



## Fast Track Proposed Regulation Agency Background Document

<b>Agency name</b>	Board of Education
<b>Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) citation</b>	8 VAC 20-700
<b>Regulation title</b>	<i>Regulations for Conducting Division-Level Academic Reviews</i>
<b>Action title</b>	In response to SB 1201, passed by the 2013 General Assembly, the Board of Education proposes to repeal this regulation.
<b>Date this document prepared</b>	June 14, 2013

This information is required for executive branch review and the Virginia Registrar of Regulations, pursuant to the Virginia Administrative Process Act (APA), Executive Orders 14 (2010) and 58 (1999), and the *Virginia Register Form, Style, and Procedure Manual*.

### Brief summary

*Please provide a brief summary (no more than 2 short paragraphs) of the proposed new regulation, proposed amendments to the existing regulation, or the regulation proposed to be repealed. Alert the reader to all substantive matters or changes.*

The Governor's 2012 Regulatory Reform Initiative was established to "repeal regulations that are unnecessary or no longer in use, reduce unnecessary regulatory burdens on individuals, businesses, and other regulated groups, and identify statutes that require unnecessary or overly burdensome regulations." Three Board of Education regulations were identified as meeting these criteria. The *Regulations for Conducting Division-Level Academic Reviews* simply outline the process and procedures by which the Board of Education conducts the review and does not prescribe requirements on the division that is being regulated. However, there was a second enactment clause in Chapter 965 of the 2004 Acts of Assembly that required the Board to promulgate emergency regulations, which the Board did, and subsequently replaced with permanent regulations.

The Governor proposed legislation to eliminate the statutory requirements for the Board of Education to promulgate these regulations. The legislation, SB 1201 (McDougle), which was passed unanimously by the 2013 General Assembly and signed by the Governor, eliminated references to the Board of Education's responsibility for promulgating the emergency regulations (and subsequently the permanent regulations).

**Acronyms and Definitions**

*Please define all acronyms used in the Agency Background Document. Also, please define any technical terms that are used in the document that are not also defined in the "Definition" section of the regulations.*

None

**Statement of final agency action**

*Please provide a statement of the final action taken by the agency including (1) the date the action was taken, (2) the name of the agency taking the action, and (3) the title of the regulation.*

The Virginia Board of Education is taking final action to repeal *Regulations for Conducting Division-Level Academic Reviews*, 8VAC20-700, on June 27, 2013.

**Legal basis**

*Please identify the state and/or federal legal authority to promulgate this proposed regulation, including (1) the most relevant citations to the Code of Virginia or General Assembly chapter number(s), if applicable, and (2) promulgating entity, i.e., agency, board, or person. Your citation should include a specific provision authorizing the promulgating entity to regulate this specific subject or program, as well as a reference to the agency/board/person's overall regulatory authority.*

SB 1201 was passed unanimously by the 2013 General Assembly and signed by the Governor. The bill says, in part:

"2. That the second enactment of Chapter 965 of the Acts of Assembly of 2004 is repealed."

**Purpose**

*Please explain the need for the new or amended regulation. Describe the rationale or justification of the proposed regulatory action. Detail the specific reasons the regulation is essential to protect the health, safety or welfare of citizens. Discuss the goals of the proposal and the problems the proposal is intended to solve.*

Repealing this regulation is necessary to comport with the Governor's Regulatory Reform Initiative.

**Rationale for using fast track process**

*Please explain the rationale for using the fast track process in promulgating this regulation. Why do you expect this rulemaking to be noncontroversial?*

*Please note: If an objection to the use of the fast-track process is received within the 30-day public comment period from 10 or more persons, any member of the applicable standing committee of either*

house of the General Assembly or of the Joint Commission on Administrative Rules, the agency shall (i) file notice of the objections with the Registrar of Regulations for publication in the Virginia Register, and (ii) proceed with the normal promulgation process with the initial publication of the fast-track regulation serving as the Notice of Intended Regulatory Action.

Repeal of the regulation is not expected to be controversial because SB 1201 passed the General Assembly unanimously, and there was no opposition from any of the education organizations or other entities during the General Assembly. The regulations simply duplicate the provisions already in the Code.

**Substance**

Please briefly identify and explain the new substantive provisions, the substantive changes to existing sections, or both where appropriate. (Provide more detail about these changes in the "Detail of changes" section.) Please be sure to define any acronyms.

None. The regulations are unnecessary.

**Issues**

Please identify the issues associated with the proposed regulatory action, including:  
1) the primary advantages and disadvantages to the public, such as individual private citizens or businesses, of implementing the new or amended provisions;  
2) the primary advantages and disadvantages to the agency or the Commonwealth; and  
3) other pertinent matters of interest to the regulated community, government officials, and the public. If there are no disadvantages to the public or the Commonwealth, please indicate.

The regulations are unnecessary.

**Requirements more restrictive than federal**

Please identify and describe any requirement of the proposal which is more restrictive than applicable federal requirements. Include a rationale for the need for the more restrictive requirements. If there are no applicable federal requirements or no requirements that exceed applicable federal requirements, include a statement to that effect.

N/A

**Localities particularly affected**

Please identify any locality particularly affected by the proposed regulation. Locality particularly affected means any locality which bears any identified disproportionate material impact which would not be experienced by other localities.

N/A

**Regulatory flexibility analysis**

*Pursuant to §2.2-4007.1B of the Code of Virginia, please describe the agency’s analysis of alternative regulatory methods, consistent with health, safety, environmental, and economic welfare, that will accomplish the objectives of applicable law while minimizing the adverse impact on small business. Alternative regulatory methods include, at a minimum: 1) the establishment of less stringent compliance or reporting requirements; 2) the establishment of less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements; 3) the consolidation or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements; 4) the establishment of performance standards for small businesses to replace design or operational standards required in the proposed regulation; and 5) the exemption of small businesses from all or any part of the requirements contained in the proposed regulation.*

Repealing unnecessary and duplicative regulations is the most flexible alternative that was considered.

**Economic impact**

*Please identify the anticipated economic impact of the proposed new regulations or amendments to the existing regulation. When describing a particular economic impact, please specify which new requirement or change in requirement creates the anticipated economic impact.*

<b>Projected cost to the state to implement and enforce the proposed regulation, including (a) fund source / fund detail, and (b) a delineation of one-time versus on-going expenditures</b>	None
<b>Projected cost of the <i>new regulations or changes to existing regulations</i> on localities.</b>	None
<b>Description of the individuals, businesses or other entities likely to be affected by the <i>new regulations or changes to existing regulations</i>.</b>	N/A
<b>Agency’s best estimate of the number of such entities that will be affected. Please include an estimate of the number of small businesses affected.</b> Small business means a business entity, including its affiliates, that (i) is independently owned and operated and (ii) employs fewer than 500 full-time employees or has gross annual sales of less than \$6 million.	N/A
<b>All projected costs of the <i>new regulations or changes to existing regulations</i> for affected individuals, businesses, or other entities. Please be specific and include all costs. Be sure to include the projected reporting, recordkeeping, and other administrative costs required for compliance by small businesses. Specify any costs related to the development of real estate for commercial or residential</b>	None

<b>purposes that are a consequence of the proposed regulatory changes or new regulations.</b>	
<b>Beneficial impact the regulation is designed to produce.</b>	Elimination of a duplicative and unnecessary regulation.

**Alternatives**

*Please describe any viable alternatives to the proposal considered and the rationale used by the agency to select the least burdensome or intrusive alternative that meets the essential purpose of the action. Also, include discussion of less intrusive or less costly alternatives for small businesses, as defined in §2.2-4007.1 of the Code of Virginia, of achieving the purpose of the regulation.*

The alternative would be to maintain the unnecessary regulation.

**Family impact**

*Please assess the impact of the proposed regulatory action on the institution of the family and family stability including to what extent the regulatory action will: 1) strengthen or erode the authority and rights of parents in the education, nurturing, and supervision of their children; 2) encourage or discourage economic self-sufficiency, self-pride, and the assumption of responsibility for oneself, one's spouse, and one's children and/or elderly parents; 3) strengthen or erode the marital commitment; and 4) increase or decrease disposable family income.*

None

**Detail of changes**

*Please list all changes that are being proposed and the consequences of the proposed changes. If the proposed regulation is a new chapter, describe the intent of the language and the expected impact. Please describe the difference between existing regulation(s) and/or agency practice(s) and what is being proposed in this regulatory action.*

*If the proposed regulation is intended to replace an emergency regulation, please list separately (1) all differences between the **pre-emergency regulation** and this proposed regulation, and (2) only changes made since the publication of the emergency regulation.*

For changes to existing regulation(s), use this chart:

<b>Current section number</b>	<b>Proposed new section number, if applicable</b>	<b>Current requirement</b>	<b>Proposed change, intent, rationale, and likely impact of proposed requirements</b>
8VAC20-700-10		Definitions	Repeal the section because it is unnecessary.
8VAC20-700-20		Criteria for selection for review	Repeal the section because it is unnecessary.

8VAC20-700-30		Structure of the review	Repeal the section because it is unnecessary.
8VAC20-700-40		External reviews	Repeal the section because it is unnecessary.