (land cover information and BMP Credit Areas) and Site tab. Error messages on these tabs will help direct the user to problem entries.

Site Results (Water Quality Compliance), Runoff Reduction Volume and TP By Drainage Area	Cell Reference
Runoff Reduction Volume Achieved (ft <sup>3</sup> )	B16-F16
Runoff Reduction Volumes summed within each Drainage Area tab	D.A. Tab F62
Total Across Drainage Area Tabs	G16
<b>TP Load Available For Removal (lb/yr)</b> Total phosphorus load available for removal within each Drainage Area tab	B17-F17 D.A. Tab N8
Total Across Drainage Area Tabs	G17
<b>TP Load Reduction Achieved (lb/yr)</b> Total phosphorus load reduction achieved within each Drainage Area tab	B18-F18 D.A. Tab I107
Total Across Drainage Area Tabs	G18
<b>TP Load Remaining (lb/yr)</b> Total phosphorus load remaining within each Drainage Area tab (difference between TP Load Available and TP Load Reduced)	B19-F19
Total Across Drainage Area Tabs	G19
<b>Nitrogen Load Reduction Achieved (lb/yr)</b> * Total nitrogen load reduction achieved within each Drainage Area tab	B21-F21 D.A. Tab I114
I otal Across Drainage Area Tabs	G21

Table 19. Water Quality Compliance Tab Site Results (Volume, TP, and TN\* by DA Tabs)

\*For informational purposes only.

Virginia Runoff Reduction Method Compliance Spreadsheet

July 2024

ite Results (Water Quality Compliance), otal Phosphorus	Cell Reference
Final Post-Development TP Load (lb/yr)	D24
Total phosphorus load reduction achieved across all Drainage Area tabs	BZ4
TP Load Reduction Required (lb/yr)	
Total phosphorus load reduction required for site compliance (based on 0.26 lb TP/acre/yr new development load limitation)	B25
TP Load Reduction Achieved (lb/yr)	DOC
Total phosphorus load reduction achieved across all Drainage Area tabs	B20
TP Load Remaining (lb/yr)	D)7
Total phosphorus load reduction achieved across all Drainage Area tabs	DZ/
Remaining TP Load Reduction Required (lb/yr)	
Phosphorus load reduction that is still required for site compliance (difference between TP required and TP achieved).	
B29 Indicates:	
**No further TP load reduction required	B28
if TP load reduction achieved on site equals compliance	
requirements or	
TARGET TP REDUCTION EXCEEDED BYLB/YEAR**	
if TP load reduction achieved on site exceeds compliance requirements.	
Total Nitrogen (Ib/yr)*	
Total nitrogen summary for entire site includes:	
Post-Development Load	B31
Nitrogen Load Reduction Achieved	B32
Remaining Post-Development Nitrogen Load	B33

#### Table 20. Water Quality Compliance Tab Site Results (Total Phosphorus, Total Nitrogen)

\*For informational purposes only

Using the VRRM spreadsheet (Site Results Water Quality Compliance)
– Runoff Reduction Volume and TP By Drainage Area
19. Check to see if water quality compliance has been achieved on the site by going to the Remaining TP Load Reduction Required result in cell B28:
<ul> <li>c. If the result indicates anything greater than zero lb/yr, then the user must continue to reduce the TP load by the amount indicated in cell B28 (which is the difference between the site-based TP load reduction required in B25 and the reduction which is so far achieved in cell B26):</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Check the runoff reduction volumes and total phosphorus loadings (cells B16-G19) for where additional opportunities to reduce the TP load may be found and optimized.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Return to Site tab and Drainage Area tabs to possibly reconfigure the site layout and/or revise the on-site BMP strategy (change BMP credit areas and/or BMP selections).</li> </ul>
d. If the result indicates that either:
No further TP reduction required
OR
TARGET TP REQUIRED EXCEED BYLB/YR,
then the user can proceed with the proposed site layout and selected
BMPs per Drainage Area tab to begin the site design.
<b>20.</b> If information on nitrogen loads is desired, check the Total Nitrogen sections (cells B21-G21 and B31-B33).
21. Proceed to the Runoff Volume and CN tab if the spreadsheet results for runoff (watershed-inches) and/or curve number adjustments will be used in subsequent water quantity calculations.
22. Proceed to the Summary tab to view and print a VRRM Spreadsheet Compliance Report.

The layout of the **Water Quality Compliance tab** for the Redevelopment spreadsheet differs from New Development spreadsheet only with the inclusion of a TP load results section for linear projects in **cells E24-I28** as shown in Figure 17 below.



Figure 17. Water Quality Compliance Tab, Redevelopment spreadsheet with linear project results section.

## 7.0 RUNOFF VOLUME AND CN TAB

The **Runoff Volume and CN tab** calculates the runoff volume reduction benefit in terms that can be applied to the water quantity control requirements of the VESM Regulation (Channel protection and Flood protection, *9VAC25-875-600*).

When designed to manage the water quality target rainfall event (one-inch of rainfall), the volume reduction achieved by the runoff reduction practices will provide some degree of benefit or credit when complying with any of these water quantity control requirements. This volume credit is applied in the form of a CN reduction based on the Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) runoff equations provided in *Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds: TR-55* (NRCS 1986).

It is important to note that the **Runoff Volume and CN tab** results do not indicate whether water quantity compliance has been achieved. Further computations and/or analyses are required for this determination. The user should carefully review the water quantity control regulations (9VAC25-875-600) to ensure understanding of compliance requirements.

It is also important to note that the spreadsheet calculates the volume reduction credit assuming that each BMP is designed and sized according to the minimum requirements of the BMP Design Specifications. If the user has oversized a practice, the spreadsheet will not reflect additional volume reduction credit for the purpose of meeting the water quantity technical criteria of the VESM Regulation (see Section 7.3). Routing of BMPs can be a complex task given all the hydrologic and hydraulic variables associated with volume storage and reduction, and therefore, needs to be performed outside of the spreadsheet using acceptable hydrologic and hydraulic methodologies (9VAC25-875-620).

# 7.1. RUNOFF VOLUME AND CN TAB USER INPUTS AND LIMITATIONS

There are a maximum of three entries on the Runoff Volume and CN Tab for design storm rainfall depths in inches (**cells E6 to G6**):

Using the VRRM spreadsheet (Runoff Volume and CN) – User Inputs
23. Enter the 24-hour rainfall depth for the Target Rainfall Event (inches) which can be the 1-year, 2-year and/or 10-year frequency storm events:
<ul> <li>a. The rainfall depths can be found using NOAA Atlas 14 (<u>https://hdsc.nws.noaa.gov/pfds/</u>)</li> </ul>
b. Remember that <b>Drainage Area tab</b> land cover data must be entered before calculations can be performed on this tab.

Just below the user input cells is a list of three notes (dark red font) which provide the user with some of the limitations of the spreadsheet and the **Runoff Volume and CN tab** computations (Fig. 18, Table 21).



Figure 18. Runoff and CN Tab, Limitations.

Virginia Runoff Reduction Method Compliance Spreadsheet

Table 21. Runoff Volume and CN Tab Limitations

Runoff Volume and CN (Limitations)	Cell Reference
[1] The curve numbers and runoff volumes computed in this spreadsheet for each drainage area are limited in their applicability for determining and demonstrating compliance with water quantity requirements. See VRRM User's Guide and Documentation for additional information.	B10-K12
This note is to inform the user that sites which have more than one drainage area converging to a common point of analysis is too complex of a drainage network for this tab to calculate CN values and runoff depths correctly. Hydrologic modeling is required for these and other types of complex configurations.	
[2] Runoff Volume (RV) for pre- and post-development drainage areas must be in volumetric units (e.g., acre-feet or cubic feet) when using the Energy Balance Equation. Runoff measured in watershed-inches and shown in the spreadsheet as RV (watershed-inch) can only be used in the Energy Balance Equation when the pre- and post-development drainage areas are equal. RV (watershed-inch) must be multiplied by the drainage area.	В13-К16
The Runoff Volume (RV) provided in watershed inches is a depth measurement and not volume. When comparing forested, pre-, and post- development drainage area volumes for energy balance calculations, the volume units must be in acre-feet or cubic feet unless the drainage areas are the same.	
[3] Adjusted CNs are based on runoff reduction volumes as calculated in D.A. tabs. An alternative CN adjustment calculation for Vegetated Roofs is included in BMP P-FIL-02 Vegetated Roof.	B17-K18
The runoff reduction volumes calculated in the D.A. tabs are used for CN adjustments. The vegetated roof practice allows a pre-determined curve number adjustment that is listed in Table 5.1 of the BMP Specification.	

# 7.2. RUNOFF VOLUME AND CN TAB RESULTS

The **Runoff Volume and CN tab** results primarily show (for each **Drainage Area tab**) the volume reduction achieved and the **Drainage Area tab** composite curve number (CN  $_{(D.A.)}$ ) before and after accounting for achieved volume reduction (Adjusted CN, Fig. 19, Table 22). Each of the **Drainage Area tabs** is represented in a separate table of results (for a total of five results tables).

Each **D.A. tab** results table includes constants, namely assigned CNs for each land cover type by HSGs, computations carried over from **Drainage Area tabs** (acreages and volumes), and CN calculations as represented in Fig. 19.

The user should consult the VA SWM Handbook for additional information and details on computational procedures.



Figure 19. Runoff and CN Tab, Drainage Area A Summary.

#### Table 22. Runoff Volume and CN Tab Results

Runoff Volume and CN (Results for D.A. A Tab)	Cell Reference
Land Cover Areas by HSGs Areas of land cover type by hydrologic soil groups (HSGs) is carried over from the Drainage Area tab.	E24-H24 (F) D.A. Tab B5-E5 E26-H26 (MO) D.A. Tab B6-E6 E28-H28 (MT) D.A. Tab B7-E7 E30-H30 (IC) D.A. Tab B8-E8
Total Area (acres)	K23
The sum of all areas within the Drainage Area tab (across land cover type and HSGs)	D.A. Tab F9
Runoff Reduction Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> ) The total runoff reduction volume for the Drainage Area tab via runoff reduction BMPs.	K25 D.A. Tab F62
<b>CN</b> Assigned curve numbers (CN) for each land cover type by HSGs within the Drainage Area tab.	E25-H25 (F) E27-H27 (MO) E29-H29 (MT) E31-H31 (IC)
<b>CN</b> <sub>(D.A. A)</sub> The composite curve number for the Drainage Area tab (across land cover types and HSGs). Equation 15	H33
RV <sub>Developed</sub> (watershed-inch) with no Runoff Reduction (1-year storm, 2-year storm, 10-year storm) The post-development runoff volume in watershed-inches for the Drainage Area tab without applying any RR-BMPs. This value is calculated for each target rainfall event entered by the user (1-yr, 2-yr, 10-yr storms) using the D.A. tab composite curve number (CN <sub>(D.A.)</sub> ). Equation 16	E35-G35
RV <sub>Developed</sub> (watershed-inch) with Runoff Reduction (1-year storm, 2-year storm, 10-year storm) The post-development runoff volume in watershed-inches for the Drainage Area tab after applying runoff volume reduction from any utilized RR-BMPs. The runoff volume reduction is subtracted from the post-development runoff volume above (RV <sub>Developed</sub> no RR) for each target rainfall event and converted to watershed-inches. Equation 17	E36-G36
Adjusted CN (1-year storm, 2-year storm, 10-year storm) The adjusted curve number for each target rainfall event obtained using a look-up table of curve numbers and corresponding runoff depths (watershed-in). Adjusted CN can also be determined using Fig. 2-1 of TR-55. Equation 18	E37-G37

# 7.3. INTEGRATING WATER QUALITY TREATMENT WITH CONTROL OF LARGER STORMS

Compliance with the technical criteria of the VESM Regulation requires that stormwater management include provisions for channel protection and flood control. The VESM Regulation requires management of the one-inch storm event (approximately equivalent to a 3-month, 24-hour storm). The stormwater BMP Design Specifications allow for additional storage features that go beyond the required quality BMP treatment volumes and beyond the assigned runoff reduction credits. If a BMP is designed with increased storage volume to manage the volume from a 1-, 2-, or 10-year storm event, the VRRM spreadsheet will not recognize this additional storage volume. The designer will need to perform additional computations outside of the VRRM spreadsheet as part of their analysis. BMPs may also include additional discharges from adjacent parcels which run-on to the site; areas outside of the owner and/or operator's legal control cannot be included in the Site tab or Drainage Area tabs for additional quality credit.

Stormwater BMPs that provide a runoff reduction (RR) credit do so by providing a storage component and/or slowing the release of discharge to the nearby receiving stream. These processes attenuate the runoff by encouraging abstraction and infiltration, resulting in a decrease in the computed release volume. The effectiveness of a practice's volume reduction (or ability to reduce the curve number) during larger storms is dependent on the relative volume of storage provided versus the volume of runoff generated. As the runoff depth increases, say from a 1-year frequency rainfall to a 10-year frequency rainfall, the practice's proportional runoff reduction decreases.

BMP practices that can be expanded to provide a greater volume of storage for larger storm events may be utilized in accordance with guidance provided in the BMP Design Specifications. The designer may then choose to utilize the total storage volume provided rather than the values used in the VRRM spreadsheet and compute an adjusted curve number directly from TR-55 for the desired storm events. A curve number must be computed for each storm event due to the diminishing effect of storage as rainfall depth increases. It is important to note that the RR credit assigned in the spreadsheet, and not the actual storage, must be used for the water quality calculations. In most cases, use of upland runoff reduction practices will reduce the storage volumes needed to manage the larger storm events associated with channel protection and/or flood control.

## 8.0 SUMMARY TAB (COMPLIANCE SUMMARY)

#### The user must enable macros for full functionality of the Summary tab.

The **Summary tab** compiles a report style summary of the spreadsheet results and water quality compliance information. All but one section of the **Summary tab** will auto-populate as user entries are made on the **Site tab**, **Drainage Area tabs**, and **Runoff and CN tab**. The individual **Drainage Area tab** summaries, including BMP selection summaries, will only populate and/or update once the user clicks the **Update Summary Sheet** button. The **Print Preview** button was included to remind the user that the printout can be customized to accommodate user needs (e.g., specify 1 page wide by X pages long), and the **Print** button opens the Excel Print Function (see user steps 27-28 below).

The upper section of the **Summary tab** includes site information and water quality compliance information carried forward from the **Site tab** and **Water Quality Compliance tab**, respectively (rows 5-29 for New Development, Fig.20; rows 5-48 for Redevelopment, Fig. 20).

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		
1									
2	DEQ Virginia Runoff Reduction Method Nev	v Development Co	ompliance Sprea	isheet - Version 4	4.1				
4	BMP Design Specifications List: 2024 Stds & Specs Update Summary Sheet								
5	Site Summary	Project Title: NA							
6		Date: NA				Print Preview	Print		
7									
8									
9	Site Land Cover Summary								
10		A soils	B Soils	C Soils	D Soils	Totals	% of Total		
11	Forest (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0		
12	Mixed Open (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0		
13	Managed Turf (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0		
14	Impervious Cover (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0		
15						0.00	0		
16	Site Tv and Land Cover Nutrient Loads								
17	Site Rv	0.00							
18	Treatment Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	0							
19	TP Load (lb/yr)	0.00							
20	TN Load (lb/yr)	0.00							
21									
22	Total TP Load Reduction Required (lb/yr)	0.00							
23	Site Compliance Summary								
24									
25	Total Runoff Volume Reduction (ft <sup>3</sup> )	0							
26	Total TP Load Reduction Achieved (lb/yr)	0.00							
27	Total TN Load Reduction Achieved (lb/yr)	0.00							
28	Remaining Post Development TP Load (lb/yr)	0.00							
29	Remaining TP Load Reduction (lb/yr) Required	-							

Figure 20. New Development Summary Tab – Water Quality Compliance, Site Summary Sections.

DEQ Virginia Runoff Reducti			E		_	н		3	N
-	ion Method Re-Develop	ment Compliance	Spreadsheet - V	ersion 4.1					
BMP Design Specific	ations List: 2024 Stds & S	pecs			Update Summary Sheet				
Site Summary									
Project Title: NA					Print Preview	Print			
Date: NA			1						
	Total Dist	urbed Acreage:	0.00	l					
Site Land Cover Summary	DISTURBED A	CREAGE NOT ENTE	RED ON SITE TAB!						
Pre-ReDevelopment Land	d Cover (acres)								
	A soils	B Soils	C Soils	D Soils	Totals	% of Total	1		
Forest (acres)	0.00	0.00	3.00	0.00	3.00	25	1		
Mixed Open (acres)	0.00	0.00	3.00	0.00	3.00	25			
Managed Turf (acres)	0.00	0.00	3.00	0.00	3.00	25			
Impervious Cover (acres)	0.00	0.00	3.00	0.00	3.00	25			
					12.00	100			
							_		
Post-ReDevelopment Lan	d Cover (acres)						-		
	A soils	B Soils	C Soils	D Soils	Totals	% of Total	4.		
Forest (acres)	0.00	0.00	3.00	0.00	3.00	25	*		
Mixed Open (acres)	0.00	0.00	3.00	0.00	3.00	25	4		
Managed Turf (acres)	0.00	0.00	3.00	0.00	3.00	25	4		
Impervious Cover (acres)	0.00	0.00	3.00	0.00	3.00	25	-		
Site Tv and Land Cover N	utrient Loads	in accordance	via ale vigina	indion nedu	12.00	100	4		
	Final Post	Development	Post-	Post-		1	Pre-	Final Post-	Post-ReDevel
	(Post-Re	Development	ReDevelopmen	Development	Adjusted Pre-		ReDevelopment	Development TP Load	TP Load per
	& Nev	Impervious)	t	(New Impervious)	ReDevelopment		TP Load per acre	per acre	(lb/acre/
Site Rv			-	0.00	-	1	-	-	-
Treatment Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )		-	-	-	-				
TP Load (lb/vr)		-	-	-	-	1			
IP LOad (m/yr)									
						1			
··· ->== (=) (+)			1		1	1			
Total TP Load Reduction Requi	ired (lb/yr)	-	-	-		1			
Total TP Load Reduction Requi	ired (Ib/yr)	-	-	-		J			
Total TP Load Reduction Requi	ired (lb/yr)	- Final Post-D	 evelopment Load		Pre-	]			
Total TP Load Reduction Requi	ired (lb/yr)	– Final Post-D Post-ReDevelopm	 evelopment Load eent & New Impervi		Pre- ReDevelopment	]			
Total TP Load Reduction Requi	ired (lb/yr)	 Final Post-D Post-ReDevelopm	- evelopment Load ent & New Impervi	- ious)	Pre- ReDevelopment -	]			
Total TP Load Reduction Requi	ired (lb/yr)	 Final Post-D Post-ReDevelopm	- evelopment Load ent & New Impervi -		Pre- ReDevelopment 	]			
Total TP Load Reduction Requi	ired (lb/yr)	 Final Post-D Post-ReDevelopm	- evelopment Load ent & New Impervi -	-	Pre- ReDevelopment -	]			
Total TP Load Reduction Requ TN Load (lb/yr) Site Compliance Sun Maximum % Red	ired (lb/yr)	Final Post-D Post-ReDevelopm	- evelopment Load ent & New Impervi -	-	Pre- ReDevelopment -	]			
Total TP Load Reduction Requ TN Load (Ib/yr) Site Compliance Sun Maximum % Red Pre	ired (lb/yr)	- Final Post-D Post-ReDevelopm		-	Pre- ReDevelopment -	]			
Total TP Load Reduction Requ TN Load (lb/yr) Site Compliance Sun Maximum % Red Pre	ired (lb/yr)	Final Post-D Post-ReDevelopm		-	Pre- ReDevelopment –	] 			
Total TP Load Reduction Requ TN Load (lb/yr) Site Compliance Sun Maximum % Red Pre Total Runoff Volume Red	ired (lb/yr)	Final Post-D Post-ReDevelopm		- ious)	Pre- ReDevelopment -	] 			
Total TP Load Reduction Requ TN Load (ib/yr) Site Compliance Sun Maximum % Red Pre Total Runoff Volume Red Total TP Load Reduction Achie	Inter (Ib/yr)	Final Post-D Post-ReDevelopm		- ious) 	Pre- ReDevelopment -	] 			
Total TP Load Reduction Requ TN Load (Ib/yr) Site Compliance Sun Maximum % Red Pre Total Runoff Volume Red Total TP Load Reduction Achie Respirate Red Reduction Achie	ired (lb/yr)	Final Post-D Post-ReDevelopm		- ious) 	Pre- ReDevelopment 	]			
Total TP Load Reduction Requ TN Load (lb/yr) Site Compliance Sun Maximum % Red Pre Total Runoff Volume Red Total TP Load Reduction Achie Remaining Post Developme	ired (lb/yr)	Final Post-D Post-ReDevelopm	- evelopment Load ent & New Impervi	- ious) 	Pre- ReDevelopment 	]			
Total TP Load Reduction Requ TN Load (Ib/yr) Site Compliance Sun Maximum % Red Pre Total Runoff Volume Red Total TP Load Reduction Achie Total TN Load Reduction Achie Remaining Post Developme	ired (lb/yr)	Final Post-D Post-ReDevelopm	- evelopment Load ent & New Impervi		Pre- ReDevelopment -	] 			

Figure 21. Redevelopment Summary Tab – Water Quality Compliance, Site Summary showing errors.

The next section of the **Summary tab** includes land cover and nutrient loading reductions for all of the **Drainage Area tabs** carried forward from the **Drainage Area tabs** (rows 31-44 for New Development, Fig. 22; rows 50-63 for Redevelopment).

Virginia Runoff Reduction Method Compliance Spreadsheet

Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
31	Drainage Area Summary						
32							
33		D.A. A	D.A. B	D.A. C	D.A. D	D.A. E	Total
34	Forest (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
35	Mixed Open (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
36	Managed Turf (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
37	Impervious Cover (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
38	Total Area (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
39							
40	Drainage Area Compliance Summary						
41							
42		D.A. A	D.A. B	D.A. C	D.A. D	D.A. E	Total
43	TP Load Reduced (lb/yr)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
44	TN Load Reduced (lb/yr)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Figure 22. New Development Summary Tab – Drainage Area Summary and Drainage Area Compliance Summary.

The individual **Drainage Area tab** summaries (Fig. 23) populate just below the overall **Drainage Area tab** summary (rows 47+ for New Development Spreadsheet and rows 66+ for Redevelopment Spreadsheet). The **Drainage Area tab** summaries include land cover information and a BMP summary for each **Drainage Area tab** where user inputs have been made (i.e., only utilized **Drainage Area tabs** and selected BMPs will populate in this section of the **Summary tab**).

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K
47		Drainage Area A Summary									
48											
49		Land Cover Summary									
50	1		A Colla	D Coile	CCalla	Difaila	Tatal	% of Total			
51		Forest (acres)	A 30115	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	26 OT TOTAL			
52		Mixed Open (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0			
54		Managed Turf (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0			
54		Impervious Cover (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0			
55		impervious cover (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0			
50							0.00	1			
58		BMP Selections									
59		Diver Selections									
	- 1		Mixed Open	Managed Turf	Impervious Cover		TP Load from	Untreated TP			Downstream
		Practice	Credit Area	Credit Area	Credit Area	BMP Treatment	Upstream	Load to Practice	TP Removed	TP Remaining	Treatment to be
60			(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	Practices (lbs)	(lbs)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	Employed
61											
62		Total Impervious Cover Treated (acres)	0.00								
63		Total Mixed Open Treated (acres)	0.00								
64		Total Turf Area Treated (acres)	0.00								
65		Total TP Load Reduction Achieved in D.A. (lb/yr)	0.00								
66		Total TN Load Reduction Achieved in D.A. (Ib/yr)	0.00								

Figure 23. Summary Tab – Drainage Area A Land Cover Summary, BMP Selections, and Water Quality Compliance.

The bottom section of the **Summary tab** includes a table of composite curve numbers, runoff reductions and adjusted curve numbers from the **Runoff Volume and CN tab** for each drainage area (Fig. 24). The exact location of this section on the tab will depend on the quantity of information compiled for the preceding section of individual Drainage Area tab summaries.
A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
157	Runoff Volume and CN Calculations						
158		1-year storm	2-year storm	10-year storm	]		
160	Target Rainfall Event (in)	0.00	0.00	0.00			
161					-		
162	Drainage Areas	RV & CN	Drainage Area A	Drainage Area B	Drainage Area C	Drainage Area D	Drainage Area E
163	CN		0	0	0	0	0
164	RR (ft <sup>3</sup> )		0	0	0	0	0
165		RV wo RR (ws-in)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
166	1-year return period	RV w RR (ws-in)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
167		CN adjusted	0	0	0	0	0
168		RV wo RR (ws-in)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
169	2-year return period	RV w RR (ws-in)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
170		CN adjusted	0	0	0	0	0
171		RV wo RR (ws-in)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
172	10-year return period	RV w RR (ws-in)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
173		CN adjusted	0	0	0	0	0

Figure 24. Summary Tab – Water Quality Compliance, Runoff Volume and CN Calculations.



23 24	Site Compliance Summary				Λ	lew Develop	oment Spre	eadsheet
25	Total Runoff Volume Reduction (ft <sup>3</sup> )	1,707	7	Error Summar	y:			
26	Total TP Load Reduction Achieved (lb/vr)	0.39	1	Areas on D.A. to	, b(s) exceed Site to	ab areas	-	
27	Total TN Load Reduction Achieved (lb/vr)	5.44	1					
28	Remaining Post Development TP Load (lb/yr)	-0.39	1					
29	Remaining TP Load Reduction (Ib/yr) Required	Check Errors!						
30 31 32	Drainage Area Summary							
33		D.A. A	D.A. B	D.A. C	D.A. D	D.A. E	Total	7
34	Forest (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7
35	Mixed Open (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
36	Managed Turf (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
37	Impervious Cover (acres)	1.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.10	X
38	Total Area (acres)	1.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.10	
40 41 42 43 44 45	Maximum % Reduction Pre-ReDe Total Runoff Volume Reduction (ft <sup>3</sup> ) Total TP Load Reduction Achieved (lb/yr) Total TN Load Reduction Achieved (lb/yr)	Required Below velopment Load	10%	Error Summary: Errors on D.A. tab	(5)			
46	Remaining Post Development TP Load (lb/yr)							
48	Remaining TP Load Reduction (lb/yr) Required	Check Errors!						
49 50 51	Drainage Area Summary							
52		D.A. A	D.A. B	D.A. C	D.A. D	D.A. E	Total	
53	Forest (acres)	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	
54	Mixed Open (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
55	Managed Turf (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
55		1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	
56	Total Area (acres)	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	
57	Total Area (acres)	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	
58		D.A. A Errors!						

Figure 25. Summary Tab Error Notifications for New Development (upper) and Redevelopment (lower) Spreadsheets.

### Using the VRRM spreadsheet (Summary Tab)

**27.**Click the **Print Preview** button. This will open the Excel Print Preview Screen (Fig. 26) and allow the user to:

- a. View the report prior to printing, and/or
- b. Select the Excel Page Setup function (top bar menu option) in order to customize the report printout.

**28.** At this point, the user can click the **Print** button, select a connected printer, or print to PDF (Fig. 27).

Print Page Setup Print Zoom Show Margins Print Zoom Previe	Close Print Preview		Virginia Runoff Redu	ction Method Work	sheet		 	
DEQ Virginia Runoff Reduction Method New BMP Design Specifications list: Site Summary	Development Com 2024 Stds & Specs Project Title: NA Date: NA	pliance Spreadsh	eet - Version 4.1					
Site Land Cover Summary Forest (acres) Mired Open (acres) Managed Turf (acres)	A soils 0.00 0.00 0.00	B Soils 0.00 0.00 0.00	C Soils 0.00 0.00 0.00	D Soils 0.00 0.00 0.00	Totals 0.00 0.00 0.00	% of Total 0 0 0		
Site Rv Treatment Volume (I <sup>t<sup>3</sup></sup> ) TP load (Ib/yr) TN Load (Ib/yr)	0.00 0 0.00 0.00		0.000		0.00	0		
Total TP Load Reduction Required (lb/yr) Site Compliance Summary Total Runoff Volume Reduction (t <sup>*)</sup> Total TP Load Reduction Actieved (lb/yr) Total TP Load Reduction Actieved (lb/yr)	0.00							
Remaining Post Development TP Load (#b/yr) Remaining TP Load Reduction (Ib/yr) Required Drainage Area Summary	-						 	
Forest (acres) Mixed Open (acres) Wanaged Turf (acres) Impervious Cover (acres) Total Area (acres)	D.A. A 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	D.A. B 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	D.A.C 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	D.A.D 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	D.A. E 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Total 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00		
			Sumn	nary Print				

Figure 26. Summary Tab – Water Quality Compliance Report – Print Preview Screen.

		······································				
BMP Design Specifications List: 2	2024 Stds & Specs				Update Summe	ary Sheet
Site Summary	Project Title: NA					
I	Date: NA				Print Preview	Print
Г			7			
Site Land Cover Summary			- <b>i</b>			
	A soils	B Soils	C Soils	D Soils	Totals	% of Tota
Forest (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Mixed Open (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Managed Turf (acres)	0.00	0.00	Duint	0.00	0.00	2
Impervious Cover (acres)	0.00	0.00	Print			ſ
			Printer			
Site Tv and Land Cover Nutrient Loads			Na <u>m</u> e: Micro	osoft Print to PDF	$\sim$	Properties.
Site Rv	0.00		Status: Idle			Find Printer
Treatment Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )	0		Type: Micro Where: POPT	ISOFT Print To PDF		- mg - mice
TP Load (lb/yr)	0.00		Comment:	PROMPT.		_
TN Load (lb/yr)	0.00					Print to fil
			Print range		Copies	
Total TP Load Reduction Required (lb/yr)	0.00		<u>о а</u> н		Number of <u>c</u> opie	s: 1
Site Compliance Summary			O Page(s) Eron	n: 🛨 <u>I</u> o:	* *	
one compliance cumulary			Print what			Collate
Total Runoff Volume Reduction (ft <sup>3</sup> )	0		O Selection	O Entire workb		- Connec
Total TP Load Reduction Achieved (Ib/vr)	0.00		• Active sheet(s)	O Table		
Total TN Load Reduction Achieved (lb/yr)	0.00			reas		
Remaining Post Development TP Load (Ib/yr)	0.00		Preview		OK	Cano
Remaining TP Load Reduction (lb/yr) Required						
Drainage Area Summany						
F	D.A. A	D.A. B	D.A. C	D.A. D	D.A. E	Total
Forest (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
wixed Open (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Ivianaged Luff (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Impervious Cover (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
i otal Area (acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Figure 27. Site Summary Tab (Print Button Activated).

## 9.0 CONSTANTS TAB

The New Development Spreadsheet and Redevelopment Spreadsheet both use the same constants, stored in the **Constants tab**. All constants are shown in **yellow cells**. All sheets reference these values from this single location. This sheet is locked to prevent accidental modification by the user. It is recommended that this sheet be printed and filed with project records.

Runoff Volume and CN (Results for D.A. A Tab)	Cell Reference
Target Rainfall Event (inches)	B2
Target TP Load (Ib/acre/yr)	B3
	<b>B7-E7</b> (F)
Runoff Coefficients (Ry)	<b>B8-E8</b> (MO)
	<b>B9-E9</b> (MT)
	<b>B10-E10</b> (IC)
	<b>Н7-К7</b> (F)
Curve Numbers (CN)	H8-K8 (MO)
	<b>Н9-К9</b> (МТ)
	H10-K10 (IC)
	<b>B14-E14</b> (F)
Phosphorus Loading Rates (Ib/acre/vr)	B15-E15 (MO)
Thospholus Loading Nates (ibractery)	<b>B16-E16</b> (MT)
	<b>B17-E17</b> (IC)
	<b>B21-E21</b> (F)
Nitrogen Loading Rates (Ib/acre/vr)	B22-E22 (MO)
Milogen Loading Nates (Macrelyr)	<b>B23-E23</b> (MT)
	<b>B24-E24</b> (IC)
Runoff Reduction Credit (%) – Stormwater BMPs	B28-B94
Phosphorus Removal Efficiency (%) – Stormwater BMPs	C28-C94
Nitrogen Removal Efficiency (%) – Stormwater BMPs	D28-D94

Tabla	22	Sn	roadshoot	Const	ante
rapie	zs.	Sp	reausneet	CONSU	anits

Note that the runoff reduction credit for "Rainwater Harvesting" and the nutrient removal credit for "Manufactured Treatment Devices" must be entered by the user in the appropriate Drainage Area tab.

## **10.0 REFERENCES**

Center for Watershed Protection, 2008, *Technical Memorandum: The Runoff Reduction Method*, April 18, 2008:

https://www.deq.virginia.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/15107/6379081431518300 00

Chesapeake Bay Program (CBP), 2022. *Chesapeake Assessment and Scenario Tool (CAST) Version CAST Phase 6 –7.4.0.* Chesapeake Bay Program Office, Last accessed [October, 2022].

Chesapeake Bay Program, 2023, Chesapeake Bay Land Use and Land Cover (LULC) Database 2022 Edition: U.S. Geological Survey data release, https://doi.org/10.5066/P981GV1L.

NOAA Atlas 14 - NWS-NOAA. 2004. *Precipitation-Frequency Atlas of the United States, NOAA Atlas 14*, Vol 2, Version 3, NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, MD: Data can be accessed through the internet Precipitation Frequency Data Server (PFDS): <u>https://hdsc.nws.noaa.gov/hdsc/pfds/</u>

NRCS (1986) Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds: Technical Release 55 (TR-55); Second Edition, June 1986: https://www.deq.virginia.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/15150/6379097414801300 00

Virginia Stormwater Management Handbook (Forthcoming 2024)

### Appendix A VRRM SPREADSHEET EQUATIONS

### A.1. SITE TAB

Equation 1.1a. Forest Runoff Coefficient (Weighted for Soil Groups):

$$Rv_F = \frac{\left[\left(A_{F_A} \times 0.02\right) + \left(A_{F_B} \times 0.03\right) + \left(A_{F_C} \times 0.04\right) + \left(A_{F_D} \times 0.05\right)\right]}{A_F}$$

Equation 1.1b. Percentage Forest Area:

 $\%F = \frac{A_{F_{A}} + A_{F_{B}} + A_{F_{C}} + A_{F_{D}}}{A_{site}} \times 100$ 

Equation 1.2a. Mixed Open Runoff Coefficient (Weighted for Soil Groups):

$$Rv_{MO} = \frac{\left[\left(A_{MO_A} \times 0.08\right) + \left(A_{MO_B} \times 0.11\right) + \left(A_{MO_C} \times 0.13\right) + \left(A_{MO_D} \times 0.15\right)\right]}{A_{MO}}$$

Equation 1.2b. Percentage Mixed Open Area:

$$\% MO = \frac{A_{MO_A} + A_{MO_B} + A_{MO_C} + A_{MO_D}}{A_{site}} \times 100$$

Equation 1.3a. Managed Turf Runoff Coefficient (Weighted for Soil Groups):

$$Rv_{MT} = \frac{\left[\left(A_{MT_A} \times 0.15\right) + \left(A_{MT_B} \times 0.20\right) + \left(A_{MT_C} \times 0.22\right) + \left(A_{MT_D} \times 0.25\right)\right]}{A_{MT}}$$

Equation 1.3b. Percentage Managed Turf Area:

$$\% MT = \frac{A_{MT_A} + A_{MT_B} + A_{MT_C} + A_{MT_D}}{A_{site}} \times 100$$

### Equation 1.4a. Impervious Cover Runoff Coefficient:

$$Rv_{IC} = 0.95$$

### Equation 1.4b. Percentage Impervious Cover Area:

$$\% MT = \frac{A_{MT_A} + A_{MT_B} + A_{MT_C} + A_{MT_D}}{A_{site}} \times 100$$

Where:

 $Rv_F$  = weighted forest runoff coefficient across hydrologic soil groups %F = percentage of total forest area for the site  $A_{F_A}$  = area of post-development forest with A soils (acres)  $A_{F_{B}}$  = area of post-development forest with B soils (acres)  $A_{Fc}$  = area of post-development forest with C soils (acres)  $A_{F_{D}}$  = area of post-development forest with D soils (acres)  $Rv_{MO}$  = weighted mixed open runoff coefficient across hydrologic soil groups % MO = percentage of total mixed open area for the site  $A_{MO_A}$  = area of post-development mixed open with A soils (acres)  $A_{MO_{P}}$  = area of post-development mixed open with B soils (acres)  $A_{MO_c}$  = area of post-development mixed open with C soils (acres)  $A_{MO_{D}}$  = area of post-development mixed open with D soils (acres)  $Rv_{MT}$  = weighted managed turf runoff coefficient across hydrologic soil groups % MT = percentage of total managed turf area for the site  $A_{MT_A}$  = area of post-development managed turf with A soils (acres)  $A_{MT_B}$  = area of post-development managed turf with B soils (acres)  $A_{MT_c}$  = area of post-development managed turf with C soils (acres)  $A_{MT_D}$  = area of post-development managed turf with D soils (acres)  $Rv_{IC}$  = weighted impervious cover runoff coefficient across hydrologic soil groups % IC = percentage of total impervious cover area for the site $A_{IC_{A}}$  = area of post-development impervious cover with A soils (acres)  $A_{IC_B}$  = area of post-development impervious cover with B soils (acres)  $A_{IC_{C}}$  = area of post-development impervious cover with C soils (acres)  $A_{IC_{D}}$  = area of post-development impervious cover with D soils (acres)  $A_{site} = total site area (acres)$ 

### Equation 2. Site Composite Runoff Coefficient

$$Rv_{site} = \frac{[(Rv_F \times \%F) + (Rv_{MO} \times \%MO) + (Rv_{MT} \times \%MT) + (Rv_{IC} \times \%IC)]}{100}$$

Rv <sub>site</sub>	= unitless composite volumetric runoff coefficient for the site
$Rv_F$	= weighted forest/open space runoff coefficient across hydrologic soil groups (Eq. 1.1a)
$Rv_{MO}$	= weighted mixed open runoff coefficient across hydrologic soil groups (Eq.1.2a)
$Rv_{MT}$	= weighted managed turf runoff coefficient across hydrologic soil groups (Eq.1.3a)
$Rv_{IC}$	= impervious cover runoff coefficient across hydrologic soil groups (Eq.1.4a)
%F	= percentage of total forest area for the site (Eq.1.1b)
% <i>MO</i>	= percentage of total mixed open area for the site (Eq.1.2b)
% <i>MT</i>	= percentage of total managed turf area for the site (Eq.1.3b)
%IC	= percentage of total impervious cover area for the site (Eq.1.4b)

### Equation 3. Post-Development Site Treatment Volume

$$Tv_{site} = Rd \times Rv_{site} \times \frac{A_{site}}{12} \quad (acre-ft)$$
$$= Rd \times Rv_{site} \times \frac{A_{site}}{12} \times 43,560 \quad (ft^{3})$$

Where:

Tv <sub>site</sub>	= post-development treatment volume for site (acre-ft)
Rd	= target rainfall event depth (1 inch for water quality storm)
$Rv_{site}$	= runoff coefficient for the site (Eq.2)
A <sub>site</sub>	= total site area (acres)
12	= unit adjustment factor, converting inches to feet
43,560	= unit adjustment factor, converting acres to square feet

### Equation 4. Post-Development Site TP Load

 $L = L_{RSite} \times A_{site}$ 

Where:

L	= post-development pollutant load for site (pounds/year)
L <sub>RSite</sub>	= site composite loading rate (pounds/acre/year)
A <sub>site</sub>	= total site area (acres)

### Equation 5. Required Site TP Load Reduction

 $L_{reduction} = L_{TP} - TP_{target} \times A_{site}$ 

Where:

L <sub>reduction</sub>	= required TP Load Reduction (pounds/year)
$L_{TP}$	= post-development TP load for site (pounds/year), calculated as in Eq.4
TP <sub>target</sub>	= target phosphorus load (pounds/acre/year) = 0.26 lb/ac/yr
A <sub>site</sub>	= total site area (acres)

### A.2. D.A. TABS

### Equation 6. Runoff Volume from Entire Drainage Area (Cell N9)

 $Tv_{DA} = Rd \times Rv_{DA MO,MT,IC} \times DA_{MO,MT,IC} \times 3,630$ 

$Tv_{DA_{-}}$	= runoff or treatment volume for entire drainage area (DA_) = (mixed open, turf, and impervious areas only, cubic feet)
Rd	= rainfall depth for target event (1 inch for water quality storm)
$Rv_{DA_{MO,MT,IC}}$	= composite runoff coefficient for mixed open, turf, and impervious areas in DA_
	$= \frac{(Rv_{DA_MO} \times DA_{MO}) + (Rv_{DA_MT} \times DA_{MT}) + (Rv_{DA_IC} \times DA_{IC})}{(Rv_{DA_MO} \times DA_{MO}) + (Rv_{DA_MT} \times DA_{MT}) + (Rv_{DA_IC} \times DA_{IC})}$
	$DA_{MO} + DA_{MT} + DA_{IC}$
DA <sub>MO,MT,IC</sub> 3,630	= mixed open, turf, and impervious areas in DA_ (acres) = unit adjustment factor, converting acre-inches to cubic feet

Equation 7. Total Phosphorus Available for Removal From Entire Drainage Area (Cell N8)

 $L_{(TP,DA)} = [DA] \cdot [PL]$  (dot product)

Where:

 $L_{(TP,DA_)}$  = post-development TP load for DA\_ (pounds/ year)

[DA] = The matrix of area for each land cover/hydrologic soil grade pair (acres) (cells B5:E8)

[PL] = The matrix of phosphorus loading rates for each land cover/HSG pair (pounds/acre/year) (Constants Tab, cells B14:E17)

### Equation 8. Runoff Volume from Contributing Drainage Area

 $Tv_{CA} = Rd \times Rv_{CA} \times CA \times 3,630$ 

Where:

$Tv_{CA}$	= treatment volume for contributing drainage area, or Credit area to practice
	(cubic feet)
Rd	= rainfall depth for target event (1 inch for water quality storm)
$Rv_{CA}$	= composite runoff coefficient for credit area (CA) being treated by credit practice (only
	MO, MT, and IC areas considered)
	$ (Rv_{MO} \times CA_{MO}) + (Rv_{MT} \times CA_{MT}) + (Rv_{IC} \times CA_{IC}) $
	$- CA_{MO} + CA_{MT} + CA_{IC}$

CA = credit area applied to practice (acres, columns C through E)

3,630 = unit adjustment factor, converting acre-inches to cubic feet

### Equation 9. Runoff Reduction Achieved by Practice (Column G)

$$V_{reduction} = Tv_{BMP} \times RR_{CR}$$
  
=  $(Tv_{CA} + V_{upstream}) \times RR_{CR}$   
=  $[(Rd \times Rv_{CA} \times CA \times 3,630) + V_{upstream}] \times RR_{CR} *$ 

\*formula used in spreadsheet, substituting in Eqs. 8 and 11

$V_{reduction}$	= runoff reduction achieved by practice (cubic feet)
$Tv_{BMP}$	= total volume of runoff to practice (cubic feet, Eq.11, column I)
RR <sub>CR</sub>	= runoff reduction performance credit for the practice (column B)
$Tv_{CA}$	= treatment volume for credit area (cubic feet, Eq.8)
$V_{upstream}$	= volume from upstream runoff reduction practice (cubic feet, column F)
Rd	= rainfall depth for target event (1 inch for water quality storm)
Rv <sub>CA</sub>	<ul> <li>composite runoff coefficient for credit area (CA) being treated by credit practice (only MO, MT, and IC areas considered)</li> </ul>
CA	= credit area applied to practice (acres, columns C through E)
3,630	= unit adjustment factor, converting acre-inches to cubic feet

### Equation 10. Remaining Runoff Volume Leaving the Practice (Column H)

$$\begin{split} V_{remaining} &= Tv_{BMP} \times (1 - RR_{CR}) \\ &= \left(Tv_{CA} + V_{upstream}\right) \times (1 - RR_{CR}) \\ &= \left[ (Rd \times Rv_{CA} \times CA \times 3,630) + V_{upstream} \right] \times (1 - RR_{CR})^* \end{split}$$

\*formula used in spreadsheet, substituting in Eqs. 8 and 11

### Where:

$V_{remaining}$ = volume of runoff remaining or discharged from practice after applying	
-	practice's runoff reduction credit (cubic feet)
$Tv_{BMP}$	= total volume of runoff to practice (cubic feet, Eq.11, column I)
RR <sub>CR</sub>	= runoff reduction performance credit for the practice (column B)
$Tv_{CA}$	= treatment volume for credit area (cubic feet, Eq.8)
V <sub>upstream</sub>	= volume from upstream runoff reduction practice (cubic feet, column F)
Rd	= rainfall depth for target event (1 inch for water quality storm)
Rv <sub>CA</sub>	<ul> <li>composite runoff coefficient for credit area (CA) being treated by credit practice (only MO, MT, and IC areas considered)</li> </ul>
CA	= credit area applied to practice (acres, columns C through E)
3,630	= unit adjustment factor, converting acre-inches to cubic feet

### OR

 $V_{remaining} = T v_{BMP} - V_{reduction}$ 

Where:

<i>V<sub>remaining</sub></i> = volume of runoff remaining or discharged from practice after ap	
	practice's runoff reduction credit (cubic feet)
$T v_{BMP}$	= total volume of runoff to practice (cubic feet, Eq.11, column I)
$V_{reduction}$	= runoff reduction achieved by practice (cubic feet, Eq. 9, column G)

### Equation 11. BMP Treatment Volume (Total Runoff Volume to Practice, Column I)

$Tv_{BMP} = Tv_{CA} + V_{upstream}$	
$= (Tv_{CA} + V_{upstream})(RR_{CR}) + (Tv_{CA} + V_{upstream})(1 - RR_{CR})$	CR)
$= V_{reduction} + V_{remaining}^{*}$	

\*formula used in spreadsheet, rearranging and substituting in Eqs. 9 and 10

$T v_{BMP}$	= total volume of runoff to practice (cubic feet)		
$Tv_{CA}$	= treatment volume for credit area (cubic feet, Eq.8)		
V <sub>upstream</sub>	= volume from upstream runoff reduction practice (cubic feet, column F)		
V <sub>reduction</sub>	= runoff reduction achieved by practice (cubic feet)		
$V_{remaining}$ = volume of runoff remaining or discharged from practice after			
Ū	practice's runoff reduction credit (cubic feet)		

Equation 12. Untreated Pollutant Load to Practice (Phosphorus, Column L; Nitrogen, Column T)

$$L = L_{R_{MO}} \times A_{MO} + L_{R_{MT}} \times A_{MT} + L_{R_{IC}} \times A_{IC}$$

Where:

L	= post-development pollutant load for site (pounds/year)
$L_{R_{MO}}$	= MO composite loading rate (pounds/acre/year, cell H6 or R6)
A <sub>MO</sub>	= MO area flowing directly into BMP (acres)
$L_{R_{MT}}$	= MT composite loading rate (pounds/acre/year, cell H7 or R7)
$A_{MT}$	= MT area flowing directly into BMP (acres)
$L_{R_{IC}}$	= IC composite loading rate (pounds/acre/year, cell H8 or R8)
A <sub>IC</sub>	= IC area flowing directly into BMP (acres)

### Equation 13. Total Load Removal by Practice (Phosphorus, Column M; Nitrogen, Column U)

$$L_{removed} = (L_{upstream} + L_{untreated}) \times (Tv_{BMP} \times \frac{PR_{CR}}{100}) / Tv_{BMP}$$

Derivation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \left( L_{upstream} + L_{untreated} \right) \times \frac{V_{reduction}}{Tv_{BMP}} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \left( L_{upstream} + L_{untreated} \right) \times \frac{V_{remaining}}{Tv_{BMP}} \times \binom{PR_{CR}}{100} \end{bmatrix} \\ = \left( L_{upstream} + L_{untreated} \right) \times \begin{bmatrix} \frac{V_{reduction} + V_{remaining} \times \binom{PR_{CR}}{100}}{Tv_{BMP}} \end{bmatrix}$$

	$L_{removed}$	= total pollutant load removed by practice (pounds/year)
$L_{upstream}$ = pollutant load from upstream treatment practice (pounds/year, TP-col		= pollutant load from upstream treatment practice (pounds/year, TP-column K, TN-
		column S)
	$L_{untreated}$	= untreated pollutant load to practice (pounds/year, Eq. 12, TP-column L, TN-
		column T)
	$Tv_{BMP}$	= total runoff volume to practice (cubic feet, Eq. 11, column I)
	$PR_{CR}$	= pollutant removal performance credit (TP-column J, TN-column R)
	100	= % conversion factor
	$V_{reduction}$	= runoff reduction achieved by practice (cubic feet, column G)
	<i>V<sub>remaining</sub></i>	= volume of runoff remaining or discharged from practice after applying
		practice's runoff reduction credit (cubic feet, column H)

### Equation 14. Remaining Load (Phosphorus, Column N; Nitrogen, Column V)

 $L_{remaining} = L_{upstream} + L_{untreated} - L_{removed}$ 

L <sub>remaining</sub>	= post-development pollutant load for site (pounds/year) pollutant load leaving
$L_{upstream}$	practice or remaining after treatment (pounds/year, TP-column N, TN-column V) = pollutant load from upstream treatment practice (pounds/year, TP-column K,
·	TN-column S)
L <sub>untreated</sub>	= untreated pollutant load to practice (pounds/year, Eq.12, TP-column L, TN- column T)
L <sub>removed</sub>	= total pollutant load removed by practice (pounds/year, Eq.13, TP-column M, TN- column U)

### A.3. RUNOFF VOLUME AND CN TAB

### Equation 15. Composite Curve Number (CN)

$$CN_{D.A.} = \left[ \left( A_{F_A} \times 30 \right) + \left( A_{F_B} \times 55 \right) + \left( A_{F_C} \times 70 \right) + \left( A_{F_D} \times 77 \right) \right] \\ + \left[ \left( A_{MO_A} \times 34 \right) + \left( A_{MO_B} \times 59 \right) + \left( A_{MO_C} \times 72 \right) + \left( A_{MO_D} \times 79 \right) \right] \\ + \left[ \left( A_{MT_A} \times 39 \right) + \left( A_{MT_B} \times 61 \right) + \left( A_{MT_C} \times 74 \right) + \left( A_{MT_D} \times 80 \right) \right] \\ + \left[ \left( A_{IC_A} + A_{IC_B} + A_{IC_C} + A_{IC_D} \right) \times 98 \right] / DA$$

Where:

 $CN_{D.A.} = composite curve number for Drainage Area$   $A_{F_A} = area of post-development forest with A soils (acres)$   $A_{F_B} = area of post-development forest in B soils (acres)$   $A_{F_C} = area of post-development forest in C soils (acres)$  $A_{F_D} = area of post-development forest in D soils (acres)$ 

$A_{MO_A}$	= area of post-development mixed open in A soils (acres)
$A_{MOP}$	= area of post-development mixed open in B soils (acres)

- $A_{MO_c}$  = area of post-development mixed open in C soils (acres)
- $A_{MO_D}$  = area of post-development mixed open in D soils (acres)
- $A_{MT_A}$  = area of post-development managed turf in A soils (acres)
- $A_{MT_{B}}$  = area of post-development managed turf in B soils (acres)
- $A_{MT_c}$  = area of post-development managed turf in C soils (acres)
- $A_{MT_D}$  = area of post-development managed turf in D soils (acres)

 $A_{IC_A}$  = area of post-development impervious cover in A soils (acres)

- $A_{IC_{R}}$  = area of post-development impervious cover in B soils (acres)
- $A_{IC_{C}}$  = area of post-development impervious cover in C soils (acres)
- $A_{IC_{D}}$  = area of post-development impervious cover in D soils (acres)

30, 55, 70, 77 = assigned curve numbers for forest space A, B, C, D soils, respectively 34, 59, 72, 79 = assigned curve numbers for mixed open A, B, C, D soils, respectively 39, 61, 74, 80 = assigned curve numbers for managed turf A, B, C, D soils, respectively 98 = assigned curve number for impervious cover irrespective of underlying soil groups

DA = Drainage Area (acres)

## $RV_{no-RR} = (P - 0.2 \times S)^2 / (P + 0.8 \times S)$

Where:

- $RV_{no-RR}$  = post-development runoff volume without runoff reduction practices in drainage area (watershed-inches)
  - = *Rd*, rainfall depth for target rainfall event (24-hour storm depth, inches)

= potential maximum retention after runoff begins (inches), based on composite curve number for drainage area,  $CN_{D.A.}$  (Eq. 15)

$$=\frac{1000}{CN_{D.A.}}-10$$

### Equation 17. Runoff Volume with Runoff Reduction, Row 36

$$RV_{RR} = RV_{no-RR} - (V_{total\_red}/3,630)/DA$$

- $RV_{RR}$  = post-development runoff volume in drainage area with runoff reduction practices, if applicable (watershed-inches)
- $RV_{no-RR}$  = post-development runoff volume in drainage area without runoff reduction practices (watershed-inches, Eq. 16)
- $V_{total\_red}$  = runoff reduction volume achieved by runoff reduction practices in drainage area (cubic feet, cell K25)
- *3,630* = unit adjustment factor, cubic feet to acre-inches
- DA = drainage area (acres)

### Equation 18: Adjusted Curve Number

**Note:** The adjusted curve number  $(CN_{adjusted})$  is determined using a lookup table of curve number and runoff volumes so that:

 $CN_{adjusted}$  corresponds to Runoff Volume with runoff reduction,  $RV_{RR}$  (watershed-in, Eq. 17)

such that

$$RV_{RR} = (P - 0.2 \times S_{adjusted})^2 / (P + 0.8 \times S_{adjusted})$$

and

 $S_{adjusted} = \frac{1000}{CN_{adjusted}} - 10$ 

CN <sub>adjusted</sub>	= adjusted curve number generating a runoff volume (watershed-inches) equal to
	the drainage area runoff volume with runoff reduction practices ( $RV_{RR}$ , Eq. 17)
$RV_{RR}$	= Runoff reduction volume in drainage area with runoff reduction practices
	(watershed-inches)
Р	= $Rd$ , rainfall depth for target rainfall event (24-hour storm depth, inches)
$S_{adjusted}$	= potential maximum retention after runoff begins (inches) based upon adjusted
	curve number

## A.4. REDEVELOPMENT SITE TAB – COMPUTATIONS

This section provides the equations (including logical derivations) for the determination of redevelopment water quality compliance. A graphical representation is given Section 4.2.3.

### Equation 19. Net Change in Impervious Cover

 $\begin{array}{l} A_{IC,post} - A_{IC,pre} = X_{IC} \\ Where: \\ A_{IC,post} = area \ of \ post-development \ impervious \ cover \ (acres) \\ A_{IC,pre} = area \ of \ pre-redevelopment \ impervious \ cover \ (acres) \\ X_{IC} & = the \ change \ in \ pre-redevelopment \ to \ post-development \ impervious \ acreage \end{array}$ 

## A.4.1 REDEVELOPMENT WITH IMPERVIOUS COVER INCREASE, $(A_{IC,post} - A_{IC,pre}) > 0$

### Equation 20. TP Load Reduction Requirement for New Net Impervious Cover

 $L_{reduction\_IC,new.post} = L_{IC,new.post} - TP_{target} \times A_{IC,new.post}$ 

Where:	
L <sub>reduction_IC,new.post</sub>	= required TP load reduction for post-development new net impervious cover
	(pounds/year)
L <sub>IC,new.post</sub>	= post-development TP load for new net impervious cover (pounds/year),
	calculated as in Eq. 4
TP <sub>target</sub>	= target phosphorous load (pounds/acre/year) = 0.26 lb/ac/yr
A <sub>IC,new.post</sub>	= impervious cover net increase from pre-redevelopment to post-
	development, equal to $X_{IC}$ from Eq. 19 when the impervious cover change is a
	net increase (acres)

### Equation 21. Net Decrease in Pervious Areas

The net decrease in pervious acreages (forest, mixed open, and/or managed turf) is equivalent to the post-development increase in impervious cover.

$$X_{IC} = -(X_F + X_{MO} + X_{MT})$$

Where:

$$\begin{split} X_{FO} &= A_{F,post} - A_{F,pre} \\ X_{MO} &= A_{MO,post} - A_{MO,pre} \\ X_{MT} &= A_{MT,post} - A_{MT,pre} \end{split}$$

Given that the pre-development site acreage equals the post-development site acreage:

Therefore:

 $A_{F,pre} + A_{MO,pre} + A_{MT,pre} + A_{IC,pre} = A_{F,post} + A_{MO,post} + A_{MT,post} + A_{IC,post}$ 

We can rearrange to:  $A_{IC,post} - A_{IC,pre} = (A_{F,pre} - A_{F,post}) + (A_{MO,pre} - A_{MO,post}) + (A_{MT,pre} - A_{MT,post})$ 

And, by substitution:

$$X_{IC} = -(X_F + X_{MO} + X_{MT})$$
(Eq. 21)

Where:

*A*<sub>site.pre</sub> = pre-redevelopment site area (acres) A<sub>site,post</sub> = post-development site area (acres) = area of pre-redevelopment forest (acres)  $A_{F,pre}$  $A_{MO,pre}$  = area of pre-redevelopment mixed open (acres) = area of pre-redevelopment managed turf (acres) A<sub>MT,pre</sub> = area pr pre-redevelopment impervious cover (acres) A<sub>IC,pre</sub> = area of post-development forest (acres)  $A_{F.post}$  $A_{MO,post}$  = area of post-development mixed open (acres)  $A_{MT,post}$  = area of post-development managed turf (acres) = area of post-development impervious cover (acres) A<sub>IC.post</sub> = difference in pre-redevelopment to post-development impervious acreage  $X_{IC}$ = difference in pre-redevelopment to post-development forest acreage  $X_F$  $X_{MO}$ = difference in pre-redevelopment to post-development mixed open acreage = difference in pre-redevelopment to post-development managed turf acreage  $X_{MT}$ 

When the net impervious cover (IC) increases following redevelopment, there must be a simultaneous decrease in forest (F), mixed open (MO), and/or managed turf (MT). Through a comparison process, the net decrease for the specific pervious land cover type areas must be quantified (F, MO, and/or MT) in order to exclude those acreages from the pre-redevelopment F, MO, and MT areas and establish the pre-redevelopment adjusted areas. This computation is performed in the *Redevelopment spreadsheet Site tab* (hidden columns N through Z) as follows in Fig. 28:



Figure 28. Decision flowchart for determination of pervious area converted to new net impervious cover in redevelopment spreadsheet.

The required reduction for the pre-redevelopment area is the post-redevelopment load for the site (excluding new net impervious cover) minus [the pre-redevelopment load for the adjusted site areas (excluding pervious areas proposed for new net impervious cover) after reduction by the maximum percentage reduction requirement] or [the TP baseline load], whichever is greatest. The required TP reduction is limited to the TP baseline load of 0.26 lb TP/acre/year applied to the adjusted pre-redevelopment site areas, as explained in Section 4.2.3.

Equation 22a. TP Load Reduction Requirement for Post-Redevelopment Area (when target TP baseline less than or equal to target TP load, $L_{TP Baseline} \leq$		
L <sub>target,pre</sub>	ReDev)	
L <sub>reduction</sub>	$postReDev = L_{postF} = (L_{F,post})$	$\begin{aligned} &ReDev - L_{target, preReDev} \\ &Ret + L_{MO, post} + L_{MT, post} + L_{IC, post, ReD} - (1 - \%MaxR) \\ &\times (L_{E, pre, adj} + L_{MO, pre, adj} + L_{MT, pre, adj} + L_{IC, pre, adj}) \end{aligned}$
Where:		(r,pre_uu) Mo,pre_uu) Mr,pre_uu) To,pre_uu)
	L <sub>TP Baseline</sub> L <sub>target,preReDev</sub>	= $0.26 \ lb/acre/yr \times A_{site,pre_adj}$ = baseline TP load (lb/yr) = the target pre-redevelopment TP load (lb/yr)
		$= (1 - \%MaxR) \times (L_{F,pre\_adj} + L_{MO,pre\_adj} + L_{MT,pre\_adj} + L_{IC,pre\_adj})$
	L <sub>reduction_postReDev</sub>	= required TP load reduction for the post-development area not
		including any new net impervious cover (pounds/year)
	$L_{postReDev}$	= the post-redevelopment TP load (excluding new net impervious cover)
	$A_{site,pre\_adj}$	$= (L_{F,post} + L_{MO,post} + L_{MT,post} + L_{IC,post.ReD})$ = adjusted pre-redevelopment total site area that excludes pervious areas proposed for new pet impervious cover (acres)
	LEnost	= TP load calculated for post-development forest areas (pounds/year)
	$L_{MO,post}$	= TP load calculated for post-development mixed open areas
	L <sub>MT,post</sub>	= TP load calculated for post-development managed turf areas (pounds/year)
	L <sub>IC,post.ReD</sub>	= TP load calculated for post-development impervious cover areas that do not include any new net impervious cover (pounds/vear)
	%MaxR	= the maximum percentage reduction required below the pre-
	$L_{FO,pre\_adj}$	= TP Load calculated for pre-redevelopment forest space areas that do not include forest space areas converted to post-development new net impervious cover (pounds/year)
	L <sub>MO,pre_</sub> adj	= TP Load calculated for pre-redevelopment mixed open areas that do not include mixed open areas converted to post-development new net impervious cover (pounds/year)
	L <sub>MT,pre_</sub> adj	= TP Load calculated for pre-redevelopment managed turf areas that do not include managed turf areas converted to post-development new net impervious cover (pounds/year)
	L <sub>IC,pre_</sub> adj	= TP Load calculated for pre-redevelopment impervious cover areas (pounds/year)

## Equation 22b. TP Load Reduction Requirement for Post-Redevelopment Area (when target TP load less than TP baseline, $L_{target,preReDev} < L_{TP Baseline}$ )

L <sub>reduction</sub>	$_{postReDev} = L_{postReDev}$	$-L_{TP \; Baseline}$
	$= (L_{F,post} + L_{N})$	$H_{O,post} + L_{MT,post} + L_{IC,post.ReD}$ - 0.26 lb/acre/yr
	>	$\times A_{site,pre\_adj}$
Where:	L <sub>target,preReDev</sub>	= the target pre-redevelopment TP load (pounds/year) = $(1 - \%MaxR)$ × $(L_{F,pre\_adj} + L_{MO,pre\_adj} + L_{MT,pre\_adj} + L_{IC,pre\_adj})$
	L <sub>TP Baseline</sub>	= 0.26 $lb/acre/yr \times A_{site,pre_adj}$ = baseline TP load
	$L_{reduction\_postReDev}$	= required TP load reduction for the post-development area excluding any new net impervious cover (pounds/year)
	$L_{postReDev}$	= the post-redevelopment TP load (excludes new net impervious cover)
		$= (L_{F,post} + L_{MO,post} + L_{MT,post} + L_{IC,post.ReD})$
	%MaxR	= the maximum percentage reduction required below the pre- redevelopment load (10 or 20%, expressed as 0.1 or 0.2, respectively)
	$L_{F,pre\_adj}$	= TP Load calculated for pre-redevelopment forest areas that do not include forest areas converted to post-development new net impervious cover (pounds/year)
	L <sub>MO,pre_</sub> adj	= TP Load calculated for pre-redevelopment mixed open areas that do not include mixed open areas converted to post-development new net impervious cover (pounds/year)
	L <sub>MT,pre_</sub> adj	= TP Load calculated for pre-redevelopment managed turf areas that do not include managed turf areas converted to post- development new net impervious cover (pounds/year)
	$L_{IC,pre\_adj}$	<ul> <li>TP Load calculated for pre-redevelopment impervious cover areas (pounds/year)</li> </ul>
	$A_{site,pre\_adj}$	<ul> <li>adjusted pre-redevelopment total site area that excludes pervious areas proposed for new net impervious cover (acres)</li> </ul>
	L <sub>F,post</sub>	<ul> <li>TP load calculated for post-development forest areas (pounds/year)</li> </ul>
	L <sub>MO,post</sub>	= TP load calculated for post-development mixed open areas (pounds/year)

L <sub>MT,post</sub>	= TP load calculated for post-development managed turf areas
	(pounds/year)
L <sub>IC,post.ReD</sub>	= TP load calculated for post-development impervious cover areas
	that do not include any new net impervious cover (pounds/year)

## A.4.2. REDEVELOPMENT WITH NO IMPERVIOUS COVER INCREASE, $(A_{IC,post} - A_{IC,pre}) \leq 0$

In the situations where a redevelopment project does not include a net change in impervious cover acreage (i.e., no new net impervious cover), the post-development site total phosphorus load must meet the pre-redevelopment total phosphorus load after the 10 or 20% reduction, depending on the project's total disturbed acreage, up to the pre-redevelopment project's baseline load at 0.26 lb TP/acre/yr. This situation is illustrated above in Section 4.2.3.

Using Equations 19 and 20:

 $A_{IC,new.post} = 0$ And  $L_{reduction_{IC,new.post}} = 0$ 

### A.4.3. FINAL POST-DEVELOPMENT SITE REQUIREMENT

### Equation 23. Final TP Load Reduction Requirement for Redevelopment

 $L_{reduction\_FinalPost} = L_{reduction\_IC, new.post} + L_{reduction\_postReDev}$ 

$L_{reduction\_FinalPost}$	= required TP load reduction for the post-development site consisting of all land cover areas (pounds/year)
$L_{reduction_{IC,new.post}}$	= required TP load reduction for post-development new net impervious cover (pounds/year)
$L_{reduction\_postReDev}$	= required TP load reduction for the post-development area not including any new net impervious cover (pounds/year)

## Appendix B ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS

**BMP:** Best Management Practice

BMP Clearinghouse: Virginia Stormwater BMP Clearinghouse

CAST: Chesapeake Assessment and Scenario Tool

**CN**: Curve Number

**DA**: Drainage Area

**DEQ:** Virginia Department of Environmental Quality

F: Forest

**HSG:** Hydrologic Soil Group

IC: Impervious Cover

**LOD:** Limits of disturbance

MO: Mixed Open

MT: Managed Turf

MTD: Manufactured Treatment Device

NRCS: Natural Resources Conservation Service

**Permanent BMP:** Permanent best management practice or stormwater management facilities as defined in 9VAC25-875-10

**Regulated Land Disturbing Activity (LDA):** Land-disturbing activity of one acre or more, 2,500 square feet in all areas of jurisdictions designated as subject to the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act, part of a larger common plan of development or sale that is one acre or more, or a more stringent area as established in local ordinance, that must follow the provisions of the VESM Regulation and obtain state permit coverage, where applicable, and VESMP authority permit coverage.

**RRM**: Runoff Reduction Method

**RR**: Runoff Reduction

**RV**: Runoff Volume

**SWM:** Stormwater management

TN: Total Nitrogen

TP: Total Phosphorus

TV: Treatment Volume

**VRRM**: Virginia Runoff Reduction Method

**VESM:** Virginia Erosion and Stormwater Management

## Appendix C SEPARATION OF FOREST/OPEN SPACE INTO FOREST AND MIXED OPEN

## C.1 VRRM Land Cover Categories

Version 4.1 of the VRRM Spreadsheets introduces a new land cover category. The forest/open space land cover from Version 3.0 and previous spreadsheets have been split into two categories: forest and mixed open. The forest category will retain the properties (CN and Rvs) of the previous forest/open space category. The new mixed open category is intended to capture minimally maintained spaces such as utility easements, rights of ways, or other areas surrounding development or utilities that are maintained on an infrequent basis. To integrate the proposed mixed open land cover into the VRRM methodology, curve numbers (CNs) and volumetric runoff coefficients (Rvs) were developed as outlined below.

## C.2 Mixed Open Curve Numbers

The curve numbers included within the VRRM historically have been directly aligned with similar category descriptions found in the National Engineering Handbook (NEH) or the National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) Technical Report 55 (TR55), Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds. The mixed open category follows suit in using NEH/TR55 categories to establish curve numbers; however, since this category is a mixture of several categories found in those documents, an average of those individual category values is established for use. These particular categories were chosen since the land cover for the mixed open category is envisioned to be predominantly a mixture of grasses and small shrubs. It is expected that infrequent clearing will prevent establishing a predominant cover condition of brush or small trees. Values in Table 24 are created by averaging the values found in the NEH and rounding to the nearest whole number.

Category	Α	В	С	D
Meadow	30	58	71	78
Pastureland	39	61	74	80
Woods/Grass	32	58	72	79
Avg Mixed Open	34	59	72	79

### Table 24: Table of 3 NEH/TR55 land covers averaged to compute Mixed Open CNs

### C.3 Mixed Open Rv Coefficients

Rv coefficients for the forest and managed turf categories were originally developed using several studies that were outlined in Battiata [2010]. Values for those two land covers will remain as reported in VRRM 3.0. Because the Mixed Open cover is intended to share some of the characteristics of each of the forest and managed turf areas, the Rvs and CNs of these two existing categories were used to derive new Rv coefficients for the mixed open category.

Values of the Rv coefficients for the A soils were created using a slope-averaging method using both the CN coefficients and the existing Rv coefficients for the forest and managed turf categories.

The equation used is:

$$MO_{R_{v}} = F_{R_{v}} + (MT_{R_{v}} - F_{R_{v}}) / (MT_{CN} - F_{CN}) \times (MO_{CN} - F_{CN})$$

Where:

$MO_{R_{v}}$	= Mixed open Rv Coefficient
MO <sub>CN</sub>	= Mixed Open curve number
$F_{R_{v}}$	= Forest Rv Coefficient
F <sub>CN</sub>	<i>= Forest curve number</i>
$MT_{R_{v}}$	= Managed Turf Rv Coefficient
$MT_{CN}$	= Managed Turf curve number

Substituting using values for A soils, the mixed open Rv coefficients for this hydrologic soil classifications are:

$$MO_{R_{\nu-A\,soil}} = 0.02 + \frac{(0.15 - 0.02)}{(39 - 30)} \times (34 - 30) = \underline{0.08}$$

Rv coefficients for the remaining soil groups were determined by performing a comparative analysis of the CN coefficients and Rv coefficients between soil groups. First, the difference between soil types is computed for the Forest, Mixed Open, and Managed Turf land covers. For instance, for the Forest category, the difference between A and B soils CN values is 25, for B-C it is 15, and for C-D it is 7. Table 25a shows these differences for each.

Category	B-A	C-B	D-C
Forest	25	15	7
Mixed Open	25	13	7
Managed Turf	22	13	6

### Table 25a: Curve number differences between soil types

Similarly, the difference in the Rv coefficients for the Forest and Managed Turf categories can be computed.

### Table 25b: Rv differences between soil types

Category	B-A	C-B	D-C
Forest	0.01	0.01	0.01
Mixed Open			
Managed Turf	0.05	0.02	0.03

From Tables 25a and 25b, the ratio of the change in Rv to the change in CN can be computed. These values are then averaged to establish the ratios for the Mixed Open category.

#### Table 25c: Ratio of difference in Rv to difference in CN

Category	B-A	C-B	D-C
Forest	0.0004	0.0007	0.0014
Mixed Open	0.0013	0.0011	0.0032
Managed Turf	0.0023	0.0015	0.0050

Now, the Rv coefficients for the Mixed Open category which draws components from both the Forest and the Managed Turf categories is computed as follows:

A = 0.08, as established aboveB = 0.08 + 0.0013 \* 25 = 0.113C = 0.113 + 0.0011 \* 13 = 0.126D = 0.126 + 0.0032 \* 7 = 0.148

#### Table 25d: Rv Coefficients used in the VRRM 4.1 Spreadsheets

Category	Α	В	С	D
Forest	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05
Mixed Open	0.08	0.11	0.13	0.15
Managed Turf	0.15	0.20	0.22	0.25
Impervious	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95

## Appendix D ASSIGNING CAST LAND COVERS TO VRRM LAND USE CATEGORIES

## D.1 CAST LAND COVERS

The Chesapeake Assessment Scenario Tool (CAST) has 49 total land covers, broken into five sectors (Agriculture, Septic, Wastewater, Developed and Natural). A complete CAST land cover list is provided in **Table 26**. Land cover definitions can be found on CAST's source data page (https://cast.chesapeakebay.net/Home/SourceData) [CBP 2022]. Three of CAST's five sectors are not consistent with typical post-development VRRM land covers. These include agriculture, septic and wastewater. Agriculture includes 17 different types of agricultural land, ranging from agricultural open space (unmanaged agricultural land that receives no nutrient applications) to specific crop types (e.g., Leguminous hay, which includes nitrogen fixing species such as alfalfa). Septic includes two land covers (septic and rapid infiltration basin), which collectively refer to terrestrial, infiltration-based disposal systems for treated wastewater and the nutrient loads they deliver to streams. Wastewater includes three land covers (combined sewer overflow, industrial wastewater treatment plant and municipal wastewater treatment plant), which capture the nutrient loads delivered to streams by wastewater treatment infrastructure.

The remaining two CAST land cover sectors (developed and natural) are generally consistent with post-development VRRM land covers. Developed includes 17 land cover categories, encompassing both pervious and impervious cover, within and outside MS4 areas. This includes categories such as MS4, CSS and non-regulated turf grass (e.g., herbaceous and barren lands that have been altered through compaction, removal of organic material, or fertilization, and are located in areas with municipal separate storm sewer systems, combined sewer overflow systems, or neither, respectively), as well as MS4, CSS, and non-regulated roads (e.g., paved and unpaved roads and bridges within MS4, CSS, or non-regulated areas, respectively). The developed sector also includes a variety of "cover over" categories of land cover, where tree canopy is present above other types of land such as imperviousness or turf grass.

The final CAST sector is natural, which includes 10 land cover categories. These categories range from mixed open (e.g., shrubby, herbaceous and barren lands that are minimally disturbed) to true forest (e.g., patches of trees  $\geq$  30-80 feet from non-road impervious surfaces that cover more than 1-acre). Natural also includes aquatic land covers (water) and interfacial (soil-water) land covers (e.g., nontidal floodplain wetland, headwater or isolated wetland, streambed and bank, shoreline).

## D.2 LAND COVER ASSIGNMENTS (FROM CAST TO VRRM)

Because CAST's agriculture, septic and wastewater land cover sectors do not represent typical post-development VRMM land covers, they were not assigned to VRMM land cover categories;

however, 14 land covers from CAST's developed and natural sectors were assigned. Ten of these were developed land covers (five for MS4 areas, five for non-regulated areas), including buildings and other, roads, tree canopy over imperviousness, tree canopy over turf grass, and turf grass. The remaining four were natural land covers, including true forest, mixed open, headwater or isolated wetland, and non-tidal floodplain wetland (green symbols, **Table 26**). Thirteen developed or natural land covers were not assigned to VRRM categories either because they represented a mixture of cover types, and there was no feasible way to break them into their components (e.g., shoreline, streambed and bank, regulated construction), because they did not effectively represent developed land cover loadings (e.g., water and harvested forest), or because nutrient loading information was not reported for them by CAST, precluding their inclusion (e.g., CSS forest, CSS mixed open, CSS buildings and other, CSS construction, CSS roads, CSS tree canopy over imperviousness, CSS turf grass; grey symbols, **Table 26**).

Agriculture	Septic		Developed	
Ag open space	Septic		CSS buildings and other	
Double cropped land	Rapid Infiltration Basin		CSS construction	
Full season soybeans	Wastewater		CSS roads	
Grain with manure	Combined sewer overflow		CSS tree canopy over impervious	
Grain without manure	Industrial wastewater treatment plant		CSS tree canopy over turf grass	
Leguminous hay	Municipal wastewater treatment plant		CSS turf grass	
Non-permitted feeding space	Natural		MS4 buildings and other	
Other agronomic crops	CSS forest		MS4 roads	
Other hay	CSS mixed open		MS4 tree canopy over impervious	
Pasture	Harvested forest		MS4 tree canopy over turf grass	
Permitted feeding space	Headwater or isolated wetland		MS4 turf grass	
Riparian pasture deposition	Mixed open		Non-regulated buildings and other	
Silage with manure	Non-tidal floodplain wetland		Non-regulated roads	
Silage without manure	Shoreline		Non-regulated tree canopy over imperviou	
Small grains and grains Streambed and bank			Non-regulated tree canopy over turf grass	
Specialty crop high	True forest		Non-regulated turf grass	
Specialty crop low	Water		Regulated construction	

Table 26: CAST land covers organized by sector. Green (grey) symbols indicate developed or natural land covers included (not included) in VRRM land cover classifications.

Of the 14 land covers assigned to VRRM categories, six became part of the category "Impervious" (**Table 27**). This includes MS4 buildings and other, non-regulated buildings and other, MS4 roads, non-regulated roads; MS4 tree canopy over imperviousness; and non-regulated tree canopy over imperviousness. Because canopy cover varies by season, "cover over" CAST land covers, such as tree canopy over impervious, were assigned to VRRM categories based on their substory (i.e., in late fall to early spring tree canopy over impervious simplifies to impervious, making the latter the more appropriate classifier) [CBP 2022].

VRRM	CAST Land Cover	CAST Land Cover Definition (abbreviated)
	True forest	Trees farther than 30'-80' from non-road impervious surfaces and forming contiguous patches greater than 1-acre in extent
tsero <sup>5</sup>	Headwater or isolated wetland	Transitional land between terrestrial & aquatic systems. Includes small, shallow open water ponds or potholes, often called swamps, marshes, potholes, bogs, or fens.
I	Non-tidal floodplain wetland	Transitional land between terrestrial & aquatic systems. Includes lacustrine, palustrine and riverine wetland systems.
	MS4 turf grass	Herbaceous and barren lands that have been altered through compaction, removal of organic material, and/or fertilization. These include all herbaceous and barren lands within road rights-of-way and residential, commercial, recreational, and other turf-dominated land uses (e.g., cemeteries, shopping centers) and a portion of herbaceous and barren lands within federal facilities, parks, institutional campuses, and large developed parcels. They are located within MS4 areas.
τυī	Non-regulated turf grass	Same as above except located outside MS4 and CSS areas
	MS4 tree canopy over turf grass	Trees within 30'-80' of non-road impervious surfaces where the understory is assumed to be turf grass or otherwise altered through compaction, removal of surface organic material, and/or fertilization within MS4 areas
	Non-regulated tree canopy over turf grass	Same as above except located outside MS4 and CSS areas
bəxiM nəqO	Mixed open	All scrub-shrub and herbaceous and barren lands that have been minimally disturbed (e.g., periodically bush hogged, meadows, etc.), reclaimed, or that have internal and/or regulated drainage. These include active, abandoned and reclaimed mines, landfills, beaches, waterbody margins, natural grasslands, utility rights-of-way and a portion of herbaceous lands within industrial, transitional (early stages of construction), and warehousing land uses.
	MS4 buildings and other	Buildings, driveways, sidewalks, parking lots, runways, some private roads, railroads and rail rights-of-way, and barren lands within industrial, transitional (early stages of construction), and warehousing within MS4 areas
sr	Non-regulated buildings and other	Same as above except located outside MS4 and CSS areas
ıoivi	MS4 roads	Paved and unpaved roads and bridges on land within MS4 areas
ıədui	Non-regulated roads	Same as above except located outside MS4 and CSS areas
П	MS4 tree canopy over impervious	Trees over roads and non-road impervious surfaces within MS4 areas
	Non-regulated tree canopy over impervious	Same as above except located outside MS4 and CSS areas

Table 27: CAST land covers assigned to VRRM categories

Three CAST land covers were assigned to the VRRM category "Forest", including true forest (trees that form contiguous patches exceeding 1-acre and that are greater than 30-80 ft from nonroad impervious surfaces), headwater or isolated wetlands (small, shallow open water ponds or potholes, often called swamps, marshes, potholes, bogs, or fens), and non-tidal floodplain wetlands (lacustrine, palustrine and riverine wetland systems) (**Table 27**). Four land covers were assigned to the VRRM category "Turf", including MS4 turf grass, non-regulated turf-grass, MS4 tree canopy over turf-grass, and non-regulated tree canopy over turf grass (**Table 27**). Finally, one CAST land cover, mixed open, was assigned to a new VRRM land cover category of the same name "Mixed Open". This new category captures shrubby, herbaceous or barren lands that are minimally disturbed (for instance, periodically bush hogged), and includes active, abandoned and reclaimed mines, landfills, beaches, waterbody margins, natural grasslands, utility rights-ofway and a portion of herbaceous lands within industrial, transitional, and warehousing land uses (**Table 27**).

## Appendix E ESTABLISHING NUTRIENT LOADING RATES

## **E.1 OBJECTIVES**

The Chesapeake Assessment Scenario Tool (CAST) provides nutrient loads and areas that can be used to establish loading rates for phosphorus and nitrogen (lbs/acre/year) across various land use categories. This section describes the methodology employed to map these nutrient loading rates across the four land cover conditions and the four hydrologic soil groups (HSG) depicted within the Virginia Runoff Reduction Method (VRRM). The analyses described in the following sections are based on a customized run of CAST Phase 6 - v. 7.4.0 employing an adjusted fertilizer application rate of 1.06 lbs/acre/year.

## E.2 CAST LOADING RATES

CAST nutrient loading rates are shown in Table 28. Note that this summary table does not include all 49 land cover conditions depicted in the CAST model; rather, only the 14 identified as relevant for inclusion in the VRRM.

	Phosphorus	Nitrogen
CAST Land Cover	Loading	Loading
	Rate	Rate
Headwater or Isolated Wetland	0.056	1.211
Non-tidal Floodplain Wetland	0.061	1.090
True Forest	0.071	1.198
MS4 Buildings and Other	0.789	10.948
MS4 Roads	0.971	13.537
MS4 Tree Canopy over Impervious	0.862	13.186
Non-Regulated Buildings and Other	0.789	11.037
Non-Regulated Roads	0.961	14.220
Non-Regulated Tree Canopy over Impervious	0.822	13.713
Mixed Open	0.355	1.623
MS4 Tree Canopy over Turf Grass	0.505	5.838
MS4 Turf Grass	0.660	7.519
Non-Regulated Tree Canopy over Turf Grass	0.569	6.223
Non-Regulated Turf Grass	0.781	8.034

### Table 28: CAST nutrient loading rates (lbs/acre/yr)

To establish cumulative runoff loads resulting from the CAST loading rates shown in Table 28, model scenarios were conducted for the portion of the Commonwealth of Virginia that flows into the Chesapeake Bay. The CAST model allows users to conduct runoff/loading scenarios with or without the inclusion of water quality BMPs in the modeled drainage area. The model runs used to assign loading rates to the VRRM were conducted under a "No BMP" scenario as the VRRM should compute loading rates that are "pre-treatment." Further, CAST reports cumulative loads at both "Edge of Stream" (EOS) and "Edge of Tide" (EOT) locations. The analysis described in the following sections was performed using CAST loads computed at EOS locations, such that any attenuation/mitigation of nutrient loads occurring from EOS to EOT is omitted (i.e., nutrient loads more accurately reflect "site scale" runoff values as intended in the VRRM).

## E.3 CAST LOADING RATE ASSIGNMENT PROCEDURE

The VRRM considers four distinct land use categories: Forest, Impervious, Mixed Open, and Managed Turf. Of the 49 land use categories depicted in the CAST, 14 were identified for inclusion in the VRRM. The 14 CAST land use categories considered in the VRRM are presented in Table 27, grouped by the respective VRRM land use categories.

To establish a single, consolidated loading rate for each VRRM land use category, area weighting was performed using the individual CAST loading rates and their respective land areas. As noted earlier, land areas reflect only those portions of the Commonwealth that flow into the Chesapeake Bay.

## Equation 24. Area Weighting to determine a single, consolidated nutrient loading rate for each VRRM land use category.

$$Wr = \frac{(C_r)(A_i)}{A_{total}}$$

Where:

- $W_r$  = Area-weighted CAST loading rate for VRRM land use category (lbs/acre/yr)
- $C_r$  = CAST loading rate corresponding each of 16 individual CAST land use categories (lbs/acre/yr)
- $A_i$  = CAST land use areas (acres)
- $A_{total}$  = Cumulative area of each VRRM land use category (acres)

Table 29 presents a summary of this calculation for phosphorus for the VRRM land use category designated as "Managed Turf."

		Cast Loading Rate (Cr)	Acres (A)	Weighted Loading Rate (Wr)
	MS4 Tree Canopy over Turf	0.505	112,112.43	0.047
ğ	Grass			
age Irf	MS4 Turf Grass	0.660	199,961.51	0.110
Tr T	Non-Regulated Tree	0.569	218,669.63	0.104
Σ	Canopy over Turf Grass			
	Non-Regulated Turf Grass	0.781	664,383.31	0.434
		Totals	1,195,126.88	0.696

## Table 29: Area-Weighting Summary – VRRM "Managed Turf" Land Use Category

Table 30 presents the consolidated nutrient loading rates computed for each VRRM land use category, obtained by this area-weighting procedure.

Phosphorus Consolidated Leading Pote Consolidated L				
VRRM Land Use Category	(lbs/acre/yr)	(lbs/acre/yr)		
Forest	0.071	1.194		
Impervious	0.858	12.334		
Mixed Open	0.355	1.623		
Turf	0.696	7.410		

Table 30:	Consolidated	<b>Nutrient Loading</b>	Rates – All	VRRM Land	<b>Use Categories</b>
-----------	--------------	-------------------------	-------------	-----------	-----------------------

It is reasonably assumed that nutrient loading rates will increase with increasing runoff volume (i.e. with increasing HSG classification,  $A \rightarrow D$ ). Therefore, the results presented in Table 30 potentially overestimate the nutrient loads coming from HSG A while potentially underestimating the loads coming from HSG C and D.

The following section describes the methodology for considering the different runoff (load) potential across the four hydrologic soil groups.

## E.4 LOADING RATES ACROSS HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUPS

The variable runoff (load) potential across the differing HSGs must be considered, and an assumption made regarding the breakdown of loading rates across the four HSG categories. The runoff coefficient ( $R_v$ ) percentages across the HSG categories align very closely with the loading rate percentages presented in the 2017 VRRM spreadsheet. Note that for the "Impervious" land cover category in the VRRM it is assumed that the runoff (load) potential is equivalent across the four HSGs. Tables 31 and 32 present the percentage of total loading rates per HSG category and the percentage of Total  $R_v$  per category, respectively, from the 2017 VRRM spreadsheet.

Category	Α	В	С	D
Forest	16%	22%	28%	34%
Managed Turf	18%	25%	27%	30%
Impervious	25%	25%	25%	25%

 Table 31: Percentage of Total Loading Rates (per HSG Category) 2017 VRRM

### Table 32: Percentage of Total Rv (per HSG Category) 2017 VRRM

Category	Α	В	С	D
Forest	14%	21%	29%	36%
Managed Turf	18%	24%	27%	30%
Impervious	25%	25%	25%	25%

Because the percentage  $R_V$  components align so closely with the percentage of total loading rates, the Rv component percentages can be reasonably used to estimate the load contribution from each HSG category. Table 33 presents the updated  $R_V$  values which also include the new Mixed Open land use category.

Table 33: Percentage of Total Rv (per HSG Category) Updated VRRM Values

Category	Α	В	С	D
Forest	14%	21%	29%	36%
Mixed Open	17%	24%	27%	32%
Managed Turf	18%	24%	27%	30%
Impervious	25%	25%	25%	25%

The following sections describe how the Rv percentages from Table 33 were used, along with the percentage of total drainage area depicted by each HSG category, to compute individual loading rates.

# E.5 DISTRIBUTION OF HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUPS ACROSS THE COMMONWEALTH

An assumption regarding the distribution (percentage) of the various HSG categories across the total drainage area must be made. In doing so, only the portion of the Commonwealth draining to the Chesapeake Bay was considered. This was because the metrics from the CAST model only include areas within the Bay Watershed. It is assumed that this breakdown is similar for the remaining portion of the Commonwealth. For soils having a dual classification (i.e. A/D, B/D, and C/D), a 50/50 area split between the two HSG categories was assumed. Table 34 presents the respective land areas (and corresponding percentages) for each HSG category, as shown on the map titled "Hydrologic Soil Group Map Commonwealth of Virginia" prepared by Wetland Studies and Solutions, Inc., dated April 7, 2021.
HSG	Acres	Adjusted	Percentage
А	1,785,145	1,839,829.0	14%
A/D	109,368		
В	6,205,088	6,635,353.0	50%
B/D	860,530		
С	2,141,879	2,371,927.5	18%
C/D	460,097		
D	1,669,429	3,384,426.5	18%
Totals	13,231,536	13,231,536.0	100%

Table 34: Percentage of Total Drainage Area by HSG Category

# E.6 SOLVING FOR LOADING RATES

This section describes the computational methodology employed to integrate the  $R_V$  and land area percentages to establish nutrient loading rates across the four land cover conditions and the four HSG categories depicted within the VRRM.

First, a matrix is constructed with cell entries computed as the product of the  $R_V$  percentages presented in Table 33 and a user-specified row "sum." The units of these entries are lbs/acre/year. Initial row sum values are set to 1.0; they will be manually modified as described in the following steps. Table 35 presents this matrix for Phosphorus, based on the 2022 CAST data set loading rates.

Category	A	В	С	D	SUM
Forest	0.143	0.214	0.286	0.357	1.000
Mixed Open	0.168	0.240	0.271	0.320	1.000
Managed Turf	0.183	0.244	0.268	0.305	1.000

Table 35: Intermediate Computational Matrix 1 – Phosphorus (Ibs/acre/yr)

Second, a new matrix is constructed with cell entries computed as the product of the land area percentages presented in Table 34 and the cell entries (preliminary loading rates) from the first computational matrix (Table 35). Note that the cell entries from the first matrix are a function of the user-specified row sum. The resulting row values in the second matrix are mathematically summed and titled "Total Rate" in units of lbs/acre/yr. Table 36 presents this matrix.

Table 36:	Intermediate	<b>Computational Ma</b>	trix 2 – Phosphorus	(lbs/acre/y	yr)
-----------	--------------	-------------------------	---------------------	-------------	-----

Area %	14%	50%	18%	18%	
Category	A	В	С	D	Total Rate
Forest	0.020	0.107	0.051	0.064	0.243
Mixed Open	0.023	0.121	0.049	0.058	0.250
Managed Turf	0.025	0.122	0.048	0.055	0.251

Third, the row sum entries in the first matrix are manually adjusted in a "trial and error" manner until the total loading rates (row sums) depicted in the second matrix (Table 36) converge to match the consolidated CAST loading rates shown in Table 30. This process can be expedited by the use of a solver, such as the Microsoft Excel "Goal Seek" function. The cell entries depicted in the first matrix are a function of the user-specified row sum, and as that sum is modified, these cell entries change. When the total loading rates (row sums) from the second matrix equal the consolidated CAST loading rates, the cell entries in the first matrix represent the final VRRM loading rates for the varying land cover conditions and HSG categories. Tables 37 and 38 present the final matrices resulting from this procedure for Phosphorus, based on the 2021 CAST loading rates. Note that this analysis is not required for the Impervious land use category, as runoff rates and resulting loads are considered independent of HSG classification.

			· · ·		
Category	A	В	С	D	Adj. Rate
Forest	0.042	0.062	0.083	0.104	0.291
Mixed Open	0.239	0.341	0.385	0.454	1.420
Managed Turf	0.508	0.677	0.745	0.846	2.775

Area %	14%	50%	18%	18%		
	A	В	С	D	Total Rate	CAST Value
Forest	0.006	0.031	0.015	0.019	0.071	0.071
Mixed Open	0.033	0.171	0.069	0.082	0.355	0.355
Managed Turf	0.071	0.339	0.133	0.152	0.696	0.696*

#### Table 38: Final Computational Matrix 2 – Phosphorus (lbs/acre/yr)

\*Note that in the publicly available version of CAST, a cumulative area-weighted loading rate of 1.443 lbs/acre/yr was computed across all of the CAST land use categories considered for the managed turf land cover. In the preceding section, and as shown in Table 38, a total phosphorus loading rate of 0.696 lbs/acre/yr was applied to determine final VRRM loading rates (rather than the previously presented value of 1.443 lb/acre/yr). The following section explains this discrepancy.

On December 31, 2013, a Virginia law went into effect that prohibited the sale of lawn maintenance fertilizer containing more than zero percent phosphorus or other compounds containing phosphorus, such as phosphate. Data obtained from the Association of American Plant Food Control Officials (AAPFCO) and the Virginia Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (VDACS) show that the effects of this ban have been significant in reducing the sale of phosphorus for "non-farm" applications in the Commonwealth. The CAST model used to establish VRRM phosphorus loading rates, as previously described, does not consider the impact of the phosphorus sales ban; therefore, it is believed to overestimate the phosphorus load

### Virginia Runoff Reduction Method Compliance Spreadsheet

originating from non-farm uses (to include the VRRM "Managed Turf" category). Between the period 2013 and 2021, the CAST model applied a phosphorus fertilizer application rate of 3.93 lb/acre/yr to non-farmland uses within the Chesapeake Bay. An analysis of AAPFCO phosphorus sales data over this period of time suggests that the loading rate is more accurately defined as 2.42 lb/acre/yr for non-farmland uses in Virginia. Because phosphorus in fertilizer data is reported in terms of phosphorus pentoxide (P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>), the 2.42 lb/acre/yr value must be converted to P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-P, particulate phosphorus. This conversion is made by multiplying 2.42 lb/ac/yr by 0.4365, yielding 1.056 lb/ac/yr. EPA authorized a special CAST run based on the 2022 data set (no BMP scenario) for purposes of computing loading rates for the VRRM spreadsheets that adjusted the phosphorus application rate to turf land covers from 3.93 lbs/acre/yr to 1.06 lb/acre/yr. The resulting adjustment yields a final, cumulative loading rate of 0.696 lb/acre/yr when proportionally allocated across all hydrologic soil groups for the VRRM "Managed Turf" category.

# E.7 RESULTS

The final VRRM nutrient loading rates computed from the 2021 CAST loading rates and employing the previously described methodology are presented in Tables 39 and 40.

				- /
Category	A	В	С	D
Forest	0.042	0.062	0.083	0.104
Mixed Open	0.239	0.341	0.385	0.454
Managed Turf	0.508	0.677	0.745	0.846
Impervious	0.858	0.858	0.858	0.858

 Table 39:
 VRRM Loading Rates – Phosphorus (lbs/acre/yr)

	The second	i i i i ogon (		
Category	$\boldsymbol{A}$	В	С	D
Forest	0.702	1.054	1.405	1.756
Natural	1.091	1.559	1.760	2.075
Managed T	<b>Surf</b> 5.405	7.207	7.928	9.009
Impervious	12.334	12.334	12.334	12.334

### Table 40: VRRM Loading Rates – Nitrogen (lbs/acre/yr)

### Appendix F ESTABLISHING NUTRIENT TARGET VALUE

### F.1 Introduction

In 2011, the Virginia Soil and Water Conservation Board adopted amendments to 4VAC50-60-63, providing that the total phosphorous load for new development projects shall not exceed 0.41 pounds per acre per year. The Department of Conservation and Recreation's (DCR) summary published in the Virginia Register described this as "[a] scientifically based 0.41 lbs/acre/year phosphorous standard for new development activities statewide" and noted that the prior adopted standard was 0.45 pounds per acre per year. At the same time, the Virginia Soil and Water Conservation Board adopted language providing: "Upon completion of the 2017 Chesapeake Bay Phase III Watershed Implementation Plan, the department shall review the water quality design criteria standards."

In 2013, because of Chapters 756 and 793 of the 2013 Acts of Assembly, the Commonwealth's stormwater management, erosion and sediment control, and Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act programs were transferred from the Virginia Soil and Water Conservation Board and DCR to the State Water Control Board and the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ). As a result of this, the Commonwealth's stormwater regulations were transferred to the State Water Control Board. The design criteria requirement for the total phosphorous load of new development projects was renumbered as 9VAC25-870-63 A 1 but did not otherwise change. Similarly, the requirement to review water quality design criteria standards upon completion of the 2017 Chesapeake Bay Phase III Watershed Implementation Plan was renumbered as 9VAC25-870-63 C but did not otherwise change.

Initiative 48 in the Commonwealth of Virginia Chesapeake Bay TMDL Phase III Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP) requires DEQ to "initiate a review of the post-development water quality design criteria requirements established under the Virginia Erosion and Stormwater Management (VESM) Regulation, 9VAC25-870-63." Initiative 48 further specifies that "The Commonwealth's review will determine if the criteria continue to satisfy the offset requirement of the TMDL. Subsequent amendments to the VESM Regulation may be necessary if the criteria are no longer consistent with the TMDL."

Pursuant to Initiative 48, the Commonwealth has reviewed the latest outputs from the Chesapeake Bay Model, compared with the Chesapeake Bay Phase III Watershed Implementation Plan (Phase 3 WIP) to determine if use of the 0.41 lbs/ac/yr post-construction target should continue. This review included evaluating the latest loading rates from the Chesapeake Bay Model and making necessary modifications to the Virginia Runoff Reduction Model (VRRM) Version 3.0 spreadsheets. The evaluation utilized scenario data from the most recent model runs of the Chesapeake Bay Model, accessed using the Chesapeake Assessment and Scenario Tool (CAST) Version 2019, using both 2021 and 2025 (the Phase 3 WIP) data.

### Virginia Runoff Reduction Method Compliance Spreadsheet

Note that the 2021 data was used to establish concentrations for this analysis and the 2025 data was only used to verify that significant concentration variations are not currently expected between the datasets. The full methodology used to determine compliance with the offset requirement is described in detail below.

## F.2 Computation of Updated Phosphorus Target Standard

The phosphorus standard is computed through an analysis of the most recent completed CAST dataset (2021) for nutrient loadings and expected current land use (natural or agricultural) conversion to developed land use percentages for natural (81%) and agricultural (19%) areas from recently analyzed and published data (Chesapeake Bay Program, 2023). Land conversion percentages as shown herein are expected to be implemented in the upcoming Phase 7 of the Chesapeake Bay model.

As development occurs, predevelopment land uses with lower average loading rates are slowly replaced with developed land cover having higher average loading rates. Knowing the predicted conversion rate from undeveloped to developed land based on analysis of land cover data changes across several years allows computation of a nutrient target, an average theoretical loading rate that can be discharged without increasing loadings beyond what would be expected if the average land condition remained unchanged (i.e., undeveloped). The intent is to provide a threshold value that must be maintained to mitigate increases in phosphorus loading due to development of undeveloped land uses in the future. This must be evaluated on a periodic basis to verify that the assumptions concerning the natural and agricultural breakdowns remain consistent—major changes will require an adjustment to the computed nutrient target.

To perform this loading rate analysis, the 42 applicable CAST land uses (sewage treatment related, shoreline, and stream types were not used) were divided into three categories: 1) Agriculture, 2) Developed (including agricultural production areas), and 3) Natural/Forest, as shown in Table 41.

Agriculture	Developed	Natural/Forest
Ag Open Space	CSS Buildings and Other	CSS Forest
Double Cropped Land	CSS Construction	<ul> <li>Harvested Forest</li> </ul>
Full Season Soybeans	CSS Roads	Headwater or
Grain with Manure	CSS Tree Canopy over	Isolated Wetland
Grain without Manure	Impervious	<ul> <li>Non-tidal</li> </ul>
Leguminous Hay	CSS Tree Canopy over	Floodplain Wetland
Other Agronomic Crops	Turf Grass	True Forest
• Other Hay	CSS Turf Grass	• Water
Pasture	• MS4 Buildings and Other	<ul> <li>CSS Mixed Open</li> </ul>
Riparian Pasture	MS4 Roads	<ul> <li>Mixed Open</li> </ul>
Deposition		

### Table 41: Categorization of CAST land covers into three major categories for analysis.

<ul> <li>Silage with Manure</li> <li>Silage without Manure</li> <li>Small Grains and Grains</li> <li>Specialty Crop High</li> <li>Specialty Crop Low</li> <li>MS4 Tree Canopy over Turf Grass</li> <li>MS4 Turf Grass</li> <li>MS4 Turf Grass</li> <li>Non-Regulated Buildings and Other</li> <li>Non-Regulated Roads</li> <li>Non-Regulated Tree Canopy over Impervious</li> <li>Non-Regulated Tree Canopy over Turf Grass</li> <li>Non-Regulated Tree Canopy over Turf Grass</li> <li>Non-Regulated Turf Grass</li> <li>Regulated Construction</li> <li>Non-Permitted Feeding Space</li> </ul>		
~	<ul> <li>Silage with Manure</li> <li>Silage without Manure</li> <li>Small Grains and Grains</li> <li>Specialty Crop High</li> <li>Specialty Crop Low</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MS4 Tree Canopy over Impervious</li> <li>MS4 Tree Canopy over Turf Grass</li> <li>MS4 Turf Grass</li> <li>MS4 Turf Grass</li> <li>Non-Regulated Buildings and Other</li> <li>Non-Regulated Roads</li> <li>Non-Regulated Tree Canopy over Impervious</li> <li>Non-Regulated Tree Canopy over Turf Grass</li> <li>Non-Regulated Turf Grass</li> <li>Regulated Construction</li> <li>Non-Permitted Feeding Space</li> </ul>
Permitted Feeding Space		Permitted Feeding Space

The total land area belonging to each of these three land cover categories were estimated for both the 2021 and 2025 CAST runs (see Table 42). Initially, a comparison between the 2025 and 2021 datasets was planned to be used for the nutrient target conversion comparison. However, during the project, more accurate updated land cover data (Chesapeake Bay Program, 2023) was published and downloaded for use in this effort.

The fifth column in Table 42 indicates proportions (i.e., in what cover category) of the land conversion between 2021 and 2025 is expected to occur according to current CAST model land cover assumptions. Note that these values were replaced with 81% (natural) and 19% (agricultural) for the final analysis, based on the more recent land cover conversion data reference above that will be implemented in Phase 7 of the Chesapeake Bay Model. These values (percent land cover conversion) will be used as weighting metrics later in the analysis. Both sets of percentages are shown for comparison.

Category	2025 Area (acres)	2021 Area (acres)	Difference	% of Total Deviation in CAST	Updated Land Cover Conversion Used
Natural/Forest	9,424,007.68	9,446,636.97	22,629.28	49%	81%
Agriculture	2,317,967.62	2,341,688.33	23,720.71	51%	19%
Developed	1,967,149.61	1,920,799.62	46,349.99		

### Table 42: Comparison of Aggregated Land Use Data for 2021/2025

Next, average phosphorus loads are aggregated for the 2021 CAST run at the Edge of Stream (EOS) location for each category (natural/forest, agriculture, and developed). The EOS location was used since it is closer to the site evaluation location, and matches the assumptions used during computation of the VRRM land cover loading rates, which also used the EOS data for nutrient loading computations. Therefore, the comparison of remaining site loading to the

nutrient target are being compared on the same basis. Loading rates are computed by dividing the P load by the area (see Table 43).

	2021 P-Load	2021 Area	Average Category Loading Rate
Category	(lbs)	(acres)	(lb/ac/yr)
Natural/Forest	864,805.61	9,446,636.97	0.092
Agriculture	2,335,314.65	2,341,688.33	0.997
Developed	2,400,074.29	1,920,799.62	1.250

### Table 43: Computation of Average Loading Rates

The final target is calculated by weighting each category based on its percent of the total expected conversion and summing the result across categories. This is accomplished by multiplying the appropriate assumed conversion percentages and summing (Table 44).

Category	% of Total	Combined Loading Rate (lb/ac/yr)	Adjusted Loading Rate (lb/ac/yr)
Natural/Forest	81%	0.092	0.074
Agriculture	19%	0.997	0.189
		Nutrient Target	0.264

#### Table 44: Computation of Unadjusted Nutrient Target

Although a factor of safety was initially considered for application to the nutrient target value due to potential model errors and limitations in estimating the natural/forest and agricultural conversions rates in CAST, no adjustment was ultimately made to the computed value. Based on the quality of the land conversion dataset, predictions for conversion appear to be much more accurate than data used in the current Phase 6 model. Therefore, the final proposed phosphorus nutrient target is the value computed above, **0.26 lbs/ac/yr**.

### F.3 Alternative Methods Explored

During the original development of the previous nutrient target (0.41 lbs TP/ac/year) an alternative method to the "no net increase of TP runoff method" based on expected land cover of lands projected to be developed in the future (discussed in F.2) was considered. This methodology utilized expected land cover types for development that was not expected to be detrimental to downstream water bodies using non-structural (i.e., land use density) BMPs. Three scenarios were assessed and one of them (10% impervious, 30% turf, and 60% forest) matched the selected previous nutrient target (0.41 lbs/ac/year) at that time using then current Bay Model runoff data. All three land use scenarios were explored to provide supplemental information for evaluation of the methodology outlined in the previous section. This method

### Virginia Runoff Reduction Method Compliance Spreadsheet

employs loadings for impervious cover, turf, and forest cover to several assumed target land cover conditions. The CAST loading rates as outlined in Appendix E for impervious cover (0.794 lbs/ac/yr), turf (0.657 lbs/ac/yr), and forest (0.071 lbs/ac/yr) are appropriate for use in this analysis since these values match the target rates used for computing loading rates for the VRRM 4.1 spreadsheets.

The three scenarios suggested during the previous nutrient target evaluation were as follows:

- 1) 5% impervious, 30% turf, 65% forest
- 2) 7.5% impervious, 30% turf, 62.5% forest;
- 3) 10% impervious, 30% turf, 60% forest; and

Using these metrics, the derived rates are:

0.28 lbs/ac/yr; 2) 0.30 lbs/ac/yr; and 3) 0.32 lbs/ac/yr

The lower threshold of these values is similar in magnitude to the values computed via the method in F.2 (0.26 lbs/ac/yr). The consistency of values between the two methods is notable. Because the method discussed in F.2 is more rigorous and based on the latest available data, the proposed value of 0.26 lbs/ac/yr has been selected for the phosphorus nutrient target.