



Final Regulation Agency Background Document

Agency name	Virginia Department of Health
Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) citation	12 VAC 5-90
Regulation title	Disease Reporting and Control
Action title	2008 Update to comply with changes in Virginia Code and public health practice
Date this document prepared	April 26, 2010

This information is required for executive branch review and the Virginia Registrar of Regulations, pursuant to the Virginia Administrative Process Act (APA), Executive Orders 36 (2006) and 58 (1999), and the *Virginia Register Form, Style, and Procedure Manual*.

Brief summary

Please provide a brief summary (no more than 2 short paragraphs) of the proposed new regulation, proposed amendments to the existing regulation, or the regulation proposed to be repealed. Alert the reader to all substantive matters or changes. If applicable, generally describe the existing regulation. Also, please include a brief description of changes to the regulation from publication of the proposed regulation to the final regulation.

The *Regulations for Disease Reporting and Control* provide information about the process and procedures for reporting diseases to the Virginia Department of Health, including what diseases must be reported, who must report them and how reporting is conducted. The Virginia Department of Health is proposing an amendment to the regulations in order to bring them into compliance with recent changes in the *Code of Virginia* and with recent changes in the field of communicable disease control and emergency preparedness that are needed to protect the health of the citizens of Virginia.

The specific proposed changes are necessary to ensure the regulations comply with recent changes in the *Code of Virginia* pertaining to the reporting of outbreaks, isolation and quarantine provisions, prenatal testing for HIV infection, immunization requirements, and tuberculosis control. Further amendments are necessary to clarify definitions and edit reportable disease lists.

Statement of final agency action

Please provide a statement of the final action taken by the agency including (1) the date the action was taken, (2) the name of the agency taking the action, and (3) the title of the regulation.

The State Board of Health approved the final amendment to the *Regulations for Disease Reporting and Control* on April 23, 2010.

Legal basis

Please identify the state and/or federal legal authority to promulgate this proposed regulation, including (1) the most relevant law and/or regulation, including Code of Virginia citation and General Assembly chapter numbers, if applicable, and (2) promulgating entity, i.e., agency, board, or person. Describe the legal authority and the extent to which the authority is mandatory or discretionary.

Chapter 2 of Title 32.1 of the *Code of Virginia*, §§ 32.1-12 and 32.1-35 through 32.1-73, contains mandatory language authorizing the State Board of Health to promulgate the proposed regulations. Specifically, § 32.1-35 directs the Board of Health to promulgate regulations specifying which diseases occurring in the Commonwealth are to be reportable and the method by which they are to be reported. Further, § 32.1-42 of the *Code of Virginia* authorizes the Board of Health to promulgate regulations and orders to prevent a potential emergency caused by a disease dangerous to public health. The Board of Health is empowered to adopt such regulations as are necessary to carry out provisions of laws of the Commonwealth administered by the state health commissioner by § 32.1-12 of the *Code of Virginia*. The Office of the Attorney General has certified that the agency has statutory authority to promulgate the proposed regulation and that it comports with applicable state and/or federal law.

Purpose

Please explain the need for the new or amended regulation. Describe the rationale or justification of the proposed regulatory action. Detail the specific reasons it is essential to protect the health, safety or welfare of citizens. Discuss the goals of the proposal and the problems the proposal is intended to solve.

The amendment is necessary in order to ensure that the regulations comply with changes in the *Code of Virginia*. The proposed changes improve the ability of the Virginia Department of Health to conduct surveillance and implement disease control for conditions of public health concern, including some that may indicate bioterrorism events. The changes will position the agency to better detect and respond to these illnesses to protect the health of the public.

Substance

Please identify and explain the new substantive provisions, the substantive changes to existing sections, or both where appropriate. A more detailed discussion is required under the "All changes made in this regulatory action" section.

Amendments to current regulations will:

- Update language to ensure that it complies with Code and reflects current public health, medical and scientific terminology;
- Update disease reporting requirements, including reportable diseases and those required to report;
- Update language regarding laboratory reporting requirements;
- Update tuberculosis reporting and control requirements and definitions;
- Update provisions regarding the reporting of toxic substance-related illness;
- Update requirements related to HIV prenatal testing; and
- Update other disease reporting and control provisions necessary to protect the health of the people of the Commonwealth.

Issues

Please identify the issues associated with the proposed regulatory action, including:

- 1) the primary advantages and disadvantages to the public, such as individual private citizens or businesses, of implementing the new or amended provisions;*
- 2) the primary advantages and disadvantages to the agency or the Commonwealth; and*
- 3) other pertinent matters of interest to the regulated community, government officials, and the public. If there are no disadvantages to the public or the Commonwealth, please indicate.*

The proposed changes improve the ability of the Virginia Department of Health to conduct surveillance and implement disease control for conditions of public health concern, including some that may indicate bioterrorism events. The changes will position the agency to better detect and respond to these illnesses to protect the health of the public.

Except as noted in the paragraphs below, changes are alterations in language and terminology to reflect current scientific use and to provide clarification. For example, the phrase “interrupt the transmission of disease” is replaced by “reduce the occurrence of disease”, names of conditions on the Reportable Disease List are modified to comply with scientific usage, and definitions that were in a subsection are moved to the Definitions section. These changes improve the clarity of the regulations but are not substantive.

Updates to disease reporting requirements:

- Conditions requiring rapid communication will be reported “by the most rapid means available”, rather than “within 24 hours” to clarify that immediate action is expected for these high priority conditions.
- The change in terminology from “poliomyelitis” to “poliovirus infection” clarifies that all poliovirus infections are reportable, not only those resulting in paralysis.
- Toxic Shock Syndrome is removed from the list of reportable conditions, but is included as a reportable Group A Streptococcal infection. Toxic shock may result from streptococcal or staphylococcal organisms. The number of staphylococcal toxic shock syndrome cases has been minimal over the past 10 years (averaging 1.2 cases per year) and clinical management is effective to limit spread. Streptococcal infections are still of public health concern and will remain reportable.
- Kawasaki syndrome is removed from the list of reportable conditions. The condition was initially added as a reportable condition due to a national research effort to identify the causal agents. However, no cause has been identified and there is no public health intervention to reduce the occurrence of disease. Over the past 5 years, an average of 17 Kawasaki cases was reported each year in Virginia.

- Changes in reporting requirements for laboratory directors pertain to Lyme disease and heavy metals. Lyme disease is added to the list of conditions reportable by laboratories because laboratory findings are essential for identification and confirmation of cases. Because the major reference laboratories currently submit Lyme disease findings, the impact is expected to be minimal. When reporting elevated levels of heavy metal exposure, the amendment proposes requiring laboratories to provide speciation, indicating whether the metal is organic or inorganic, when this information is available. This assists in determining whether public health action is needed to follow up on reports, which applies only to inorganic metals.
- To comply with changes in Virginia Code § 32.1-37, new wording is added to specify that persons in charge of a residential or day program, service, or facility licensed or operated by any agency of the Commonwealth must report outbreaks. The change in Virginia Code, which was made during the 2008 legislative session, addressed a gap in the requirement for persons in charge of schools, child care centers, and summer camps to report outbreaks. Earlier involvement by public health minimizes the number of individuals who become ill and assists the facility in implementing changes to reduce future outbreaks.

Submission of tuberculosis specimens: To comply with changes in Virginia Code § 32.1-50, the updated regulations remove the exception that previously allowed a laboratory to submit drug susceptibility findings for tuberculosis specimens in place of a viable sample. Submission of positive cultures for a member of the *M. tuberculosis* complex to the Division of Consolidated Laboratory Services (DCLS) or other approved laboratory guarantees the availability of drug susceptibility results for public health and treating clinicians. These results are important to ensure the appropriate treatment of individuals impacted by tuberculosis disease. In addition, genetic fingerprinting of the organism by public health laboratories provides insights into disease transmission patterns and identifies points where public health intervention can prevent further transmission.

Submission of specimens for additional confirmation: Laboratories identifying evidence of 14 conditions in addition to tuberculosis have been required to submit specimens to DCLS. In this action the requirement is expanded to include four additional conditions. Two are potential bioterrorism conditions not currently included on the list (brucellosis and Q fever). The third is novel influenza A viruses, which could herald the arrival of a new strain of influenza that could potentially lead to a large-scale epidemic or pandemic. The fourth is vancomycin-intermediate or vancomycin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. VDH generally receives less than 24 reports per year of these four conditions combined (not counting the novel 2009 H1N1 influenza). Resistance to vancomycin in *Staphylococcus aureus* is an emerging health concern; however, most preliminary findings of resistance are ruled out with confirmatory testing. The other changes in this section offer clarifications. Typhoid fever is caused by *Salmonella typhi*, and was intended to be covered in the requirement for evidence identifying salmonellosis, but specific mention of the organism will ensure specimen submission. For *E. coli* O157, the new requirement specifies that when EIA testing is done without culture, the positive broth may be submitted; previously the regulations referred only to the submission of specimens for further testing. Additional testing performed at the state laboratory for these 17 conditions is essential for identifying and delineating outbreaks. On a national level, the ability to obtain and act on this type of analysis is expected of state health departments.

Isolation and quarantine: Changes to the regulations specify that if the risk of infection or transmission continues at the end of the confinement, new orders may be issued to extend the confinement. The procedures for extending orders protect the individual while minimizing health risks to the public. These changes make isolation and quarantine orders more practical to implement and enforce.

Immunization requirements: The regulations are updated to be in conformance with changes in Virginia Code § 32.1-46, which updated the immunization requirements for children. Additionally, the immunization requirements for school entry in 12 VAC5-110-70 are referenced, rather than repeated. This section makes reference to the changes in immunization requirements in § 32.1-46 and those in 12VAC5-110-70, which is under separate review, but does not modify the requirements themselves nor incur any additional costs. The changes reduce duplication in regulations and eliminate the need to modify both sets of regulations when immunization requirements are updated.

Prenatal testing for HIV infection: The regulations are updated to be in conformance with changes in Virginia Code § 54.1-2403.01, which was amended in the 2008 Session of the General Assembly, and with current guidelines of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). HIV testing during pregnancy is a standard of medical care, and universal screening with an opt-out provision is supported by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. The change in regulation language would change HIV testing during pregnancy from opt-in to opt-out, and increase from one to two the number of HIV tests to be performed on pregnant women. This change would potentially benefit women by identifying HIV infection, and benefit their newborns through identifying those who would require HIV preventive treatment. The change would ultimately benefit society by decreasing the number of children with HIV infection. This regulation would bring Virginia in line with CDC guidance as well as Virginia Code, which makes prenatal HIV testing a routine opt-out procedure. In guidance released in 2006, the CDC identified states where two HIV tests should be performed during pregnancy, because those states have an elevated incidence of HIV or AIDS among women 15 – 45 years of age. Virginia was one of the identified states, and the Association of Maternal and Child Health Programs, to which the Title V Maternal and Child Health Block Grant recipients belong, recommends that state and national policymakers take steps to implement universal opt-out screening which includes the second HIV test during the third trimester in the jurisdictions identified by CDC. The only disadvantage to the public or the Commonwealth is the cost of the HIV testing.

Changes made since the proposed stage

Please describe all changes made to the text of the proposed regulation since the publication of the proposed stage. For the Registrar’s office, please put an asterisk next to any substantive changes.

Section number	Requirement at proposed stage	What has changed	Rationale for change
12VAC5-90-80 (A) & (B)	1) Reporting of Rocky Mountain spotted fever is required. 2) Reporting of Vancomycin-intermediate or vancomycin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> infection is required in (A) but reporting of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> infection, vancomycin-intermediate or vancomycin-resistant is required in (B)	1) Name of condition was changed to Spotted fever rickettsiosis. 2) Name of conditions was changed to <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> infection, vancomycin-intermediate or vancomycin-resistant in (A)	1) This updates language to current scientific and medical usage. 2) This provides consistency in terminology between 12VAC5-90-80 (A) & (B)
12VAC5-90-80 (A), (B), (C)	Condition is listed as Typhoid/paratyphoid fever	Capitalization is changed to list condition as Typhoid/Paratyphoid fever	Names of all conditions are capitalized
12VAC5-90-90 (B)	Submission of isolates is required for Typhoid fever	Requirements are changed to include submission of isolates for Typhoid/Paratyphoid fever.	Typhoid fever and Paratyphoid fever are closely related and they require similar public health intervention.

12VAC5-90-90 (D)	Reporting of outbreaks is required of 'Any person in charge of a residential or day program, service, or facility licensed or operated by any agency of the Commonwealth, school, child care center, or summer camp...'	Phrase was added to separate residential or daycare programs, services, or facilities from other facilities that need to report.	Language clarifies that 'program, service, or facility licensed or operated by any agency of the Commonwealth' refers to residential or daycare programs, services, or facilities.
------------------	---	--	--

Public comment

Please summarize all comments received during the public comment period following the publication of the proposed stage, and provide the agency response. If no comment was received, please so indicate.

Commenter	Comment	Agency response
Environmental Health Food Consultant, Thomas Jefferson Health District	Modify wording in 12VAC5-90-90 (D) to clarify whether this includes all VDH permitted foodservice facilities.	The proposed regulations are only intended to apply to residential or day programs, services, or facilities that are licensed or operated by an agency of the Commonwealth, not to foodservice facilities. In order to clarify the requirement, the phrase 'or a' has been inserted following this description and before listing other institutions required to report.
Entomologist, VDH	Supports requirement for all laboratories to report positive results for Lyme disease.	This is supportive of proposed change. No modification is needed.
Health Director, Richmond Health District; Health Director, Cumberland Plateau Health District; Epidemiologist, Thomas Jefferson Health District	Require that laboratories report results to the health district where the patient resides, rather than the health district where the laboratory is located. This would help ensure that the health department where the patient resides is able to respond in a more timely manner.	Requiring the reporting laboratory to submit findings to the health department where the patient lives, rather than to the health department where the facility is located, would streamline public health response and the public health workload. However, with the technology currently available, it would significantly increase the work load of the reporting laboratory. VDH has invested efforts in automating reporting from laboratories to a centralized database which presents the findings to the appropriate health department. While only two national reference laboratories are currently reporting in this manner, it represents over 90% of the lab reports submitted. Over the next few years, efforts will focus on automating electronic reporting from major hospital laboratories within the Commonwealth to improve the timeliness and efficiency with which laboratory findings reach the appropriate health department. We believe that this is a more effective strategy to address the identified gap.

Deputy State Epidemiologist, VDH	Clarify whether requirement for reporting of novel influenza A applies only to the 2009 strain of novel H1N1 influenza or to any new novel influenza identified.	Use of the terminology requiring reporting of any finding of 'influenza A, novel virus' was proposed by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention before the 2009 novel H1N1 virus appeared and was intended to address just such an event. We believe this terminology is important to support our ability to identify novel influenza strains as they may emerge and that no change is needed.
Communicable Disease Epidemiologist, VDH	Change regulations to replace the outdated term 'Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever' with 'Spotted Fever Rickettsiosis', the term in current scientific and medical usage.	This terminology change has been incorporated in 12VAC5-90-80 (A) and 12VAC5-90-80 (B).
Communicable Disease Epidemiologist, VDH	Modify wording of 12 VAC 5-90-360 to specify that all reports submitted to the registry are protected, regardless of the submitter.	This section is not currently under revision. The change will be considered at a later date.

Enter any other statement here

All changes made in this regulatory action

Please detail all changes that are being proposed and the consequences of the proposed changes. Detail new provisions and/or all changes to existing sections.

Current section number	Proposed new section number, if applicable	Current requirement	Proposed change and rationale
12VAC5-90-10		Definitions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Move definitions related to reporting of healthcare-associated infections to this section from 12VAC5-90-370. • "Affected area" – Modify definition to indicate what may constitute an "area". • "Arboviral infection" – Add definition to clarify disease reporting requirements. • Ehrlichiosis/anaplasmosis – Add definition to clarify disease reporting requirements. • "Influenza A, novel virus" – Add definition. • "Midwife" – Amend definition to reflect current licensing requirements. • Nosocomial outbreak – Remove definition. Term is outdated. • Isolation and Quarantine – Modify both to change "communicable disease of public health threat" to "communicable disease".
12VAC5-90-30		Purpose	Replace "interrupt the transmission of disease" with "reduce the occurrence of disease" to more accurately portray the

<p>12VAC5-90-80 (A)</p>		<p>Reportable disease list</p>	<p>objective of these regulations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Change disease names to comply with scientific usage and ensure internal consistency. • Change reporting requirement for conditions requiring rapid communication. They must be submitted “by the most rapid means available”, rather than “within 24 hours”, to allow rapid mobilization of the public health response. • Remove Kawasaki syndrome from the list of reportable conditions. There is no public health action for this condition. • Change “Poliomyelitis” to “Poliovirus infection, including poliomyelitis” to clarify that non-paralytic poliovirus infections are to be reported. • Replace ‘Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever’ with ‘Spotted Fever Rickettsiosis’ to reflect terminology in current scientific and medical usage. • Change Vancomycin-intermediate or vancomycin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus infection to Staphylococcus aureus infection, vancomycin-intermediate or vancomycin-resistant • Capitalize Paratyphoid • Remove Toxic shock syndrome from the list of reportable conditions. Reporting of streptococcal toxic shock continues with the reporting of Group A streptococcal infections. Staphylococcal toxic shock will no longer be reported.
<p>12VAC5-90-80 (B)</p>		<p>Conditions reportable by directors of laboratories</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Change disease names and reporting specifications to comply with scientific usage and ensure internal consistency. • Change reporting requirement for conditions requiring rapid communication. They must be submitted “by the most rapid means available”, rather than “within 24 hours”, to allow rapid mobilization of the public health response • Add Lyme disease to facilitate case identification and confirmation. • Change “Poliomyelitis” to “Poliovirus infection” to clarify that non-paralytic poliovirus infections are to be reported. • Replace ‘Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever’ with ‘Spotted Fever Rickettsiosis’ to reflect terminology in current scientific and medical usage. • Capitalize Paratyphoid • Require results of speciation for heavy metals, when performed, to improve

<p>12VAC5-90-80 (C)</p>		<p>Reportable diseases requiring rapid communication</p>	<p>classification</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Change disease names and reporting specifications to comply with scientific usage and ensure internal consistency. • Change reporting requirement for conditions requiring rapid communication. They must be submitted “by the most rapid means available”, rather than “within 24 hours”, to allow rapid mobilization of the public health response • Add “Influenza A, novel virus” to assist in detection and identification of potential pandemic influenza strains. • Add congenital rubella syndrome by including it with the listing for rubella, as it is presented on the reportable disease list in 12VAC5-90-80(A), to allow rapid response • Capitalize Paratyphoid
<p>12VAC5-90-90 (B)</p>		<p>Those required to report – directors of laboratories</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Re-format for clarity • Expand requirement for submission of specimens for confirmation and further characterization by laboratories to include evidence of brucellosis and Q fever, two bioterrorism conditions; novel influenza A viruses, an indicator of a possible pandemic situation; and vancomycin-intermediate or vancomycin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>, an emerging condition. • Clarify that specimens are to be submitted for typhoid fever and paratyphoid fever, two conditions caused by <i>Salmonella</i> organisms, to allow confirmation and further characterization. • For shiga toxin producing <i>E. coli</i>, allow laboratories using EIA methodologies without performing culture to submit positive broths or stool specimens (the current regulation states only stool) to DCLS for confirmation and further characterization. Additional characterization performed by DCLS is important for the identification and delineation of potential outbreaks. • To improve compliance, reflect the requirements for tuberculosis specimen submission from 12VAC5-90-225 in this section.
<p>12VAC5-90-90 (D)</p>		<p>Those required to report – persons in charge of a facility</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Make explicit the expectation that persons required to report outbreaks include those managing state operated or state licensed residential or day programs, services or facilities. Addresses a gap in the identification and response to disease

			<p>outbreaks.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Add citation for the Section of Virginia Code defining camps. • Clarify that information on the affected individuals may be provided. This is needed for investigation of outbreaks.
12VAC5-90-90 (E)		Those required to report – local health directors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Change reporting requirement for conditions requiring rapid communication. Specify that they must be submitted “by the most rapid means available” to be consistent with 12VAC5-90-80(C)
12VAC5-90-103		Isolation for communicable disease of public health threat	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modify wording for clarity and compliance with Code. • Provide clarification regarding appropriate parties for delivery of isolation orders • Specify that new orders may be issued to extend the confinement if risk persists
12VAC5-90-107		Quarantine	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modify wording for clarity • Provide clarification regarding appropriate parties for delivery of quarantine orders • Specify that new orders may be issued to extend the confinement if risk persists
12VAC5-90-110		Dosage and age requirements for immunizations; obtaining immunizations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Change “child” to “person...less than 18 years of age” to be consistent with the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices nomenclature. • Change immunization requirements to be consistent with <i>Code of Virginia</i> § 32.1-46. • Remove listing of immunization requirements for school entry, and reference section 12 VAC 5-110-70, where these requirements are specified. This eliminates duplication and reduces the need to update both sets of regulations with changes to the immunization requirements for school entry.
12VAC5-90-130		Prenatal testing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modify wording for clarity • Expand explanation of persons at high risk for syphilis to include persons in high prevalence communities and populations. • Make HIV testing an “opt-out” component of the prenatal panel rather than an “opt-in” component. This will encourage testing and is consistent with national guidelines and medical standards of care and with the <i>Code of Virginia</i>. • Recommend a second HIV test in the third trimester to be consistent with CDC recommendations for areas with elevated incidence of infection.
12VAC5-90-140		Procedure for preventing ophthalmia neonatorum	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To ensure consistency with language used in other Virginia Department of

			Health regulations (12VAC5-71-50) regarding procedures for newborns and with the recommendations of the American Academy of Pediatrics.
12VAC5-90-225		Additional data to be reported related to persons with active tuberculosis disease (confirmed or suspected)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To be consistent with Code of Virginia §32.1-50, require laboratories to submit a viable sample of a positive culture for a member of the <i>M. tuberculosis</i> complex.
12VAC5-90-370		Reporting of healthcare-associated infections	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Move definitions to 12VAC5-90-10 to be consistent with other parts of these regulations. Clarify that data are not necessarily entered quarterly, but that data must be available quarterly. It is preferred that data are entered monthly, in accordance with the protocols of the National Healthcare Safety Network.

Regulatory flexibility analysis

Please describe the agency’s analysis of alternative regulatory methods, consistent with health, safety, environmental, and economic welfare, that will accomplish the objectives of applicable law while minimizing the adverse impact on small business. Alternative regulatory methods include, at a minimum: 1) the establishment of less stringent compliance or reporting requirements; 2) the establishment of less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements; 3) the consolidation or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements; 4) the establishment of performance standards for small businesses to replace design or operational standards required in the proposed regulation; and 5) the exemption of small businesses from all or any part of the requirements contained in the proposed regulation.

These changes in regulation are expected to have little impact on small businesses. The regulations have been designed to minimize costs to all businesses. Most of the changes that would have an impact are already required by the *Code of Virginia*. No additional mechanisms to reduce the burden to small businesses are available.

Family impact

Please assess the impact of the proposed regulatory action on the institution of the family and family stability including to what extent the regulatory action will: 1) strengthen or erode the authority and rights of parents in the education, nurturing, and supervision of their children; 2) encourage or discourage economic self-sufficiency, self-pride, and the assumption of responsibility for oneself, one’s spouse, and one’s children and/or elderly parents; 3) strengthen or erode the marital commitment; and 4) increase or decrease disposable family income.

The proposed changes will indirectly protect and improve the health of the people of the Commonwealth. No adverse impacts on the institution of the family or on family stability are anticipated.