

Office of Regulatory Management
Economic Review Form

Agency name	Virginia Marine Resources Commission
Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) Chapter citation(s)	4 VAC 20-950
VAC Chapter title(s)	Pertaining to Black Sea Bass
Action title	2024 Recreational Season
Date this document prepared	March 28, 2024
Regulatory Stage (including Issuance of Guidance Documents)	Final

Cost Benefit Analysis

Complete Tables 1a and 1b for all regulatory actions. You do not need to complete Table 1c if the regulatory action is required by state statute or federal statute or regulation and leaves no discretion in its implementation.

Table 1a should provide analysis for the regulatory approach you are taking. Table 1b should provide analysis for the approach of leaving the current regulations intact (i.e., no further change is implemented). Table 1c should provide analysis for at least one alternative approach. You should not limit yourself to one alternative, however, and can add additional charts as needed.

Report both direct and indirect costs and benefits that can be monetized in Boxes 1 and 2. Report direct and indirect costs and benefits that cannot be monetized in Box 4. See the ORM Regulatory Economic Analysis Manual for additional guidance.

Table 1a: Costs and Benefits of the Proposed Changes (Primary Option)

(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	<p>Mandatory</p> <p>There are no direct costs or benefits to the change in the 2024 recreational black sea bass season because a portion of the season is being closed to offset February landings. The National Marine Fisheries Service allows states to open a February recreational black sea bass season only on the condition that all February landings be paid back later in the year, so this closure is mandatory.</p> <p>Discretionary</p> <p>There are no direct costs or benefits to removing black sea bass aquaculture permit conditions from regulation as the same conditions will apply but will be made available to the permittee in a more efficient manner.</p>	
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a) \$0	(b) \$0
(3) Net Monetized Benefit	\$0	
(4) Other Costs & Benefits (Non-Monetized)	This will result in a streamlined aquaculture facility application process and permittees will have conditions provided to them instead of having to look them up in regulation.	
(5) Information Sources		

Table 1b: Costs and Benefits under the Status Quo (No change to the regulation)

(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	<p>Mandatory</p> <p>Direct Costs: Not paying back Virginia’s February recreational black sea bass landings would result in Virginia being found out of compliance and the recreational and commercial fisheries may be shut down by ASMFC. This will result in millions of dollars in lost revenue and fishing opportunities.</p> <p>Discretionary</p> <p>There would be no direct costs or benefits to leaving the black sea bass aquaculture permit conditions in place.</p>	
--	--	--

(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a) \$0	(b) \$0
(3) Net Monetized Benefit		
(4) Other Costs & Benefits (Non-Monetized)	Leaving the black sea bass aquaculture permit conditions in place would make it less apparent what permit conditions apply to permittees.	
(5) Information Sources		

Table 1c: Costs and Benefits under Alternative Approach(es)

(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	There are no alternative approaches available for any regulatory changes.	
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a) \$0	(b) \$0
(3) Net Monetized Benefit		
(4) Other Costs & Benefits (Non-Monetized)		
(5) Information Sources		

Impact on Local Partners

Use this chart to describe impacts on local partners. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 2: Impact on Local Partners

(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits	There are no direct or indirect costs for local partners. There are no direct or indirect benefits for local partners.
--	---

Benefits (Monetized)		
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a)	(b)
(3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)		
(4) Assistance		
(5) Information Sources		

Impacts on Families

Use this chart to describe impacts on families. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 3: Impact on Families

(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	There are no direct or indirect costs for families. There are no direct or indirect benefits for families.	
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a)	(b)
(3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)		
(4) Information Sources		

Impacts on Small Businesses

Use this chart to describe impacts on small businesses. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 4: Impact on Small Businesses

(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	There would be no direct or indirect costs or benefits to this action.	
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a)	(b)
(3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non-Monetized)	Most black sea bass aquaculture facilities would be considered small businesses. These changes will result in a streamlined aquaculture facility application process and permittees will have conditions provided to them instead of having to look them up in regulation.	
(4) Alternatives		
(5) Information Sources		

Changes to Number of Regulatory Requirements

Table 5: Regulatory Reduction

For each individual action, please fill out the appropriate chart to reflect any change in regulatory requirements, costs, regulatory stringency, or the overall length of any guidance documents.

Change in Regulatory Requirements

VAC Section(s) Involved*	Authority of Change	Initial Count	Additions	Subtractions	Total Net Change in Requirements
4 VAC 20-950-45	(M/A):				
	(D/A):				
	(M/R):	9	0	0	0
	(D/R):	1	0	0	0
Grand Total of Changes in Requirements:					(M/A):0 (D/A):0 (M/R): 0 (D/R): 0

VAC Section(s) Involved*	Authority of Change	Initial Count	Additions	Subtractions	Total Net Change in Requirements
4 VAC 20-950-60	(M/A):				
	(D/A):				
	(M/R):				
	(D/R):	5	0	4	-4
Grand Total of Changes in Requirements:					(M/A):0 (D/A):0 (M/R): 0 (D/R): -4

VAC Section(s) Involved*	Authority of Change	Initial Count	Additions	Subtractions	Total Net Change in Requirements
4 VAC 20- 950-70	(M/A):				
	(D/A):				
	(M/R):				
	(D/R):	3	0	3	-3
Grand Total of Changes in Requirements:					(M/A):0 (D/A):0 (M/R): 0 (D/R): -3

Cost Reductions or Increases (if applicable)

VAC Section(s) Involved*	Description of Regulatory Requirement	Initial Cost	New Cost	Overall Cost Savings/Increases

Other Decreases or Increases in Regulatory Stringency (if applicable)

VAC Section(s) Involved*	Description of Regulatory Change	Overview of How It Reduces or Increases Regulatory Burden
4 VAC 20-950-60 4 VAC 20-950-70	Moving aquaculture facility permits from regulation to the application form and permit	Reduces burden for facilities to find what conditions apply to their operation.

Length of Guidance Documents (only applicable if guidance document is being revised)

Title of Guidance Document	Original Length	New Length	Net Change in Length