
VIRGINIA BOARD OF HEALTH PROFESSIONS
CRITERIA FOR EVALUATING THE NEED FOR REGULATION

Initially Adopted October, 1991

Readopted February, 1998

Criterion One: Risk for Harm to the Consumer

The unregulated practice of the health occupation will harm or endanger the public health, safety or welfare. The harm is recognizable and not remote or dependent on tenuous argument. The harm results from: (a) practices inherent in the occupation, (b) characteristics of the clients served, (c) the setting or supervisory arrangements for the delivery of health services, or (d) from any combination of these factors.

Criterion Two: Specialized Skills and Training

The practice of the health occupation requires specialized education and training, and the public needs to have benefits by assurance of initial and continuing occupational competence.

Criterion Three: Autonomous Practice

The functions and responsibilities of the practitioner require independent judgment and the members of the occupational group practice autonomously.

Criterion Four: Scope of Practice

The scope of practice is distinguishable from other licensed, certified and registered occupations, in spite of possible overlapping of professional duties, methods of examination, instrumentation, or therapeutic modalities.

Criterion Five: Economic Impact

The economic costs to the public of regulating the occupational group are justified. These costs result from restriction of the supply of practitioner, and the cost of operation of regulatory boards and agencies.

Criterion Six: Alternatives to Regulation There are no alternatives to State regulation of the occupation which adequately protect the public. Inspections and injunctions, disclosure requirements, and the strengthening of consumer protection laws and regulations are examples of methods of addressing the risk for public harm that do not require regulation of the occupation or profession.

Criterion Seven: Least Restrictive Regulation

When it is determined that the State regulation of the occupation or profession is necessary, the least restrictive level of occupational regulation consistent with public protection will be recommended to the Governor, the General Assembly and the Director of the Department of Health Professions.
